

PS3181: Criminal and Forensic Psychology

[View Online](#)

1.

Cino JG. Is a Polygraph a Reliable Lie Detector? The Conversation [Internet]. 2018;
Available from: <https://theconversation.com/is-a-polygraph-a-reliable-lie-detector-104043>

2.

Gudjonsson GH. The Science-Based Pathways to Understanding False Confessions and Wrongful Convictions. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2021;12.

3.

Hidden Victims:the Gendered Data Gap of Violent Crime | Watermark [Internet]. Available from:
https://watermark.silverchair.com/azaa100.pdf?token=AQECAHi208BE49Ooan9kkhW_Ercy7Dm3ZL_9Cf3qfKAac485ysgAAAtcwggLTBgkqhkiG9w0BBwagggLEMIICwAIBADCCArkGCSqGS Ib3DQEHATAeBglghkgBZQMEAS4wEQQMqNSp0I_jMCxI4aGuAgEQgII CioHI0ftp6JxnahAjWTRT2-6wR8PcRLh12Yf26T2NIkvGNty30nA-o2QHUmMYgFjZH-M9GRzfB4UfuK9bP2a3geafD8XiwL L1Y6Y2rvPJlZGoWJpUfamGqq1largslakGg1tBVeLeTZKiz6F9ppv-dHYjf8cya0jdJxiBtX1ZL0TOv S2nLz3OQ-5niwxLh8lZ6O-XYfYzC1h4aefRi5rzui4nnm7YFr6E-XYvreNZxpffS0leJwOo227pfgv BozEiF1nQog2dujmWpj9UY2VvYv_fXXGIVvLpOwc0GCz77ORYQT9WmbvxlC3pnppXz4heRL2 tOh8sdeWqVn6_k2J8pYh43rz_hBS4VJnosaV8_68Cx2d4PdTvUA7r7TVj0IyT62vNmTgBYk0g3A eMosqCBCKKPP2ES7MI8udHPIkus2A_b8CHFyie4OGVdX7VebuTsWonGjoJziuES45aEGrfWVU MO_ATGsyCWfscUMbpGzGa6T_FYos-c2AL2WTvSXF6CZleo7QDvUVEj7kC5bG5knb7HFPal1b giD20WGQ9jarHkUhvC-ZD9eSI0SDRsN7KEs67uCFHWJtFRfFuLqAuzB8HQxd3CYErjY06N3FK S1ohhxI5B_Gp1L5WyWJe_D5Y1yeD-U1qG2SUq3bpOAymqQSZ5eLDRyfOcZfqQQZSzdj3PGVr w05jtHn-MttlJlqUxyqWirc3LGS9V-_zILRa64CX4ckhtfBd_7rSxeK06A0UtAZM051RhEUwdZFiQI f50D1eT_6jfP6oZDVAiacRTIkrLg4_UijHZ5fNO5qeOcqp dNN9N9fE3ZqzdMtoHAupl25e59N2h1 hskHRRNXhxoNojvnTlpQHbtM

4.

Wells GL. Psychological Science on Eyewitness Identification and Its Impact on Police Practices and Policies. *American Psychologist* [Internet]. 2020;75(9):1316–29. Available from:
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2020-99903-027&site=ehost-live>

5.

The Justice System Is Failing Victims and Survivors of Sexual Violence | *The Psychologist* [Internet]. Available from:
<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/justice-system-failing-victims-and-survivors-sexual-violence>

6.

Starr D. The Interview | *The New Yorker* [Internet]. 2013. Available from:
<https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2013/12/09/the-interview-7>

7.

Schacter DL. Are All of Your Memories Real? | Ted Talk [Internet]. Available from:
https://www.ted.com/talks/daniel_l_schacter_are_all_of_your_memories_real?utm_source=twitter.com&utm_medium=social&utm_campaign=tedspeak

8.

Flowe HD. Rethinking the Effects of Alcohol on Eyewitness Memory Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature | Heather Flowe [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.heatherflowe.com/post/rethinking-the-effects-of-alcohol-on-eyewitness-memory-accuracy-a-meta-analysis-of-the-literature>

9.

Margot Van Sluytman Podcast: Sawbonna: Victims-Led Restorative Justice (Canada) | RJJ [Internet]. 2020. Available from:
<http://www.restorativejusticeinternational.com/2020/margot-van-sluytman-podcast-sawbonna-victims-led-restorative-justice-canada-august-2020/>

10.

The Psychology of Fake News [Internet]. Routledge; Available from:
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/f/l6p82e/44ROY_ALMA_DS51124866820002671

11.

Smith J. The Junk Science Cops Use to Decide You're Lying | The Intercept [Internet]. 2020. Available from:
<https://theintercept.com/2020/08/12/blueleaks-law-enforcement-police-lie-detection/>

12.

Wager N. Michael Jackson: As an Expert in Child Sexual Abuse Here's What I Thought When I Watched Leaving Neverland. The Conversation [Internet]. 2019; Available from:
<https://theconversation.com/michael-jackson-as-an-expert-in-child-sexual-abuse-heres-what-i-thought-when-i-watched-leaving-neverland-113160>

13.

Hester M, Lilley SJ. Rape Investigation and Attrition in Acquaintance, Domestic Violence and Historical Rape Cases. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling. 2017;14(2):175-88.

14.

Lyon TD. Interviewing Children: Getting More with Less | YouTube [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7my1T4Ghf7A>

15.

Yoffe E. The Bad Science Behind Campus Response to Sexual Assault. The Atlantic [Internet]. 2007; Available from:
<https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2017/09/the-bad-science-behind-campus-response-to-sexual-assault/539211/>

16.

Protecting Vulnerable Witnesses | Economic and Social Research Council [Internet]. Available from:

<https://esrc.ukri.org/news-events-and-publications/impact-case-studies/protecting-vulnerable-witnesses/>

17.

Starr D. This Psychologist Explains Why People Confess to Crimes They Didn't Commit. Science [Internet]. 2019; Available from: <https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2019/06/psychologist-explains-why-people-confess-crimes-they-didn-t-commit>

18.

Loftus E. How Reliable Is Your Memory? | TED [Internet]. Available from: https://www.ted.com/talks/elizabeth_loftus_the_fiction_of_memory/up-next

19.

Nash R. Why You Should Doubt Your Memories | TEDxBrum | YouTube [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=USXT4Q609R8>

20.

Greenwood V. How Science Is Putting a New Face on Crime Solving | National Geographic [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <http://www.nationalgeographic.com/magazine/2016/07/forensic-science-justice-crime-evidence/>

21.

Hyman IE, Wulff AN, Thomas AK. Crime Blindness: How Selective Attention and Inattentional Blindness Can Disrupt Eyewitness Awareness and Memory. Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences. 2018 Oct;5(2):202-8.

22.

Rethinking the Effects of Alcohol on Eyewitness Memory Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature | Heather D. Flowe [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.heatherflowe.com/post/rethinking-the-effects-of-alcohol-on-eyewitness-memory-accuracy-a-meta-analysis-of-the-literature>

23.

Loftus EF. 25 Years of Eyewitness Science.....Finally Pays Off. Perspectives on Psychological Science. 2013;8(5):556-7.

24.

Hope L, Mullis R, Gabbert F. Who? What? When? Using a Timeline Technique to Facilitate Recall of a Complex Event. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition. 2013;2(1):20-4.

25.

Loftus EF. Eavesdropping on Memory. Annual Review of Psychology. 2017;68(1):1-18.

26.

Flowe HD, Humphries JE, Takarangi MK, Zelek K, Karoğlu N, Gabbert F, et al. An Experimental Examination of the Effects of Alcohol Consumption and Exposure to Misleading Postevent Information on Remembering a Hypothetical Rape Scenario. Applied Cognitive Psychology. 2019;33(3):393-413.

27.

Valentine T, Mesout J. Eyewitness Identification Under Stress in the London Dungeon. Applied Cognitive Psychology. 2009;23(2):151-61.

28.

Gabbert F, Memon A, Allan K. Memory Conformity: Can Eyewitnesses Influence Each Other's Memories for an Event? Applied Cognitive Psychology. 2003;17(5):533-43.

29.

Semmler C, Dunn J, Mickes L, Wixted JT. The Role of Estimator Variables in Eyewitness Identification. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied. 2018;24(3):400-15.

30.

Fitzgerald RJ, Price HL, Valentine T. Eyewitness Identification: Live, Photo, and Video Lineups. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. 2018;24(3):307–25.

31.

200 Exonerated: Too Many Wrongly Convicted [Internet]. Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University; Available from:
https://www.innocenceproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/ip_200.pdf

32.

Brendan Dassey: A True Story of a False Confession | YouTube [Internet]. 2016. Available from: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z7jDf5wWdDQ>

33.

Memon A. Why Eyewitnesses Give False Evidence – and How We Can Stop Them. *The Conversation* [Internet]. 2016; Available from:
<https://theconversation.com/why-eyewitnesses-give-false-evidence-and-how-we-can-stop-them-67254>

34.

Savage SP, Milne B. Miscarriages of Justice. In: *Handbook of Criminal Investigation*. Abingdon: Routledge; 2011. p. 610–27.

35.

Finkelhor D. Sexual Abuse. *Journal of Psychotherapy & The Family*. 1986;2(2):53–65.

36.

Fleming J, Mullen P, Bammer G. A Study of Potential Risk Factors for Sexual Abuse in Childhood. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 1997;21(1):49–58.

37.

Horvath MAH, Davidson JC, Grove-Hills J, Gekoski A, Choak C. "It's a Lonely Journey": A Rapid Evidence Assessment on Intrafamilial Child Sexual Abuse | Children's Commissioner for England [Internet]. Office of the Children's Commissioner; Available from: <https://www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/Its-a-lonely-journey-REA-on-Intrafamilial-child-sexual-abuse.pdf>

38.

Gregory N. Offender Profiling: A Review of the Literature. *The British Journal of Forensic Practice*. 2005;7(3):29–34.

39.

Davidson J, Bogaerts S, Caretti V, Scally M. Enhancing Police and Industry Practice in the Prevention of Online Child Sexual Abuse [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309771279_Enhancing_Police_and_Industry_Practice_in_the_Prevention_of_Online_Child_Sexual_Abuse

40.

DeMarco JN, Cheevers C, Davidson J, Bogaerts S, Pace U, Aiken M, et al. Digital Dangers and Cyber-Victimisation: A Study of European Adolescent Online Risky Behaviour for Sexual Exploitation. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry* [Internet]. 2017;14(1):104–12. Available from: <https://repository.uel.ac.uk/item/84x42>

41.

Canter DV. Offender Profiling. In: *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010. p. 236–41.

42.

Cognitive and Human Factors in Expert Decision Making: Six Fallacies and the Eight Sources of Bias | *Analytical Chemistry* [Internet]. Available from: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00704>

43.

Dowden C, Bennell C, Bloomfield S. Advances in Offender Profiling: A Systematic Review of the Profiling Literature Published Over the Past Three Decades. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*. 2007;22(1):44-56.

44.

Mokros A, Alison LJ. Is Offender Profiling Possible? Testing the Predicted Homology of Crime Scene Actions and Background Characteristics in a Sample of Rapists. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2002;7(1):25-43.

45.

Torres AN, Boccaccini MT, Miller HA. Perceptions of the Validity and Utility of Criminal Profiling Among Forensic Psychologists and Psychiatrists. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 2006;37(1):51-8.

46.

Goodwill AM, Alison LJ, Beech AR. What Works in Offender Profiling? A Comparison of Typological, Thematic, and Multivariate Models. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*. 2009;27(4):507-29.

47.

Goodwill AM, Alison LJ. When Is Profiling Possible? Offense Planning and Aggression as Moderators in Predicting Offender Age From Victim Age in Stranger Rape. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*. 2007;25(6):823-40.

48.

Alison L, Goodwill A, Almond L, Heuvel C, Winter J. Pragmatic Solutions to Offender Profiling and Behavioural Investigative Advice. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2010;15(1):115-32.

49.

The Real Boon | The Psychologist [Internet]. Vol. 16. 2003. p. 308-9. Available from:

<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-16/edition-6/real-boon>

50.

Luke TJ. Lessons From Pinocchio: Cues to Deception May Be Highly Exaggerated. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2019;14(4):646–71.

51.

Vrij A, Granhag PA. Eliciting Cues to Deception and Truth: What Matters Are the Questions Asked. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2012;1(2):110–7.

52.

Vrij A, Fisher RP, Blank H. A Cognitive Approach to Lie Detection: A Meta-Analysis. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2017;22(1):1–21.

53.

Ten Brinke L, Porter S. Cry Me a River: Identifying the Behavioral Consequences of Extremely High-Stakes Interpersonal Deception. *Law and Human Behavior* [Internet]. 2012;36(6):469–77. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43586871>

54.

Levine TR, Serota KB, Shulman HC. The Impact of Lie to Me on Viewers' Actual Ability to Detect Deception. *Communication Research*. 2010;37(6):847–56.

55.

Vrij A, Granhag PA. Eliciting Cues to Deception and Truth: What Matters Are the Questions Asked. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2012;1(2):110–7.

56.

Vrij A, Leal S, Deeb H, Chan S, Khader M, Chai W, et al. Lying About Flying: The Efficacy of the Information Protocol and Model Statement for Detecting Deceit. *Applied Cognitive*

Psychology. 2020;34(1):241–55.

57.

Jordan S, Brimbal L, Wallace DB, Kassin SM, Hartwig M, Street CNH. A Test of the Micro-expressions Training Tool: Does It Improve Lie Detection? *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*. 2019;16(3):222–35.

58.

Hudson CA, Vrij A, Akehurst L, Hope L. The Devil Is in the Detail: Deception and Consistency Over Repeated Interviews. *Psychology, Crime & Law*. 2019;25(7):752–70.

59.

Vrij A, Fisher RP, Blank H. A Cognitive Approach to Lie Detection: A Meta-Analysis. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2017;22(1):1–21.

60.

Hester M, Lilley SJ. More Than Support to Court. *International Review of Victimology*. 2018;24(3):313–28.

61.

van der Bruggen M, Grubb A. A Review of the Literature Relating to Rape Victim Blaming: An Analysis of the Impact of Observer and Victim Characteristics on Attribution of Blame in Rape Cases. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*. 2014;19(5):523–31.

62.

Shapland J, Atkinson A, Atkinson H, Colledge E, Dignan J, Howes M, et al. Situating Restorative Justice Within Criminal Justice. *Theoretical Criminology*. 2006;10(4):505–32.

63.

Burman M. Evidencing Sexual Assault: Women in the Witness Box. *Probation Journal*. 2009;56(4):379–98.

64.

Lisak D, Gardinier L, Nicksa SC, Cote AM. False Allegations of Sexual Assault: An Analysis of Ten Years of Reported Cases. *Violence Against Women*. 2010;16(12):1318–34.

65.

Kelly L, Lovett J, Regan L. A Gap or a Chasm?: Attrition in Reported Rape Cases. Vol. Home Office Research Study. London: Home Office Research, Development and Statistics Directorate; 2005.

66.

Hester M, Lilley SJ. Rape Investigation and Attrition in Acquaintance, Domestic Violence and Historical Rape Cases. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*. 2017;14(2):175–88.

67.

Horvath MAH, Tong S, Williams E. Critical Issues in Rape Investigation: An Overview of Reform in England and Wales. *The Journal of Criminal Justice Research* [Internet]. 2011;1(2):1–18. Available from: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/6983/>

68.

Daly K. Conventional and Innovative Justice Responses to Sexual Violence [Internet]. Melbourne: Australian Centre for the Study of Sexual Assault; 2011. Available from: <https://aifs.gov.au/publications/conventional-and-innovative-justice-responses-sexual-violence>

69.

Hayes RM, Lorenz K, Bell KA. Victim Blaming Others. *Feminist Criminology*. 2013;8(3):202–20.

70.

Sleath E, Bull R. Police Perceptions of Rape Victims and the Impact on Case Decision Making: A Systematic Review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*. 2017;34:102-12.

71.

Strömwall LA, Landström S, Alfredsson H. Perpetrator Characteristics and Blame Attributions in a Stranger Rape Situation. *The European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context*. 2014;6(2):63-7.

72.

Dinisman T, Moroz A. Understanding Victims of Crime: The Impact of the Crime and Support Needs [Internet]. Victim Support; 2017. Available from: https://web.archive.org/web/20180418225936/https://www.victimsupport.org.uk/sites/default/files/VS_Understanding%20victims%20of%20crime_web.pdf

73.

Borum R. Radicalization into Violent Extremism I: A Review of Social Science Theories. *Journal of Strategic Security*. 2011;4(4):7-36.

74.

King M, Taylor DM. The Radicalization of Homegrown Jihadists: A Review of Theoretical Models and Social Psychological Evidence. *Terrorism and Political Violence*. 2011;23(4):602-22.

75.

Silke A. The Internet & Terrorist Radicalisation: The Psychological Dimension. In: *Terrorism and the Internet: Threats - Target Groups - Deradicalisation Strategies -- Volume 67 NATO Science for Peace and Security Series - E: Human and Societal Dynamics*. 2010. p. 27-39.

76.

Kruglanski AW, Gelfand MJ, Bélanger JJ, Sheveland A, Hetiarachchi M, Gunaratna R. The

Psychology of Radicalization and Deradicalization: How Significance Quest Impacts Violent Extremism. *Political Psychology* [Internet]. 2014;35(S1). Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43783789>

77.

Kruglanski AW, Jasko K, Chernikova M, Dugas M, Webber D. To the Fringe and Back: Violent Extremism and the Psychology of Deviance. *American Psychologist*. 2017;72(3):217–30.

78.

Webber D, Klein K, Kruglanski A, Brizi A, Merari A. Divergent Paths to Martyrdom and Significance Among Suicide Attackers. *Terrorism and Political Violence*. 2017;29(5):852–74.

79.

Webber D, Babush M, Schori-Eyal N, Vazeou-Nieuwenhuis A, Hettiarachchi M, Bélanger JJ, et al. The Road to Extremism: Field and Experimental Evidence That Significance Loss-Induced Need for Closure Fosters Radicalization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2018;114(2):270–85.

80.

Webber D, Chernikova M, Kruglanski AW, Gelfand MJ, Hettiarachchi M, Gunaratna R, et al. Deradicalizing Detained Terrorists. *Political Psychology*. 2018;39(3):539–56.

81.

Scherr KC, Redlich AD, Kassin SM. Cumulative Disadvantage: A Psychological Framework for Understanding How Innocence Can Lead to Confession, Wrongful Conviction, and Beyond. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2020;15(2):353–83.

82.

Vrij A, Meissner CA, Fisher RP, Kassin SM, Morgan CA, Kleinman SM. Psychological Perspectives on Interrogation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2017;12(6):927–55.

83.

Kassin SM. On the Psychology of Confessions: Does Innocence Put Innocents at Risk? *American Psychologist* [Internet]. 2005;60(3):215–28. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2005-03019-002&site=ehost-live>

84.

Nesterak E. Coerced to Confess: The Psychology of False Confessions. *Behavioural Scientist* [Internet]. 2014; Available from: <https://behavioralscientist.org/coerced-to-confess-the-psychology-of-false-confessions/>

85.

Kassin SM. The Social Psychology of False Confessions. *Social Issues and Policy Review*. 2015;9(1):25–51.

86.

Meissner CA, Kelly CE, Woestehoff SA. Improving the Effectiveness of Suspect Interrogations. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2015;11(1):211–33.

87.

Hill C, Memon A, McGeorge P. The Role of Confirmation Bias in Suspect Interviews: A Systematic Evaluation. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2008;13(2):357–71.

88.

Maras KL, Mulcahy S, Memon A, Picariello F, Bowler DM. Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Self-Administered Interview for Witnesses with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2014;28(5):693–701.

89.

Mattison MA, Dando CJ. Police Officers' and Registered Intermediaries' Use of Drawing

During Investigative Interviews With Vulnerable Witnesses. *Psychology, Crime and Law* [Internet]. 2020;26(2):167–85. Available from: <https://westminsterresearch.westminster.ac.uk/item/qw87w/police-officers-and-registered-intermediaries-use-of-drawing-during-investigative-interviews-with-vulnerable-witnesses>

90.

Special Measures | The Crown Prosecution Service [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/special-measures>

91.

Risan P, Milne R, Binder PE. Trauma Narratives: Recommendations for Investigative Interviewing. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*. 2020;27(4):678–94.

92.

Bull R. The Investigative Interviewing of Children and Other Vulnerable Witnesses: Psychological Research and Working/professional Practice. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2010;15(1):5–23.

93.

Maras K, Marshall I, Sands C. Mock Juror Perceptions of Credibility and Culpability in an Autistic Defendant. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2019;49(3):996–1010.

94.

La Rooy D, Lamb ME, Memon A. Forensic Interviews with Children in Scotland: A Survey of Interview Practices Among Police. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*. 2011;26(1):26–34.

95.

Baugerud G, Johnson MS, Hansen HBG, Magnussen S, Lamb ME. Forensic Interviews With Preschool Children: An Analysis of Extended Interviews in Norway (2015–2017). *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2020;34(3):654–63.

96.

Memon A, Meissner CA, Fraser J. The Cognitive Interview: A Meta-Analytic Review and Study Space Analysis of the Past 25 Years. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. 2010;16(4):340-72.

97.

Review of the NICHD Protocol [Internet]. Available from:
<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/143689305.pdf>

98.

ABE Guidance [Internet]. Available from:
https://www.cps.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/legal_guidance/best_evidence_in_criminal_proceedings.pdf

99.

Brubacher SP, Powell MB. Best-Practice Interviewing Spans Many Contexts [open access]. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* [Internet]. 2019;8:398-402. Available from:
<http://www.saveservices.org/wp-content/uploads/Best-PracticeInterviewingSpansManyContexts.pdf>

100.

Leach CL, Powell MB. Forensic Risk Assessment Interviews With Youth: How Do We Elicit the Most Reliable and Complete Information? *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*. 2020;1-13.

101.

Eastwood J, Snook B, Luther K. Establishing the Most Effective Way to Deliver the Sketch Procedure to Enhance Interviewee Free Recall. *Psychology, Crime & Law*. 2019;25(5):482-93.

102.

Rachlew A. From Interrogating to Interviewing Suspects of Terror: Towards a New Mindset | Penal Reform International [Internet]. 2017. Available from: <https://www.penalreform.org/blog/interrogating-interviewing-suspects-terror-towards-new-mindset/>

103.

We Are the 'Exonerated 5.' What Happened to Us Isn't Past, It's Present. The New York Times [Internet]. 2021; Available from: <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/04/opinion/exonerated-five-false-confessions.html?smid=tw-share>