

PS3181: Criminal and Forensic Psychology

View Online



1.

Cino JG. Is a Polygraph a Reliable Lie Detector? The Conversation. Published online 2018. <https://theconversation.com/is-a-polygraph-a-reliable-lie-detector-104043>

2.

Gudjonsson GH. The Science-Based Pathways to Understanding False Confessions and Wrongful Convictions. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2021;12. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2021.633936

3.

Hidden Victims:the Gendered Data Gap of Violent Crime | Watermark.
[4.](https://watermark.silverchair.com/azaa100.pdf?token=AQECAHi208BE49Ooan9kKhW_Ercy7Dm3ZL_9Cf3qfKAc485ysgAAAtcwgglTBgkqhkiG9w0BBwagggLEMIICwAIBADCCArkGCSqGSIb3DQEHATAeBgIghkgBZQMEAS4wEQQMqNSp0I_jMCxI4aGuAgEQgIIcioHI0ftp6JxnahAjWTRT2-6wR8PcRLh12Yf26T2NIKvGNty30nA-o2QHUmMYgFjZH-M9GRzfB4UfuK9bP2a3geafD8XiwL L1Y6Y2rvPJlzGoWJpUfamGqq1largslakGg1tBVeLeTZKiz6F9ppv-dHYjf8cya0jdJxiBtX1ZL0TOvS2nLz3OQ-5niwxLh8lZ6O-XYfYZc1h4aefRi5rzui4nnm7YFr6E-XYvreNZxpffS0lejwOo227pfgvBozEiF1nQog2dujmWpj9UY2VvYv_fXXGIVvLpOwc0GCz77ORYQT9WmbvxlC3pnppXz4heRL2tOh8sdeWqVn6_k2J8pYh43rz_hBS4VJnosaV8_68Cx2d4PdTvUA7r7TVj0IyT62vNmTgBYk0g3AeMosqCBCKKpp2ES7MI8udHPIkus2A_b8CHFyie4OGVdX7VebuTsWonGjoJziuES45aEGrfWVUMO_ATGsyCWfscUMbpGzGa6T_FYos-c2AL2WTvSXF6CZleo7QDvUveJ7kC5bG5knb7HFPa1bgiD20WGQ9jarHkUhvC-ZD9eSI0SDRsN7KEs67uCFHWJtFRfFuLqAuzB8HQxd3CYErJJY06N3FKS1ohhxI5B_Gp1L5WyWJe_D5Y1yeD-U1qG2SUq3bpOAymqQSZ5eLDRyfOcZfqOQZSzdj3PGVr w05jtHn-MttlJlqUxyqWirc3LGS9V-_zllRa64CX4ckhtfBd_7rSxeK06A0UtAZM051RhEUwdZFiQI f50D1eT_6jFP6oZDVAiacRTIkrLg4_UijHZ5fNO5qeOcqpdNN9N9fE3ZqzdMtoHAupl25e59N2h1hskHRrNXhxoNojvnTlpQHbtM</p></div><div data-bbox=)

Wells GL. Psychological Science on Eyewitness Identification and Its Impact on Police Practices and Policies. *American Psychologist*. 2020;75(9):1316-1329.
doi:10.1037/amp0000749

5.

The Justice System Is Failing Victims and Survivors of Sexual Violence | *The Psychologist*.
<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/justice-system-failing-victims-and-survivors-sexual-violence>

6.

Starr D. The Interview | *The New Yorker*. Published 2013.
<https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2013/12/09/the-interview-7>

7.

Schacter DL. Are All of Your Memories Real? | Ted Talk.
https://www.ted.com/talks/daniel_l_schacter_are_all_of_your_memories_real?utm_source=twitter.com&utm_medium=social&utm_campaign=tedsread

8.

Flowe HD. Rethinking the Effects of Alcohol on Eyewitness Memory Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature | Heather Flowe.
<https://www.heatherflowe.com/post/rethinking-the-effects-of-alcohol-on-eyewitness-memory-accuracy-a-meta-analysis-of-the-literature>

9.

Margot Van Sluytman Podcast: Sawbonna: Victims-Led Restorative Justice (Canada) | RJL.
Published online 2020.
<http://www.restorativejusticeinternational.com/2020/margot-van-sluytman-podcast-sawbonna-victims-led-restorative-justice-canada-august-2020/>

10.

The Psychology of Fake News. Routledge
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/f/I6p82e/44ROY_ALMA_DS5112486682

0002671

11.

Smith J. The Junk Science Cops Use to Decide You're Lying | The Intercept. Published 12 September 2020.
<https://theintercept.com/2020/08/12/blueleaks-law-enforcement-police-lie-detection/>

12.

Wager N. Michael Jackson: As an Expert in Child Sexual Abuse Here's What I Thought When I Watched Leaving Neverland. The Conversation. Published online 2019.
<https://theconversation.com/michael-jackson-as-an-expert-in-child-sexual-abuse-heres-what-i-thought-when-i-watched-leaving-neverland-113160>

13.

Hester M, Lilley SJ. Rape Investigation and Attrition in Acquaintance, Domestic Violence and Historical Rape Cases. Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling. 2017;14(2):175-188. doi:10.1002/jip.1469

14.

Lyon TD. Interviewing Children: Getting More with Less | YouTube.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7my1T4Ghf7A>

15.

Yoffe E. The Bad Science Behind Campus Response to Sexual Assault. The Atlantic. Published online 2007.
<https://www.theatlantic.com/education/archive/2017/09/the-bad-science-behind-campus-response-to-sexual-assault/539211/>

16.

Protecting Vulnerable Witnesses | Economic and Social Research Council.
<https://esrc.ukri.org/news-events-and-publications/impact-case-studies/protecting-vulnerable-witnesses/>

17.

Starr D. This Psychologist Explains Why People Confess to Crimes They Didn't Commit. Science. Published online 2019.

<https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2019/06/psychologist-explains-why-people-confess-crimes-they-didn-t-commit>

18.

Loftus E. How Reliable Is Your Memory? | TED.

https://www.ted.com/talks/elizabeth_loftus_the_fiction_of_memory/up-next

19.

Nash R. Why You Should Doubt Your Memories | TEDxBrum | YouTube.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=USXT4Q609R8>

20.

Greenwood V. How Science Is Putting a New Face on Crime Solving | National Geographic. Published 2016.

<http://www.nationalgeographic.com/magazine/2016/07/forensic-science-justice-crime-evidence/>

21.

Hyman IE, Wulff AN, Thomas AK. Crime Blindness: How Selective Attention and Inattentive Blindness Can Disrupt Eyewitness Awareness and Memory. Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences. 2018;5(2):202-208.

doi:10.1177/2372732218786749

22.

Rethinking the Effects of Alcohol on Eyewitness Memory Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Literature | Heather D. Flowe.

<https://www.heatherflowe.com/post/rethinking-the-effects-of-alcohol-on-eyewitness-memory-accuracy-a-meta-analysis-of-the-literature>

23.

Loftus EF. 25 Years of Eyewitness Science.....Finally Pays Off. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2013;8(5):556-557. doi:10.1177/1745691613500995

24.

Hope L, Mullis R, Gabbert F. Who? What? When? Using a Timeline Technique to Facilitate Recall of a Complex Event. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2013;2(1):20-24. doi:10.1016/j.jarmac.2013.01.002

25.

Loftus EF. Eavesdropping on Memory. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2017;68(1):1-18. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044138

26.

Flowe HD, Humphries JE, Takarangi MK, et al. An Experimental Examination of the Effects of Alcohol Consumption and Exposure to Misleading Postevent Information on Remembering a Hypothetical Rape Scenario. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2019;33(3):393-413. doi:10.1002/acp.3531

27.

Valentine T, Mesout J. Eyewitness Identification Under Stress in the London Dungeon. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2009;23(2):151-161. doi:10.1002/acp.1463

28.

Gabbert F, Memon A, Allan K. Memory Conformity: Can Eyewitnesses Influence Each Other's Memories for an Event? *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2003;17(5):533-543. doi:10.1002/acp.885

29.

Semmler C, Dunn J, Mickes L, Wixted JT. The Role of Estimator Variables in Eyewitness Identification. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*. 2018;24(3):400-415. doi:10.1037/xap0000157

30.

Fitzgerald RJ, Price HL, Valentine T. Eyewitness Identification: Live, Photo, and Video Lineups. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. 2018;24(3):307-325. doi:10.1037/law0000164

31.

200 Exonerated: Too Many Wrongly Convicted.
https://www.innocenceproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/ip_200.pdf

32.

Brendan Dassey: A True Story of a False Confession | YouTube. Published online 2016.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z7jDf5wWdDQ>

33.

Memon A. Why Eyewitnesses Give False Evidence – and How We Can Stop Them. *The Conversation*. Published online 2016.
<https://theconversation.com/why-eyewitnesses-give-false-evidence-and-how-we-can-stop-them-67254>

34.

Savage SP, Milne B. Miscarriages of Justice. In: *Handbook of Criminal Investigation*. Routledge; 2011:610-627.

35.

Finkelhor D. Sexual Abuse. *Journal of Psychotherapy & The Family*. 1986;2(2):53-65. doi:10.1300/J287v02n02_06

36.

Fleming J, Mullen P, Bammer G. A Study of Potential Risk Factors for Sexual Abuse in Childhood. *Child Abuse & Neglect*. 1997;21(1):49-58. doi:10.1016/S0145-2134(96)00126-3

37.

Horvath MAH, Davidson JC, Grove-Hills J, Gekoski A, Choak C. "It's a Lonely Journey": A Rapid Evidence Assessment on Intrafamilial Child Sexual Abuse | Children's Commissioner for England.
<https://www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/Its-a-lonely-journey-REA-on-Intrafamilial-child-sexual-abuse.pdf>

38.

Gregory N. Offender Profiling: A Review of the Literature. *The British Journal of Forensic Practice*. 2005;7(3):29-34. doi:10.1108/14636646200500019

39.

Davidson J, Bogaerts S, Caretti V, Scally M. Enhancing Police and Industry Practice in the Prevention of Online Child Sexual Abuse. Published online 2016.
doi:10.13140/RG.2.2.26099.32807

40.

DeMarco JN, Cheevers C, Davidson J, et al. Digital Dangers and Cyber-Victimisation: A Study of European Adolescent Online Risky Behaviour for Sexual Exploitation. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*. 2017;14(1):104-112. <https://repository.uel.ac.uk/item/84x42>

41.

Canter DV. Offender Profiling. In: *The Cambridge Handbook of Forensic Psychology*. Cambridge University Press; 2010:236-241.

42.

Cognitive and Human Factors in Expert Decision Making: Six Fallacies and the Eight Sources of Bias | *Analytical Chemistry*.
<https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00704>

43.

Dowden C, Bennell C, Bloomfield S. Advances in Offender Profiling: A Systematic Review of the Profiling Literature Published Over the Past Three Decades. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*. 2007;22(1):44-56. doi:10.1007/s11896-007-9000-9

44.

Mokros A, Alison LJ. Is Offender Profiling Possible? Testing the Predicted Homology of Crime Scene Actions and Background Characteristics in a Sample of Rapists. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2002;7(1):25-43. doi:10.1348/135532502168360

45.

Torres AN, Boccaccini MT, Miller HA. Perceptions of the Validity and Utility of Criminal Profiling Among Forensic Psychologists and Psychiatrists. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 2006;37(1):51-58. doi:10.1037/0735-7028.37.1.51

46.

Goodwill AM, Alison LJ, Beech AR. What Works in Offender Profiling? A Comparison of Typological, Thematic, and Multivariate Models. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*. 2009;27(4):507-529. doi:10.1002/bsl.867

47.

Goodwill AM, Alison LJ. When Is Profiling Possible? Offense Planning and Aggression as Moderators in Predicting Offender Age From Victim Age in Stranger Rape. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*. 2007;25(6):823-840. doi:10.1002/bsl.778

48.

Alison L, Goodwill A, Almond L, Heuvel C, Winter J. Pragmatic Solutions to Offender Profiling and Behavioural Investigative Advice. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2010;15(1):115-132. doi:10.1348/135532509X463347

49.

The Real Boon | *The Psychologist*. Published 2003.

<https://thepsychologist.bps.org.uk/volume-16/edition-6/real-boon>

50.

Luke TJ. Lessons From Pinocchio: Cues to Deception May Be Highly Exaggerated. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2019;14(4):646-671.
doi:10.1177/1745691619838258

51.

Vrij A, Granhag PA. Eliciting Cues to Deception and Truth: What Matters Are the Questions Asked. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2012;1(2):110-117.
doi:10.1016/j.jarmac.2012.02.004

52.

Vrij A, Fisher RP, Blank H. A Cognitive Approach to Lie Detection: A Meta-Analysis. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2017;22(1):1-21. doi:10.1111/lcrp.12088

53.

Ten Brinke L, Porter S. Cry Me a River: Identifying the Behavioral Consequences of Extremely High-Stakes Interpersonal Deception. *Law and Human Behavior*. 2012;36(6):469-477. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43586871>

54.

Levine TR, Serota KB, Shulman HC. The Impact of Lie to Me on Viewers' Actual Ability to Detect Deception. *Communication Research*. 2010;37(6):847-856.
doi:10.1177/0093650210362686

55.

Vrij A, Granhag PA. Eliciting Cues to Deception and Truth: What Matters Are the Questions Asked. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2012;1(2):110-117.
doi:10.1016/j.jarmac.2012.02.004

56.

Vrij A, Leal S, Deeb H, et al. Lying About Flying: The Efficacy of the Information Protocol and Model Statement for Detecting Deceit. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2020;34(1):241-255. doi:10.1002/acp.3614

57.

Jordan S, Brimbal L, Wallace DB, Kassin SM, Hartwig M, Street CNH. A Test of the Micro-expressions Training Tool: Does It Improve Lie Detection? *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*. 2019;16(3):222-235. doi:10.1002/jip.1532

58.

Hudson CA, Vrij A, Akehurst L, Hope L. The Devil Is in the Detail: Deception and Consistency Over Repeated Interviews. *Psychology, Crime & Law*. 2019;25(7):752-770. doi:10.1080/1068316X.2019.1574790

59.

Vrij A, Fisher RP, Blank H. A Cognitive Approach to Lie Detection: A Meta-Analysis. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2017;22(1):1-21. doi:10.1111/lcrp.12088

60.

Hester M, Lilley SJ. More Than Support to Court. *International Review of Victimology*. 2018;24(3):313-328. doi:10.1177/0269758017742717

61.

van der Bruggen M, Grubb A. A Review of the Literature Relating to Rape Victim Blaming: An Analysis of the Impact of Observer and Victim Characteristics on Attribution of Blame in Rape Cases. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*. 2014;19(5):523-531. doi:10.1016/j.avb.2014.07.008

62.

Shapland J, Atkinson A, Atkinson H, et al. Situating Restorative Justice Within Criminal Justice. *Theoretical Criminology*. 2006;10(4):505-532. doi:10.1177/1362480606068876

63.

Burman M. Evidencing Sexual Assault: Women in the Witness Box. *Probation Journal*. 2009;56(4):379-398. doi:10.1177/0264550509346506

64.

Lisak D, Gardinier L, Nicksa SC, Cote AM. False Allegations of Sexual Assault: An Analysis of Ten Years of Reported Cases. *Violence Against Women*. 2010;16(12):1318-1334. doi:10.1177/1077801210387747

65.

Kelly L, Lovett J, Regan L. A Gap or a Chasm?: Attrition in Reported Rape Cases. Vol Home Office Research Study. Home Office Research, Development and Statistics Directorate; 2005.

66.

Hester M, Lilley SJ. Rape Investigation and Attrition in Acquaintance, Domestic Violence and Historical Rape Cases. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*. 2017;14(2):175-188. doi:10.1002/jip.1469

67.

Horvath MAH, Tong S, Williams E. Critical Issues in Rape Investigation: An Overview of Reform in England and Wales. *The Journal of Criminal Justice Research*. 2011;1(2):1-18. <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/6983/>

68.

Daly K. Conventional and Innovative Justice Responses to Sexual Violence. 2011;(12). <https://aifs.gov.au/publications/conventional-and-innovative-justice-responses-sexual-violence>

69.

Hayes RM, Lorenz K, Bell KA. Victim Blaming Others. *Feminist Criminology*. 2013;8(3):202-220. doi:10.1177/1557085113484788

70.

Sleath E, Bull R. Police Perceptions of Rape Victims and the Impact on Case Decision Making: A Systematic Review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*. 2017;34:102-112. doi:10.1016/j.avb.2017.02.003

71.

Strömwall LA, Landström S, Alfredsson H. Perpetrator Characteristics and Blame Attributions in a Stranger Rape Situation. *The European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context*. 2014;6(2):63-67. doi:10.1016/j.ejpal.2014.06.002

72.

Dinisman T, Moroz A. Understanding Victims of Crime: The Impact of the Crime and Support Needs. Published online 2017.
https://web.archive.org/web/20180418225936/https://www.victimsupport.org.uk/sites/default/files/VS_Understanding%20victims%20of%20crime_web.pdf

73.

Borum R. Radicalization into Violent Extremism I: A Review of Social Science Theories. *Journal of Strategic Security*. 2011;4(4):7-36. doi:10.5038/1944-0472.4.4.1

74.

King M, Taylor DM. The Radicalization of Homegrown Jihadists: A Review of Theoretical Models and Social Psychological Evidence. *Terrorism and Political Violence*. 2011;23(4):602-622. doi:10.1080/09546553.2011.587064

75.

Silke A. The Internet & Terrorist Radicalisation: The Psychological Dimension. In: *Terrorism and the Internet: Threats - Target Groups - Deradicalisation Strategies -- Volume 67 NATO Science for Peace and Security Series - E: Human and Societal Dynamics*. ; 2010:27-39.

76.

Kruglanski AW, Gelfand MJ, Bélanger JJ, Sheveland A, Hetiarachchi M, Gunaratna R. The Psychology of Radicalization and Deradicalization: How Significance Quest Impacts Violent Extremism. *Political Psychology*. 2014;35(S1). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43783789>

77.

Kruglanski AW, Jasko K, Chernikova M, Dugas M, Webber D. To the Fringe and Back: Violent Extremism and the Psychology of Deviance. *American Psychologist*. 2017;72(3):217-230. doi:10.1037/amp0000091

78.

Webber D, Klein K, Kruglanski A, Brizi A, Merari A. Divergent Paths to Martyrdom and Significance Among Suicide Attackers. *Terrorism and Political Violence*. 2017;29(5):852-874. doi:10.1080/09546553.2015.1075979

79.

Webber D, Babush M, Schori-Eyal N, et al. The Road to Extremism: Field and Experimental Evidence That Significance Loss-Induced Need for Closure Fosters Radicalization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2018;114(2):270-285. doi:10.1037/pspi0000111

80.

Webber D, Chernikova M, Kruglanski AW, et al. Deradicalizing Detained Terrorists. *Political Psychology*. 2018;39(3):539-556. doi:10.1111/pops.12428

81.

Scherr KC, Redlich AD, Kassin SM. Cumulative Disadvantage: A Psychological Framework for Understanding How Innocence Can Lead to Confession, Wrongful Conviction, and Beyond. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2020;15(2):353-383. doi:10.1177/1745691619896608

82.

Vrij A, Meissner CA, Fisher RP, Kassin SM, Morgan CA, Kleinman SM. Psychological

Perspectives on Interrogation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2017;12(6):927-955.
doi:10.1177/1745691617706515

83.

Kassin SM. On the Psychology of Confessions: Does Innocence Put Innocents at Risk? *American Psychologist*. 2005;60(3):215-228. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.60.3.215

84.

Nesterak E. Coerced to Confess: The Psychology of False Confessions. *Behavioural Scientist*. Published online 2014.
<https://behavioralscientist.org/coerced-to-confess-the-psychology-of-false-confessions/>

85.

Kassin SM. The Social Psychology of False Confessions. *Social Issues and Policy Review*. 2015;9(1):25-51. doi:10.1111/sipr.12009

86.

Meissner CA, Kelly CE, Woestehoff SA. Improving the Effectiveness of Suspect Interrogations. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*. 2015;11(1):211-233.
doi:10.1146/annurev-lawsocsci-120814-121657

87.

Hill C, Memon A, McGeorge P. The Role of Confirmation Bias in Suspect Interviews: A Systematic Evaluation. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2008;13(2):357-371.
doi:10.1348/135532507X238682

88.

Maras KL, Mulcahy S, Memon A, Picariello F, Bowler DM. Evaluating the Effectiveness of the Self-Administered Interview for Witnesses with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2014;28(5):693-701. doi:10.1002/acp.3055

89.

Mattison MA, Dando CJ. Police Officers' and Registered Intermediaries' Use of Drawing During Investigative Interviews With Vulnerable Witnesses. *Psychology, Crime and Law*. 2020;26(2):167-185.

<https://westminsterresearch.westminster.ac.uk/item/qw87w/police-officers-and-registered-intermediaries-use-of-drawing-during-investigative-interviews-with-vulnerable-witnesses>

90.

Special Measures | The Crown Prosecution Service.

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/special-measures>

91.

Risan P, Milne R, Binder PE. Trauma Narratives: Recommendations for Investigative Interviewing. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*. 2020;27(4):678-694.

doi:10.1080/13218719.2020.1742237

92.

Bull R. The Investigative Interviewing of Children and Other Vulnerable Witnesses: Psychological Research and Working/professional Practice. *Legal and Criminological Psychology*. 2010;15(1):5-23. doi:10.1348/014466509X440160

93.

Maras K, Marshall I, Sands C. Mock Juror Perceptions of Credibility and Culpability in an Autistic Defendant. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2019;49(3):996-1010.

doi:10.1007/s10803-018-3803-7

94.

La Rooy D, Lamb ME, Memon A. Forensic Interviews with Children in Scotland: A Survey of Interview Practices Among Police. *Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology*.

2011;26(1):26-34. doi:10.1007/s11896-010-9072-9

95.

Baugerud G, Johnson MS, Hansen HBG, Magnussen S, Lamb ME. Forensic Interviews With Preschool Children: An Analysis of Extended Interviews in Norway (2015–2017). *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. 2020;34(3):654-663. doi:10.1002/acp.3647

96.

Memon A, Meissner CA, Fraser J. The Cognitive Interview: A Meta-Analytic Review and Study Space Analysis of the Past 25 Years. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law*. 2010;16(4):340-372. doi:10.1037/a0020518

97.

Review of the NICHD Protocol. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/143689305.pdf>

98.

ABE Guidance.

https://www.cps.gov.uk/sites/default/files/documents/legal_guidance/best_evidence_in_criminal_proceedings.pdf

99.

Brubacher SP, Powell MB. Best-Practice Interviewing Spans Many Contexts [open access]. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*. 2019;8:398-402. <http://www.saveservices.org/wp-content/uploads/Best-PracticeInterviewingSpansManyContexts.pdf>

100.

Leach CL, Powell MB. Forensic Risk Assessment Interviews With Youth: How Do We Elicit the Most Reliable and Complete Information? *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*. Published online 2020:1-13. doi:10.1080/13218719.2020.1734982

101.

Eastwood J, Snook B, Luther K. Establishing the Most Effective Way to Deliver the Sketch Procedure to Enhance Interviewee Free Recall. *Psychology, Crime & Law*. 2019;25(5):482-493. doi:10.1080/1068316X.2018.1538416

102.

Rachlew A. From Interrogating to Interviewing Suspects of Terror: Towards a New Mindset | Penal Reform International. Published 14 March 2017.

<https://www.penalreform.org/blog/interrogating-interviewing-suspects-terror-towards-new-mindset/>

103.

We Are the 'Exonerated 5.' What Happened to Us Isn't Past, It's Present. The New York Times. Published online 2021.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2021/01/04/opinion/exonerated-five-false-confessions.html?smid=tw-share>