

LL1004: The English Legal System, Method and Practice

[View Online](#)

[1]

Bingham, T. 2010. The Human Rights Act. *European Human Rights Law Review*. 6 (2010), 568–575.

[2]

Crosby, K. 2012. Controlling Devlin's Jury: What the Jury Thinks, and What the Jury Sees Online. *Criminal Law Review*. 1 (2012), 15–29.

[3]

Fuller, L.L. 1949. The Case of the Speluncean Explorers. *Harvard Law Review*. 62, 4 (1949), 616–645. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1336025>.

[4]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Access to the Law. *The English Legal System*. Oxford University Press. 367–396.

[5]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Domestic Sources of Law: Case Law. *The English Legal System*. Oxford University Press. 67–96.

[6]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Domestic Sources of Law: Case Law. *The English Legal*

System. Oxford University Press. 67–96.

[7]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Domestic Sources of Law: Parliamentary Material. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 21–66.

[8]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Domestic Sources of Law: Parliamentary Material. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 21–66.

[9]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Human Rights Act 1998. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 156–200.

[10]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Human Rights Act 1998. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 156–200.

[11]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. International Sources of Law. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 97–155.

[12]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. International Sources of Law. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 97–155.

[13]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Lay Justice. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 437–461.

[14]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Lay Justice. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 437–461.

[15]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press.

[16]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. The English Legal System. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 1–20.

[17]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. The English Legal System: England. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 1–6.

[18]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Those in Court. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 462–488.

[19]

Gillespie, A. and Weare, S. 2017. Those in Court. The English Legal System. Oxford University Press. 462–488.

[20]

Holland, J.A. and Webb, J.S. 2016. Learning Legal Rules: A Students' Guide to Legal Method and Reasoning. Oxford University Press.

[21]

Hutchinson, A.C. 2011. *Is Eating People Wrong? The Law and Lore of the Sea. Is Eating People Wrong?: Great Legal Cases and How They Shaped the World.* Cambridge University Press. 13–40.

[22]

Hutchinson, A.C. 2011. *Is Eating People Wrong? The Law and Lore of the Sea. Is Eating People Wrong?: Great Legal Cases and How They Shaped the World.* Cambridge University Press. 13–40.

[23]

Hutchinson, A.C. 2016. *Law and the End of Life. Is Killing People Right: More Great Cases That Shaped the Legal World.* Cambridge University Press. 10–26.

[24]

Hutchinson, A.C. 2016. *Law and the End of Life. Is Killing People Right: More Great Cases That Shaped the Legal World.* Cambridge University Press. 10–26.

[25]

Kavanagh, A. 2005. *Pepper v Hart and Matters of Constitutional Principle.* Law Quarterly Review. 121, 1 (2005), 98–122.

[26]

McLeod, T.I. 2012. *Natural Law and Positivism in Context: The Case of the Speluncean Explorers.* Legal Theory. Palgrave Macmillan. 32–37.

[27]

McLeod, T.I. 2012. *Natural Law and Positivism in Context: The Case of the Speluncean Explorers.* Legal Theory. Palgrave Macmillan. 32–37.

[28]

McLeod, T.I. 2012. Natural Law and Positivism in Context: The Case of the Speluncean Explorers. Legal Theory. Palgrave Macmillan. 32–37.

[29]

McLeod, T.I. 2012. Natural Law and Positivism in Context: The Case of the Speluncean Explorers. Legal Theory. Palgrave Macmillan. 32–37.

[30]

McLeod, T.I. 2012. Natural Law and Positivism in Context: The Case of the Speluncean Explorers. Legal Theory. Palgrave Macmillan. 32–37.

[31]

McLeod, T.I. 2012. Natural Law and Positivism in Context: The Case of the Speluncean Explorers. Legal Theory. Palgrave Macmillan. 32–37.

[32]

Paulson, S.L. 1975. Classical Legal Positivism at Nuremberg. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 4, 2 (1975), 132–158.

[33]

Pepper (Inspector of Taxes) v Hart [1992] UKHL 3 (26 November 1992):
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1992/3.html>.

[34]

Quinn, K. 2004. Jury Bias and the European Convention on Human Rights: A Well-Kept Secret? Criminal Law Review. December (2004), 998–1014.

[35]

Wacks, R. 2015. Law: A Very Short Introduction. Oxford University Press.

[36]

Wacks, R. 2015. Law: A Very Short Introduction. Oxford University Press.

[37]

Wacks, R. 2015. Law: A Very Short Introduction. Oxford University Press.

[38]

Wacks, R. 2017. Understanding Jurisprudence: An Introduction to Legal Theory. Oxford University Press.

[39]

Wacks, R. 2017. Understanding Jurisprudence: An Introduction to Legal Theory. Oxford University Press.

[40]

Why Parliamentary Approval for the Triggering of Article 50 TEU Should Be Required as a Matter of Constitutional Principle | UK Constitutional Law Association: 2016.
<https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2016/07/07/colm-ocinneide-why-parliamentary-approval-for-the-triggering-of-article-50-teu-should-be-required-as-a-matter-of-constitutional-principle/>.

[41]

Williams, G.L. 2016. Glanville Williams - learning the law. Sweet & Maxwell.