

# PS3181: Criminal and Forensic Psychology

View Online



1.

Cino, J.G.: Is a Polygraph a Reliable Lie Detector? The Conversation. (2018).

2.

Gudjonsson, G.H.: The Science-Based Pathways to Understanding False Confessions and Wrongful Convictions. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 12, (2021).  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.633936>.

3.

Hidden Victims:the Gendered Data Gap of Violent Crime | Watermark,  
[4.](https://watermark.silverchair.com/azaa100.pdf?token=AQECAHi208BE49Ooan9kkhW_Ercy7Dm3ZL_9Cf3qfKAc485ysgAAAtcwggtLTBgkqhkiG9w0BBwagggLEMIIcWAIBADCCArkGCSqGS Ib3DQEHATAeBglghkgBZQMEAS4wEQQMqNSp0I_jMCxI4aGuAgEQgIIcIoHI0ftp6JxnahAjWTRT2-6wR8PcRLh12Yf26T2NIKvGNty30nA-o2QHUmMYgFjZH-M9GRzfB4UfuK9bP2a3geafD8XiwL L1Y6Y2rvPJlzGoWJpUfamGqq1largslakGg1tBVeleTZKiz6F9ppv-dHYjf8cya0jdJxiBtX1ZL0TOv S2nLz3OQ-5niwxLh8lZ6O-XYfYZc1h4aefRi5rzui4nnm7YFr6E-XYvreNZxpffS0IejwOo227pfgv BozEiF1nQog2dujmWpj9UY2VvYv_fXXGIVvLpOwc0GCz77ORYQT9WmbvxIC3pnpXz4heRL2 tOh8sdeWqVn6_k2J8pYh43rz_hBS4VJnosaV8_68Cx2d4PdTvUA7r7TVj0IyT62vNmTgBYk0g3A eMosqCBCKKpp2ES7MI8udHPIkus2A_b8CHFyie4OGVdX7VebuTsWonGjoJziuES45aEGrfWVU MO_ATGsyCWfscUMbpGzGa6T_FYos-c2AL2WTvSXF6CZleo7QDvUVEj7kC5bG5knb7HFPal1b giD20WGQ9jarHkUhvC-ZD9eSI0SDRsN7KEs67uCFHWJtFRfFuLqAuzB8HQxd3CYErjY06N3FK S1ohhxI5B_Gp1L5WyWJe_D5Y1yeD-U1qG2SUq3bpOAymqQSZ5eLDRyfOcZfqOQZSzdj3PGVr w05jtHn-MttIjlqUxyqWirc3LGS9V-_zILRa64CX4ckhtfBd_7rSxeK06A0UtAZM051RhEUwdZFiQI f50D1eT_6jfp6oZDVAiacRTIkrLg4_UijHZ5fNO5qeOcqpdNN9N9fE3ZqzdMtoHAupl25e59N2h1 hskHRRNXhxoNojvnTlpQHbtM.</a></p>
</div>
<div data-bbox=)

Wells, G.L.: Psychological Science on Eyewitness Identification and Its Impact on Police

Practices and Policies. *American Psychologist*. 75, 1316–1329 (2020).  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000749>.

5.

The Justice System Is Failing Victims and Survivors of Sexual Violence | *The Psychologist*,  
<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/justice-system-failing-victims-and-survivors-sexual-violence>.

6.

Starr, D.: The Interview | *The New Yorker*,  
<https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2013/12/09/the-interview-7>.

7.

Schacter, D.L.: Are All of Your Memories Real? | Ted Talk,  
[https://www.ted.com/talks/daniel\\_l\\_schacter\\_are\\_all\\_of\\_your\\_memories\\_real?utm\\_source=twitter.com&utm\\_medium=social&utm\\_campaign=tedsread](https://www.ted.com/talks/daniel_l_schacter_are_all_of_your_memories_real?utm_source=twitter.com&utm_medium=social&utm_campaign=tedsread).

8.

Flowe, H.D.: Rethinking the Effects of Alcohol on Eyewitness Memory Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature | Heather Flowe,  
<https://www.heatherflowe.com/post/rethinking-the-effects-of-alcohol-on-eyewitness-memory-accuracy-a-meta-analysis-of-the-literature>.

9.

Margot Van Sluytman Podcast: Sawbonna: Victims-Led Restorative Justice (Canada) | RJJ,  
<http://www.restorativejusticeinternational.com/2020/margot-van-sluytman-podcast-sawbonna-victims-led-restorative-justice-canada-august-2020/>, (2020).

10.

*The Psychology of Fake News*. Routledge.

11.

Smith, J.: The Junk Science Cops Use to Decide You're Lying | The Intercept,  
<https://theintercept.com/2020/08/12/blueleaks-law-enforcement-police-lie-detection/>.

12.

Wager, N.: Michael Jackson: As an Expert in Child Sexual Abuse Here's What I Thought  
When I Watched Leaving Neverland. The Conversation. (2019).

13.

Hester, M., Lilley, S.-J.: Rape Investigation and Attrition in Acquaintance, Domestic Violence  
and Historical Rape Cases. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling. 14,  
175-188 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1469>.

14.

Lyon, T.D.: Interviewing Children: Getting More with Less | YouTube,  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7my1T4Ghf7A>.

15.

Yoffe, E.: The Bad Science Behind Campus Response to Sexual Assault. The Atlantic.  
(2007).

16.

Protecting Vulnerable Witnesses | Economic and Social Research Council,  
<https://esrc.ukri.org/news-events-and-publications/impact-case-studies/protecting-vulnerable-witnesses/>.

17.

Starr, D.: This Psychologist Explains Why People Confess to Crimes They Didn't Commit.  
Science. (2019).

18.

Loftus, E.: How Reliable Is Your Memory? | TED,  
[https://www.ted.com/talks/elizabeth\\_loftus\\_the\\_fiction\\_of\\_memory/up-next](https://www.ted.com/talks/elizabeth_loftus_the_fiction_of_memory/up-next).

19.

Nash, R.: Why You Should Doubt Your Memories | TEDxBrum | YouTube,  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=USXT4Q609R8>.

20.

Greenwood, V.: How Science Is Putting a New Face on Crime Solving | National Geographic,  
<http://www.nationalgeographic.com/magazine/2016/07/forensic-science-justice-crime-evidence/>.

21.

Hyman, I.E., Wulff, A.N., Thomas, A.K.: Crime Blindness: How Selective Attention and Inattentive Blindness Can Disrupt Eyewitness Awareness and Memory. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 5, 202–208 (2018).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732218786749>.

22.

Rethinking the Effects of Alcohol on Eyewitness Memory Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature | Heather D. Flowe,  
<https://www.heatherflowe.com/post/rethinking-the-effects-of-alcohol-on-eyewitness-memory-accuracy-a-meta-analysis-of-the-literature>.

23.

Loftus, E.F.: 25 Years of Eyewitness Science.....Finally Pays Off. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 8, 556–557 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691613500995>.

24.

Hope, L., Mullis, R., Gabbert, F.: Who? What? When? Using a Timeline Technique to Facilitate Recall of a Complex Event. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2, 20–24 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2013.01.002>.

25.

Loftus, E.F.: Eavesdropping on Memory. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 68, 1–18 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044138>.

26.

Flowe, H.D., Humphries, J.E., Takarangi, M.K., Zelek, K., Karoğlu, N., Gabbert, F., Hope, L.: An Experimental Examination of the Effects of Alcohol Consumption and Exposure to Misleading Postevent Information on Remembering a Hypothetical Rape Scenario. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 33, 393–413 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3531>.

27.

Valentine, T., Mesout, J.: Eyewitness Identification Under Stress in the London Dungeon. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 23, 151–161 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1463>.

28.

Gabbert, F., Memon, A., Allan, K.: Memory Conformity: Can Eyewitnesses Influence Each Other's Memories for an Event? *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 17, 533–543 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.885>.

29.

Semmler, C., Dunn, J., Mickes, L., Wixted, J.T.: The Role of Estimator Variables in Eyewitness Identification. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*. 24, 400–415 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1037/xap0000157>.

30.

Fitzgerald, R.J., Price, H.L., Valentine, T.: Eyewitness Identification: Live, Photo, and Video Lineups. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. 24, 307–325 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1037/law0000164>.

31.

200 Exonerated: Too Many Wrongly Convicted,  
[https://www.innocenceproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/ip\\_200.pdf](https://www.innocenceproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/ip_200.pdf).

32.

Brendan Dassey: A True Story of a False Confession | YouTube,  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z7jDf5wWdDQ>, (2016).

33.

Memon, A.: Why Eyewitnesses Give False Evidence – and How We Can Stop Them. The Conversation. (2016).

34.

Savage, S.P., Milne, B.: Miscarriages of Justice. In: Handbook of Criminal Investigation. pp. 610–627. Routledge, Abingdon (2011).

35.

Finkelhor, D.: Sexual Abuse. *Journal of Psychotherapy & The Family*. 2, 53–65 (1986).  
[https://doi.org/10.1300/J287v02n02\\_06](https://doi.org/10.1300/J287v02n02_06).

36.

Fleming, J., Mullen, P., Bammer, G.: A Study of Potential Risk Factors for Sexual Abuse in Childhood. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 21, 49–58 (1997).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134\(96\)00126-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-2134(96)00126-3).

37.

Horvath, M.A.H., Davidson, J.C., Grove-Hills, J., Gekoski, A., Choak, C.: "It's a Lonely Journey": A Rapid Evidence Assessment on Intrafamilial Child Sexual Abuse | Children's Commissioner for England,  
<https://www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/Its-a-lonely-journey-REA-on-Intrafamilial-child-sexual-abuse.pdf>.

38.

Gregory, N.: Offender Profiling: A Review of the Literature. *The British Journal of Forensic Practice*. 7, 29–34 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1108/14636646200500019>.

39.

Davidson, J., Bogaerts, S., Caretti, V., Scally, M.: Enhancing Police and Industry Practice in the Prevention of Online Child Sexual Abuse, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309771279\\_Enhancing\\_Police\\_and\\_Industry\\_Practice\\_in\\_the\\_Prevention\\_of\\_Online\\_Child\\_Sexual\\_Abuse](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/309771279_Enhancing_Police_and_Industry_Practice_in_the_Prevention_of_Online_Child_Sexual_Abuse), (2016). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26099.32807>.

40.

DeMarco, J.N., Cheevers, C., Davidson, J., Bogaerts, S., Pace, U., Aiken, M., Caretti, V., Schimmenti, A., Bifulco, A.: Digital Dangers and Cyber-Victimisation: A Study of European Adolescent Online Risky Behaviour for Sexual Exploitation. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*. 14, 104–112 (2017).

41.

Canter, D.V.: Offender Profiling. In: *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology*. pp. 236–241. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010).

42.

Cognitive and Human Factors in Expert Decision Making: Six Fallacies and the Eight Sources of Bias | *Analytical Chemistry*, <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00704>.

43.

Dowden, C., Bennell, C., Bloomfield, S.: Advances in Offender Profiling: A Systematic Review of the Profiling Literature Published Over the Past Three Decades. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*. 22, 44–56 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-007-9000-9>.

44.

Mokros, A., Alison, L.J.: Is Offender Profiling Possible? Testing the Predicted Homology of Crime Scene Actions and Background Characteristics in a Sample of Rapists. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 7, 25–43 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1348/135532502168360>.

45.

Torres, A.N., Boccaccini, M.T., Miller, H.A.: Perceptions of the Validity and Utility of Criminal Profiling Among Forensic Psychologists and Psychiatrists. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 37, 51–58 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.37.1.51>.

46.

Goodwill, A.M., Alison, L.J., Beech, A.R.: What Works in Offender Profiling? A Comparison of Typological, Thematic, and Multivariate Models. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*. 27, 507–529 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsl.867>.

47.

Goodwill, A.M., Alison, L.J.: When Is Profiling Possible? Offense Planning and Aggression as Moderators in Predicting Offender Age From Victim Age in Stranger Rape. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*. 25, 823–840 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsl.778>.

48.

Alison, L., Goodwill, A., Almond, L., Heuvel, C., Winter, J.: Pragmatic Solutions to Offender Profiling and Behavioural Investigative Advice. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 15, 115–132 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1348/135532509X463347>.

49.

The Real Boon | The Psychologist,  
<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-16/edition-6/real-boon>.

50.

Luke, T.J.: Lessons From Pinocchio: Cues to Deception May Be Highly Exaggerated. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 14, 646–671 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619838258>.



51.

Vrij, A., Granhag, P.A.: Eliciting Cues to Deception and Truth: What Matters Are the Questions Asked. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 1, 110–117 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2012.02.004>.

52.

Vrij, A., Fisher, R.P., Blank, H.: A Cognitive Approach to Lie Detection: A Meta-Analysis. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 22, 1–21 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12088>.

53.

Ten Brinke, L., Porter, S.: Cry Me a River: Identifying the Behavioral Consequences of Extremely High-Stakes Interpersonal Deception. *Law and Human Behavior*. 36, 469–477 (2012).

54.

Levine, T.R., Serota, K.B., Shulman, H.C.: The Impact of Lie to Me on Viewers' Actual Ability to Detect Deception. *Communication Research*. 37, 847–856 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650210362686>.

55.

Vrij, A., Granhag, P.A.: Eliciting Cues to Deception and Truth: What Matters Are the Questions Asked. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 1, 110–117 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2012.02.004>.

56.

Vrij, A., Leal, S., Deeb, H., Chan, S., Khader, M., Chai, W., Chin, J.: Lying About Flying: The Efficacy of the Information Protocol and Model Statement for Detecting Deceit. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 34, 241–255 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3614>.

57.

Jordan, S., Brimbal, L., Wallace, D.B., Kassin, S.M., Hartwig, M., Street, C.N.H.: A Test of the

Micro-expressions Training Tool: Does It Improve Lie Detection? *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*. 16, 222–235 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1532>.

58.

Hudson, C.A., Vrij, A., Akehurst, L., Hope, L.: The Devil Is in the Detail: Deception and Consistency Over Repeated Interviews. *Psychology, Crime & Law*. 25, 752–770 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2019.1574790>.

59.

Vrij, A., Fisher, R.P., Blank, H.: A Cognitive Approach to Lie Detection: A Meta-Analysis. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 22, 1–21 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12088>.

60.

Hester, M., Lilley, S.-J.: More Than Support to Court. *International Review of Victimology*. 24, 313–328 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269758017742717>.

61.

van der Bruggen, M., Grubb, A.: A Review of the Literature Relating to Rape Victim Blaming: An Analysis of the Impact of Observer and Victim Characteristics on Attribution of Blame in Rape Cases. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*. 19, 523–531 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2014.07.008>.

62.

Shapland, J., Atkinson, A., Atkinson, H., Colledge, E., Dignan, J., Howes, M., Johnstone, J., Robinson, G., Sorsby, A.: Situating Restorative Justice Within Criminal Justice. *Theoretical Criminology*. 10, 505–532 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362480606068876>.

63.

Burman, M.: Evidencing Sexual Assault: Women in the Witness Box. *Probation Journal*. 56, 379–398 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0264550509346506>.

64.

Lisak, D., Gardinier, L., Nicksa, S.C., Cote, A.M.: False Allegations of Sexual Assault: An Analysis of Ten Years of Reported Cases. *Violence Against Women*. 16, 1318–1334 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077801210387747>.

65.

Kelly, L., Lovett, J., Regan, L.: *A Gap or a Chasm?: Attrition in Reported Rape Cases*. Home Office Research, Development and Statistics Directorate, London (2005).

66.

Hester, M., Lilley, S.-J.: Rape Investigation and Attrition in Acquaintance, Domestic Violence and Historical Rape Cases. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*. 14, 175–188 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jip.1469>.

67.

Horvath, M.A.H., Tong, S., Williams, E.: Critical Issues in Rape Investigation: An Overview of Reform in England and Wales. *The Journal of Criminal Justice Research*. 1, 1–18 (2011).

68.

Daly, K.: *Conventional and Innovative Justice Responses to Sexual Violence*, <https://aifs.gov.au/publications/conventional-and-innovative-justice-responses-sexual-violence>, (2011).

69.

Hayes, R.M., Lorenz, K., Bell, K.A.: Victim Blaming Others. *Feminist Criminology*. 8, 202–220 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1557085113484788>.

70.

Sleath, E., Bull, R.: Police Perceptions of Rape Victims and the Impact on Case Decision Making: A Systematic Review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*. 34, 102–112 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2017.02.003>.

71.

Strömwall, L.A., Landström, S., Alfredsson, H.: Perpetrator Characteristics and Blame Attributions in a Stranger Rape Situation. *The European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context*. 6, 63–67 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpal.2014.06.002>.

72.

Dinisman, T., Moroz, A.: Understanding Victims of Crime: The Impact of the Crime and Support Needs, [https://web.archive.org/web/20180418225936/https://www.victimsupport.org.uk/sites/default/files/VS\\_Understanding%20victims%20of%20crime\\_web.pdf](https://web.archive.org/web/20180418225936/https://www.victimsupport.org.uk/sites/default/files/VS_Understanding%20victims%20of%20crime_web.pdf), (2017).

73.

Borum, R.: Radicalization into Violent Extremism I: A Review of Social Science Theories. *Journal of Strategic Security*. 4, 7–36 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.5038/1944-0472.4.4.1>.

74.

King, M., Taylor, D.M.: The Radicalization of Homegrown Jihadists: A Review of Theoretical Models and Social Psychological Evidence. *Terrorism and Political Violence*. 23, 602–622 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2011.587064>.

75.

Silke, A.: The Internet & Terrorist Radicalisation: The Psychological Dimension. In: *Terrorism and the Internet: Threats - Target Groups - Deradicalisation Strategies -- Volume 67 NATO Science for Peace and Security Series - E: Human and Societal Dynamics*. pp. 27–39 (2010).

76.

Kruglanski, A.W., Gelfand, M.J., Bélanger, J.J., Sheveland, A., Hetiarachchi, M., Gunaratna, R.: The Psychology of Radicalization and Deradicalization: How Significance Quest Impacts Violent Extremism. *Political Psychology*. 35, (2014).

77.

Kruglanski, A.W., Jasko, K., Chernikova, M., Dugas, M., Webber, D.: To the Fringe and Back: Violent Extremism and the Psychology of Deviance. *American Psychologist*. 72, 217–230 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000091>.

78.

Webber, D., Klein, K., Kruglanski, A., Brizi, A., Merari, A.: Divergent Paths to Martyrdom and Significance Among Suicide Attackers. *Terrorism and Political Violence*. 29, 852–874 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2015.1075979>.

79.

Webber, D., Babush, M., Schori-Eyal, N., Vazeou-Nieuwenhuis, A., Hettiarachchi, M., Bélanger, J.J., Moyano, M., Trujillo, H.M., Gunaratna, R., Kruglanski, A.W., Gelfand, M.J.: The Road to Extremism: Field and Experimental Evidence That Significance Loss-Induced Need for Closure Fosters Radicalization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 114, 270–285 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspi0000111>.

80.

Webber, D., Chernikova, M., Kruglanski, A.W., Gelfand, M.J., Hettiarachchi, M., Gunaratna, R., Lafreniere, M.-A., Belanger, J.J.: Deradicalizing Detained Terrorists. *Political Psychology*. 39, 539–556 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12428>.

81.

Scherr, K.C., Redlich, A.D., Kassin, S.M.: Cumulative Disadvantage: A Psychological Framework for Understanding How Innocence Can Lead to Confession, Wrongful Conviction, and Beyond. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 15, 353–383 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619896608>.

82.

Vrij, A., Meissner, C.A., Fisher, R.P., Kassin, S.M., Morgan, C.A., Kleinman, S.M.: Psychological Perspectives on Interrogation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 12, 927–955 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617706515>.

83.

Kassin, S.M.: On the Psychology of Confessions: Does Innocence Put Innocents at Risk? *American Psychologist*. 60, 215–228 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.60.3.215>.

84.

Nesterak, E.: Coerced to Confess: The Psychology of False Confessions. *Behavioural Scientist*. (2014).

85.

Kassin, S.M.: The Social Psychology of False Confessions. *Social Issues and Policy Review*. 9, 25–51 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1111/sipr.12009>.

86.

Meissner, C.A., Kelly, C.E., Woestehoff, S.A.: Improving the Effectiveness of Suspect Interrogations. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 11, 211–233 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-120814-121657>.

87.

Hill, C., Memon, A., McGeorge, P.: The Role of Confirmation Bias in Suspect Interviews: A Systematic Evaluation. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 13, 357–371 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1348/135532507X238682>.

88.

Maras, K.L., Mulcahy, S., Memon, A., Picariello, F., Bowler, D.M.: Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Self-Administered Interview for Witnesses with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 28, 693–701 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3055>.

89.

Mattison, M.A., Dando, C.J.: Police Officers' and Registered Intermediaries' Use of Drawing During Investigative Interviews With Vulnerable Witnesses. *Psychology, Crime and Law*. 26,

167–185 (2020).

90.

Special Measures | The Crown Prosecution Service,  
<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/special-measures>.

91.

Risan, P., Milne, R., Binder, P.-E.: Trauma Narratives: Recommendations for Investigative Interviewing. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*. 27, 678–694 (2020).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2020.1742237>.

92.

Bull, R.: The Investigative Interviewing of Children and Other Vulnerable Witnesses: Psychological Research and Working/professional Practice. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 15, 5–23 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1348/014466509X440160>.

93.

Maras, K., Marshall, I., Sands, C.: Mock Juror Perceptions of Credibility and Culpability in an Autistic Defendant. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 49, 996–1010 (2019).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3803-7>.

94.

La Rooy, D., Lamb, M.E., Memon, A.: Forensic Interviews with Children in Scotland: A Survey of Interview Practices Among Police. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*. 26, 26–34 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11896-010-9072-9>.

95.

Baugerud, G., Johnson, M.S., Hansen, H.B.G., Magnussen, S., Lamb, M.E.: Forensic Interviews With Preschool Children: An Analysis of Extended Interviews in Norway (2015–2017). *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 34, 654–663 (2020).  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.3647>.

96.

Memon, A., Meissner, C.A., Fraser, J.: The Cognitive Interview: A Meta-Analytic Review and Study Space Analysis of the Past 25 Years. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. 16, 340–372 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020518>.

97.

Review of the NICHD Protocol, <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/143689305.pdf>.

98.

ABE Guidance,  
[https://www.cps.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/legal\\_guidance/best\\_evidence\\_in\\_criminal\\_proceedings.pdf](https://www.cps.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/legal_guidance/best_evidence_in_criminal_proceedings.pdf).

99.

Brubacher, S.P., Powell, M.B.: Best-Practice Interviewing Spans Many Contexts [open access]. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 8, 398–402 (2019).

100.

Leach, C.L., Powell, M.B.: Forensic Risk Assessment Interviews With Youth: How Do We Elicit the Most Reliable and Complete Information? *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*. 1–13 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2020.1734982>.

101.

Eastwood, J., Snook, B., Luther, K.: Establishing the Most Effective Way to Deliver the Sketch Procedure to Enhance Interviewee Free Recall. *Psychology, Crime & Law*. 25, 482–493 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2018.1538416>.

102.

Rachlew, A.: From Interrogating to Interviewing Suspects of Terror: Towards a New Mindset | Penal Reform International,  
<https://www.penalreform.org/blog/interrogating-interviewing-suspects-terror-towards-new-mindset/>.



103.

We Are the 'Exonerated 5.' What Happened to Us Isn't Past, It's Present. The New York Times. (2021).