

PS3181: Criminal and Forensic Psychology

View Online



1.

Cino, J. G. Is a Polygraph a Reliable Lie Detector? The Conversation (2018).

2.

Gudjonsson, G. H. The Science-Based Pathways to Understanding False Confessions and Wrongful Convictions. *Frontiers in Psychology* **12**, (2021).

3.

Hidden Victims: the Gendered Data Gap of Violent Crime | Watermark.

https://watermark.silverchair.com/azaa100.pdf?token=AQECAHi208BE49Ooan9kkhW_Ercy7Dm3ZL_9Cf3qfKAac485ysgAAAtcwggLTBgkqhkiG9w0BBwagggLEMIICwAIBADCCArkGCSqGS Ib3DQEHATAeBglghkgBZQMEAS4wEQQMqNSp0I_jMCxI4aGuAgEQgII CioHI0ftp6JxnahAjWTRT 2-6wR8PcRLh12Yf26T2NIKvGNty30nA-o2QHUmMYgFjZH-M9GRzfB4UfuK9bP2a3geafD8XiwL L1Y6Y2rvPJlzGoWJpUfamGqq1largslakGg1tBVeletZKiz6F9ppv-dHYjf8cya0jdJxiBtX1ZL0TOv S2nLz3OQ-5niwxLh8lZ6O-XYfYZc1h4aefRi5rzui4nnm7YFr6E-XYvreNZxpffS0leJwOo227pfgv BozEiF1nQog2dujmWpj9UY2VvYv_fXXGIVvLpOwc0GCz77ORYQT9WmbvxlC3pnppXz4heRL2 tOh8sdeWqVn6_k2J8pYh43rz_hBS4VJnosaV8_68Cx2d4PdTvUA7r7TVj0IyT62vNmTgBYk0g3A eMosqCBCKKPP2ES7MI8udHPIkus2A_b8CHFyie4OGVdX7VebuTsWonGjoJziuES45aEGrfWVU MO_ATGsyCWfscUMbpGzGa6T_FYos-c2AL2WTvSXF6CZleo7QDvUVEj7kC5bG5knb7HFPal1b gjD20WQGQ9jarHkUhvC-ZD9eSI0SDRsN7KEs67uCFHWJtFRfFuLqAuzB8HQxd3CYErjY06N3FK S1ohhxl5B_Gp1L5WyWJe_D5Y1yeD-U1qG2SUq3bpOAymqQSZ5eLDRyfOcZfqQQZSzdj3PGVr w05jtHn-MttlJlqUxyqWirc3LGS9V-_zILRa64CX4ckhtfBd_7rSxeK06A0UtAZM051RhEUwdZFiQI f50D1eT_6jFP6oZDVAiacRTIkrLg4_UijHZ5fNO5qeOcqpdNN9N9fE3ZqzdMtoHAupl25e59N2h1 hskHRRNXhxoNojvnTlpQHbtM

4.

Wells, G. L. Psychological Science on Eyewitness Identification and Its Impact on Police Practices and Policies. *American Psychologist* **75**, 1316–1329 (2020).

5.

The Justice System Is Failing Victims and Survivors of Sexual Violence | The Psychologist.
<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/justice-system-failing-victims-and-survivors-sexual-violence>.

6.

Starr, D. The Interview | The New Yorker.
<https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2013/12/09/the-interview-7> (2013).

7.

Schacter, D. L. Are All of Your Memories Real? | Ted Talk.
https://www.ted.com/talks/daniel_l_schacter_are_all_of_your_memories_real?utm_source=twitter.com&utm_medium=social&utm_campaign=tedsread.

8.

Flowe, H. D. Rethinking the Effects of Alcohol on Eyewitness Memory Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature | Heather Flowe.
<https://www.heatherflowe.com/post/rethinking-the-effects-of-alcohol-on-eyewitness-memory-accuracy-a-meta-analysis-of-the-literature>.

9.

Margot Van Sluytman Podcast: Sawbonna: Victims-Led Restorative Justice (Canada) | RJL.
(2020).

10.

The Psychology of Fake News. (Routledge).

11.

Smith, J. The Junk Science Cops Use to Decide You're Lying | The Intercept.
<https://theintercept.com/2020/08/12/blueleaks-law-enforcement-police-lie-detection/>

(2020).

12.

Wager, N. Michael Jackson: As an Expert in Child Sexual Abuse Here's What I Thought When I Watched Leaving Neverland. The Conversation (2019).

13.

Hester, M. & Lilley, S.-J. Rape Investigation and Attrition in Acquaintance, Domestic Violence and Historical Rape Cases. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling **14**, 175–188 (2017).

14.

Lyon, T. D. Interviewing Children: Getting More with Less | YouTube.

15.

Yoffe, E. The Bad Science Behind Campus Response to Sexual Assault. The Atlantic (2007).

16.

Protecting Vulnerable Witnesses | Economic and Social Research Council.
<https://esrc.ukri.org/news-events-and-publications/impact-case-studies/protecting-vulnerable-witnesses/>.

17.

Starr, D. This Psychologist Explains Why People Confess to Crimes They Didn't Commit. Science (2019).

18.

Loftus, E. How Reliable Is Your Memory? | TED.

19.

Nash, R. Why You Should Doubt Your Memories |TEDxBrum | YouTube.

20.

Greenwood, V. How Science Is Putting a New Face on Crime Solving | National Geographic.
<http://www.nationalgeographic.com/magazine/2016/07/forensic-science-justice-crime-evidence/> (2016).

21.

Hyman, I. E., Wulff, A. N. & Thomas, A. K. Crime Blindness: How Selective Attention and Inattentive Blindness Can Disrupt Eyewitness Awareness and Memory. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences* **5**, 202–208 (2018).

22.

Rethinking the Effects of Alcohol on Eyewitness Memory Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature | Heather D. Flowe.
<https://www.heatherflowe.com/post/rethinking-the-effects-of-alcohol-on-eyewitness-memory-accuracy-a-meta-analysis-of-the-literature>.

23.

Loftus, E. F. 25 Years of Eyewitness Science.....Finally Pays Off. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **8**, 556–557 (2013).

24.

Hope, L., Mullis, R. & Gabbert, F. Who? What? When? Using a Timeline Technique to Facilitate Recall of a Complex Event. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* **2**, 20–24 (2013).

25.

Loftus, E. F. Eavesdropping on Memory. *Annual Review of Psychology* **68**, 1–18 (2017).

26.

Flowe, H. D. et al. An Experimental Examination of the Effects of Alcohol Consumption and Exposure to Misleading Postevent Information on Remembering a Hypothetical Rape Scenario. *Applied Cognitive Psychology* **33**, 393–413 (2019).

27.

Valentine, T. & Mesout, J. Eyewitness Identification Under Stress in the London Dungeon. *Applied Cognitive Psychology* **23**, 151–161 (2009).

28.

Gabbert, F., Memon, A. & Allan, K. Memory Conformity: Can Eyewitnesses Influence Each Other's Memories for an Event? *Applied Cognitive Psychology* **17**, 533–543 (2003).

29.

Semmler, C., Dunn, J., Mickes, L. & Wixted, J. T. The Role of Estimator Variables in Eyewitness Identification. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied* **24**, 400–415 (2018).

30.

Fitzgerald, R. J., Price, H. L. & Valentine, T. Eyewitness Identification: Live, Photo, and Video Lineups. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law* **24**, 307–325 (2018).

31.

200 Exonerated: Too Many Wrongly Convicted.

32.

Brendan Dassey: A True Story of a False Confession | YouTube. (2016).

33.

Memon, A. Why Eyewitnesses Give False Evidence – and How We Can Stop Them. *The Conversation* (2016).

34.

Savage, S. P. & Milne, B. Miscarriages of Justice. in *Handbook of Criminal Investigation* 610–627 (Routledge, 2011).

35.

Finkelhor, D. Sexual Abuse. *Journal of Psychotherapy & The Family* **2**, 53–65 (1986).

36.

Fleming, J., Mullen, P. & Bammer, G. A Study of Potential Risk Factors for Sexual Abuse in Childhood. *Child Abuse & Neglect* **21**, 49–58 (1997).

37.

Horvath, M. A. H., Davidson, J. C., Grove-Hills, J., Gekoski, A. & Choak, C. "It's a Lonely Journey": A Rapid Evidence Assessment on Intrafamilial Child Sexual Abuse | Children's Commissioner for England.

38.

Gregory, N. Offender Profiling: A Review of the Literature. *The British Journal of Forensic Practice* **7**, 29–34 (2005).

39.

Davidson, J., Bogaerts, S., Caretti, V. & Scally, M. Enhancing Police and Industry Practice in the Prevention of Online Child Sexual Abuse. (2016) doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.26099.32807.

40.

DeMarco, J. N. et al. Digital Dangers and Cyber-Victimisation: A Study of European Adolescent Online Risky Behaviour for Sexual Exploitation. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry* **14**, 104-112 (2017).

41.

Canter, D. V. Offender Profiling. in *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology* 236-241 (Cambridge University Press, 2010).

42.

Cognitive and Human Factors in Expert Decision Making: Six Fallacies and the Eight Sources of Bias | *Analytical Chemistry*.
<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00704>.

43.

Dowden, C., Bennell, C. & Bloomfield, S. Advances in Offender Profiling: A Systematic Review of the Profiling Literature Published Over the Past Three Decades. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology* **22**, 44-56 (2007).

44.

Mokros, A. & Alison, L. J. Is Offender Profiling Possible? Testing the Predicted Homology of Crime Scene Actions and Background Characteristics in a Sample of Rapists. *Legal and Criminological Psychology* **7**, 25-43 (2002).

45.

Torres, A. N., Boccaccini, M. T. & Miller, H. A. Perceptions of the Validity and Utility of Criminal Profiling Among Forensic Psychologists and Psychiatrists. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice* **37**, 51-58 (2006).

46.

Goodwill, A. M., Alison, L. J. & Beech, A. R. What Works in Offender Profiling? A Comparison of Typological, Thematic, and Multivariate Models. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law* **27**, 507-529 (2009).

47.

Goodwill, A. M. & Alison, L. J. When Is Profiling Possible? Offense Planning and Aggression as Moderators in Predicting Offender Age From Victim Age in Stranger Rape. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law* **25**, 823–840 (2007).

48.

Alison, L., Goodwill, A., Almond, L., Heuvel, C. & Winter, J. Pragmatic Solutions to Offender Profiling and Behavioural Investigative Advice. *Legal and Criminological Psychology* **15**, 115–132 (2010).

49.

The Real Boon | *The Psychologist*. vol. 16 308–309
<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-16/edition-6/real-boon> (2003).

50.

Luke, T. J. Lessons From Pinocchio: Cues to Deception May Be Highly Exaggerated. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **14**, 646–671 (2019).

51.

Vrij, A. & Granhag, P. A. Eliciting Cues to Deception and Truth: What Matters Are the Questions Asked. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* **1**, 110–117 (2012).

52.

Vrij, A., Fisher, R. P. & Blank, H. A Cognitive Approach to Lie Detection: A Meta-Analysis. *Legal and Criminological Psychology* **22**, 1–21 (2017).

53.

Ten Brinke, L. & Porter, S. Cry Me a River: Identifying the Behavioral Consequences of Extremely High-Stakes Interpersonal Deception. *Law and Human Behavior* **36**, 469–477

(2012).

54.

Levine, T. R., Serota, K. B. & Shulman, H. C. The Impact of Lie to Me on Viewers' Actual Ability to Detect Deception. *Communication Research* **37**, 847–856 (2010).

55.

Vrij, A. & Granhag, P. A. Eliciting Cues to Deception and Truth: What Matters Are the Questions Asked. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* **1**, 110–117 (2012).

56.

Vrij, A. et al. Lying About Flying: The Efficacy of the Information Protocol and Model Statement for Detecting Deceit. *Applied Cognitive Psychology* **34**, 241–255 (2020).

57.

Jordan, S. et al. A Test of the Micro-expressions Training Tool: Does It Improve Lie Detection? *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling* **16**, 222–235 (2019).

58.

Hudson, C. A., Vrij, A., Akehurst, L. & Hope, L. The Devil Is in the Detail: Deception and Consistency Over Repeated Interviews. *Psychology, Crime & Law* **25**, 752–770 (2019).

59.

Vrij, A., Fisher, R. P. & Blank, H. A Cognitive Approach to Lie Detection: A Meta-Analysis. *Legal and Criminological Psychology* **22**, 1–21 (2017).

60.

Hester, M. & Lilley, S.-J. More Than Support to Court. *International Review of Victimology*

24, 313–328 (2018).

61.

van der Bruggen, M. & Grubb, A. A Review of the Literature Relating to Rape Victim Blaming: An Analysis of the Impact of Observer and Victim Characteristics on Attribution of Blame in Rape Cases. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* **19**, 523–531 (2014).

62.

Shapland, J. et al. Situating Restorative Justice Within Criminal Justice. *Theoretical Criminology* **10**, 505–532 (2006).

63.

Burman, M. Evidencing Sexual Assault: Women in the Witness Box. *Probation Journal* **56**, 379–398 (2009).

64.

Lisak, D., Gardinier, L., Nicksa, S. C. & Cote, A. M. False Allegations of Sexual Assault: An Analysis of Ten Years of Reported Cases. *Violence Against Women* **16**, 1318–1334 (2010).

65.

Kelly, L., Lovett, J. & Regan, L. A Gap or a Chasm?: Attrition in Reported Rape Cases. vol. Home Office Research Study (Home Office Research, Development and Statistics Directorate, 2005).

66.

Hester, M. & Lilley, S.-J. Rape Investigation and Attrition in Acquaintance, Domestic Violence and Historical Rape Cases. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling* **14**, 175–188 (2017).

67.

Horvath, M. A. H., Tong, S. & Williams, E. Critical Issues in Rape Investigation: An Overview of Reform in England and Wales. *The Journal of Criminal Justice Research* **1**, 1–18 (2011).

68.

Daly, K. *Conventional and Innovative Justice Responses to Sexual Violence*. (2011).

69.

Hayes, R. M., Lorenz, K. & Bell, K. A. Victim Blaming Others. *Feminist Criminology* **8**, 202–220 (2013).

70.

Sleath, E. & Bull, R. Police Perceptions of Rape Victims and the Impact on Case Decision Making: A Systematic Review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior* **34**, 102–112 (2017).

71.

Strömwall, L. A., Landström, S. & Alfredsson, H. Perpetrator Characteristics and Blame Attributions in a Stranger Rape Situation. *The European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context* **6**, 63–67 (2014).

72.

Dinisman, T. & Moroz, A. *Understanding Victims of Crime: The Impact of the Crime and Support Needs*. (2017).

73.

Borum, R. Radicalization into Violent Extremism I: A Review of Social Science Theories. *Journal of Strategic Security* **4**, 7–36 (2011).

74.

King, M. & Taylor, D. M. *The Radicalization of Homegrown Jihadists: A Review of Theoretical*

Models and Social Psychological Evidence. *Terrorism and Political Violence* **23**, 602–622 (2011).

75.

Silke, A. The Internet & Terrorist Radicalisation: The Psychological Dimension. in *Terrorism and the Internet: Threats - Target Groups - Deradicalisation Strategies -- Volume 67 NATO Science for Peace and Security Series - E: Human and Societal Dynamics* 27–39 (2010).

76.

Kruglanski, A. W. et al. The Psychology of Radicalization and Deradicalization: How Significance Quest Impacts Violent Extremism. *Political Psychology* **35**, (2014).

77.

Kruglanski, A. W., Jasko, K., Chernikova, M., Dugas, M. & Webber, D. To the Fringe and Back: Violent Extremism and the Psychology of Deviance. *American Psychologist* **72**, 217–230 (2017).

78.

Webber, D., Klein, K., Kruglanski, A., Brizi, A. & Merari, A. Divergent Paths to Martyrdom and Significance Among Suicide Attackers. *Terrorism and Political Violence* **29**, 852–874 (2017).

79.

Webber, D. et al. The Road to Extremism: Field and Experimental Evidence That Significance Loss-Induced Need for Closure Fosters Radicalization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **114**, 270–285 (2018).

80.

Webber, D. et al. Deradicalizing Detained Terrorists. *Political Psychology* **39**, 539–556 (2018).

81.

Scherr, K. C., Redlich, A. D. & Kassin, S. M. Cumulative Disadvantage: A Psychological Framework for Understanding How Innocence Can Lead to Confession, Wrongful Conviction, and Beyond. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **15**, 353–383 (2020).

82.

Vrij, A. et al. Psychological Perspectives on Interrogation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **12**, 927–955 (2017).

83.

Kassin, S. M. On the Psychology of Confessions: Does Innocence Put Innocents at Risk? *American Psychologist* **60**, 215–228 (2005).

84.

Nesterak, E. Coerced to Confess: The Psychology of False Confessions. *Behavioural Scientist* (2014).

85.

Kassin, S. M. The Social Psychology of False Confessions. *Social Issues and Policy Review* **9**, 25–51 (2015).

86.

Meissner, C. A., Kelly, C. E. & Woestehoff, S. A. Improving the Effectiveness of Suspect Interrogations. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science* **11**, 211–233 (2015).

87.

Hill, C., Memon, A. & McGeorge, P. The Role of Confirmation Bias in Suspect Interviews: A Systematic Evaluation. *Legal and Criminological Psychology* **13**, 357–371 (2008).

88.

Maras, K. L., Mulcahy, S., Memon, A., Picariello, F. & Bowler, D. M. Evaluating the

Effectiveness of the Self-Administered Interview for Witnesses with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Applied Cognitive Psychology* **28**, 693–701 (2014).

89.

Mattison, M. A. & Dando, C. J. Police Officers' and Registered Intermediaries' Use of Drawing During Investigative Interviews With Vulnerable Witnesses. *Psychology, Crime and Law* **26**, 167–185 (2020).

90.

Special Measures | The Crown Prosecution Service.
<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/special-measures>.

91.

Risan, P., Milne, R. & Binder, P.-E. Trauma Narratives: Recommendations for Investigative Interviewing. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law* **27**, 678–694 (2020).

92.

Bull, R. The Investigative Interviewing of Children and Other Vulnerable Witnesses: Psychological Research and Working/professional Practice. *Legal and Criminological Psychology* **15**, 5–23 (2010).

93.

Maras, K., Marshall, I. & Sands, C. Mock Juror Perceptions of Credibility and Culpability in an Autistic Defendant. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* **49**, 996–1010 (2019).

94.

La Rooy, D., Lamb, M. E. & Memon, A. Forensic Interviews with Children in Scotland: A Survey of Interview Practices Among Police. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology* **26**, 26–34 (2011).

95.

Baugerud, G., Johnson, M. S., Hansen, H. B. G., Magnussen, S. & Lamb, M. E. Forensic Interviews With Preschool Children: An Analysis of Extended Interviews in Norway (2015–2017). *Applied Cognitive Psychology* **34**, 654–663 (2020).

96.

Memon, A., Meissner, C. A. & Fraser, J. The Cognitive Interview: A Meta-Analytic Review and Study Space Analysis of the Past 25 Years. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law* **16**, 340–372 (2010).

97.

Review of the NICHD Protocol.

98.

ABE Guidance.

99.

Brubacher, S. P. & Powell, M. B. Best-Practice Interviewing Spans Many Contexts [open access]. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* **8**, 398–402 (2019).

100.

Leach, C. L. & Powell, M. B. Forensic Risk Assessment Interviews With Youth: How Do We Elicit the Most Reliable and Complete Information? *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law* 1–13 (2020) doi:10.1080/13218719.2020.1734982.

101.

Eastwood, J., Snook, B. & Luther, K. Establishing the Most Effective Way to Deliver the Sketch Procedure to Enhance Interviewee Free Recall. *Psychology, Crime & Law* **25**, 482–493 (2019).

102.

Rachlew, A. From Interrogating to Interviewing Suspects of Terror: Towards a New Mindset | Penal Reform International.
<https://www.penalreform.org/blog/interrogating-interviewing-suspects-terror-towards-new-mindset/> (2017).

103.

We Are the 'Exonerated 5.' What Happened to Us Isn't Past, It's Present. The New York Times (2021).