

# PS2080: Conceptual Issues In Psychology

View Online



1.

Brysbaert, M., Rastle, K.: Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

2.

Brysbaert, M., Rastle, K.: Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. Pearson Education, Harlow (2009).

3.

Chalmers, A.F.: What Is This Thing Called Science? Open University Press, Maidenhead (1999).

4.

Hedges, L.V.: How Hard Is Hard Science, How Soft Is Soft Science? the Empirical Cumulativeness of Research. *American Psychologist*. 42, 443–455 (1987).  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.42.5.443>.

5.

Lilienfeld, S.O.: Public Skepticism of Psychology: Why Many People Perceive the Study of Human Behavior as Unscientific. *American Psychologist*. 67, (2012).

6.

Kumar, N.: Karl Popper, Psychology and Philosophy. *Psychological Studies*. 55, 270–274 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12646-010-0032-3>.

7.

Rowbottom, D.P.: Kuhn vs. Popper on criticism and dogmatism in science: a resolution at the group level. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A*. 42, 117–124 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2010.11.031>.

8.

Fuller, S.: Kuhn vs. Popper: The Struggle for the Soul of Science, <http://www.collier.sts.vt.edu/5306/kuhnpopper.pdf>, (2003).

9.

Brysbart, M., Rastle, K.: *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

10.

Brysbart, M., Rastle, K.: *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education, Harlow (2009).

11.

Carpenter, S.: Some Neglected Contributions of Wilhelm Wundt to the Psychology of Memory, [http://public.psych.iastate.edu/shacarp/carpenter\\_2005.pdf](http://public.psych.iastate.edu/shacarp/carpenter_2005.pdf), (2005).

12.

Tolman, E.C.: Cognitive Maps in Rats and Men. *Psychological Review*. 55, 189–208 (1948). <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0061626>.

13.

Danziger, K.: The History of Introspection Reconsidered [open access]. *Journal of the*

History of Behaviour Sciences. 16, (1980).

14.

Dutton, D.G., Aron, A.P.: Some Evidence for Heightened Sexual Attraction Under Conditions of High Anxiety. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 30, 510-517 (1974).  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/h0037031>.

15.

Fridlund, A.J.: Little Albert: A Neurologically Impaired Child. *History of Psychology*. 15, 302-327 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026720>.

16.

Gibbs Jr, R.W.: Introspection and Cognitive Linguistics: Should We Trust Our Own Intuitions? *Annual Review of Cognitive Linguistics*. 4, 135-151 (2006).

17.

Myers, D.G.: *Intuition: Its Powers and Perils*. Yale Nota Bene, New Haven, [Conn.] (2004).

18.

Nisbett, R.E., Wilson, T.D.: Telling More Than We Can Know: Verbal Reports on Mental Processes. *Psychological Review*. 84, 231-259 (1977).  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.3.231>.

19.

Libet, B.: The Timing of Mental Events: Libet's Experimental Findings and Their Implications. *Consciousness and Cognition*. 11, 291-299 (2002).  
<https://doi.org/10.1006/ccog.2002.0568>.

20.

Brysbaert, M., Rastle, K.: Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. Pearson Education, Harlow (2009).

21.

Brysbaert, M., Rastle, K.: Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

22.

Sperling, G.: The Information Available in Brief Visual Presentations. Psychological Monographs: General and Applied. 74, 1-29 (1960). <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0093759>.

23.

Miller, G.A.: The Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two: Some Limits on Our Capacity for Processing Information. Psychological Review. 63, 81-97 (1956). <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0043158>.

24.

Dima, D.: Understanding Why Patients With Schizophrenia Do Not Perceive the Hollow-Mask Illusion Using Dynamic Causal Modelling. NeuroImage. 46, 1180-1186 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.03.033>.

25.

Bruce, D.: Lashley and the Problem of Serial Order. American Psychologist. 49, 93-103 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.49.2.93>.

26.

Coltheart, M.: Cognitive Neuropsychology. In: Stevens' Handbook of Experimental Psychology, Methodology in Experimental Psychology. Wiley (2002).

27.

Miller, G.A.: The Cognitive Revolution: A Historical Perspective. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 7, 141–144 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(03\)00029-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00029-9).

28.

Watrin, J.P., Darwich, R.: On Behaviorism in the Cognitive Revolution: Myth and Reactions. *Review of General Psychology*. 16, 269–282 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026766>.

29.

Henson, R.: What Can Functional Neuroimaging Tell the Experimental Psychologist? *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*. 58, 193–233 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724980443000502>.

30.

Page, M.P.A.: What Can't Functional Neuroimaging Tell the Cognitive Psychologist? *Cortex*. 42, 428–443 (2006). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-9452\(08\)70375-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-9452(08)70375-7).

31.

Mather, M.: How fMRI Can Inform Cognitive Theories. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 8, 108–113 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612469037>.

32.

Wixted, J.T., Mickes, L.: On the Relationship Between fMRI and Theories of Cognition: The Arrow Points in Both Directions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 8, 104–107 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612469022>.

33.

Wager, T.D., Atlas, L.Y.: How Is Pain Influenced by Cognition? Neuroimaging Weighs In. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 8, 91–97 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612469631>.

34.

Coltheart, M.: How Can Functional Neuroimaging Inform Cognitive Theories? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 8, 98–103 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612469208>.

35.

Raichle, M.E.: Behind the Scenes of Functional Brain Imaging: A Historical and Physiological Perspective. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 95, 765–772 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.3.765>.

36.

Poldrack, R.: Can Cognitive Processes Be Inferred From Neuroimaging Data? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 10, 59–63 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.12.004>.

37.

Coltheart, M.: What Has Functional Neuroimaging Told Us About the Organization of Mind? *Cognitive Neuropsychology*. 28, 397–402 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1080/02643294.2012.666964>.

38.

Logothetis, N.K.: What We Can Do and What We Cannot Do With fMRI. *Nature*. 453, 869–878 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06976>.

39.

Henson, R.: Forward Inference Using Functional Neuroimaging: Dissociations Versus Associations. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 10, 64–69 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.12.005>.

40.

Farah, M.J.: Neuroethics: The Ethical, Legal, and Societal Impact of Neuroscience. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 63, 571–591 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100438>.

41.

Farah, M.J.: Functional MRI-Based Lie Detection: Scientific and Societal Challenges. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 15, 123–131 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3665>.

42.

Farah, M.J.: Neuroethics: The Practical and the Philosophical. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 9, 34–40 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.12.001>.

43.

Lockwood, P.L.: Association of Callous Traits with Reduced Neural Response to Others' Pain in Children with Conduct Problems. *Current Biology*. 23, 901–905 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.018>.

44.

Nishimoto, S.: Reconstructing Visual Experiences from Brain Activity Evoked by Natural Movies. *Current Biology*. 21, 1641–1646 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.08.031>.

45.

Owen, A.M.: Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State. *Science*. 313, 1402–1402 (2006).

46.

Phelps, E.A.: Performance on Indirect Measures of Race Evaluation Predicts Amygdala Activation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. 12, 729–738 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1162/089892900562552>.

47.

Brysbaert, M., Rastle, K.: *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

48.

Brysbaert, M., Rastle, K.: *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education, Harlow (2009).

49.

Bennett, M.: The Motor System in Neuroscience: A History and Analysis of Conceptual Developments. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 67, 1–52 (2002).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082\(02\)00012-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082(02)00012-6).

50.

López-Muñoz, F.: Neuron Theory, the Cornerstone of Neuroscience, on the Centenary of the Nobel Prize Award to Santiago Ramón Y Cajal. *Brain Research Bulletin*. 70, 391–405 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2006.07.010>.

51.

Crivellato, E., Ribatti, D.: Soul, Mind, Brain: Greek Philosophy and the Birth of Neuroscience. *Brain Research Bulletin*. 71, 327–336 (2007).  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2006.09.020>.

52.

Pevsner, J.: Leonardo Da Vinci's Contributions to Neuroscience. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 25, 217–220 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236\(00\)02121-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(00)02121-4).

53.

Bennett, M.R.: The Early History of the Synapse: From Plato to Sherrington. *Brain Research Bulletin*. 50, 95–118 (1999). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230\(99\)00094-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230(99)00094-5).

54.

Buss, D.M.: Evolutionary Psychology: A New Paradigm for Psychological Science. *Psychological Inquiry*. 6, 1–30 (1995).



55.

Cosmides, L., Tooby, J.: *Evolutionary Psychology: A Primer*.

56.

Buller, D.J.: *Evolutionary Psychology: The Emperor's New Paradigm*. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 9, 277-283 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.04.003>.

57.

Buss, D.M., Schmitt, D.P.: *Sexual Strategies Theory: An Evolutionary Perspective on Human Mating*. *Psychological Review*. 100, 204-232 (1993).  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.100.2.204>.

58.

Bolhuis, J.J.: *Darwin in Mind: New Opportunities for Evolutionary Psychology*. *PLoS Biology*. 9, (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001109>.

59.

Brybaert, M., Rastle, K.: *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

60.

Brybaert, M., Rastle, K.: *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education, Harlow (2009).

61.

Gould, S.J.: *Morton's Ranking of Races by Cranial Capacity*. *Science*. 200, (1978).

62.

*Ibn-Tamas v. United States* :: 1979 :: District of Columbia Court of Appeals Decisions ::

District of Columbia Case Law :: District of Columbia Law :: US Law :: Justia,  
<https://law.justia.com/cases/district-of-columbia/court-of-appeals/1979/12614-2.html>.

63.

Kagan, J.: Historical Selection. *Review of General Psychology*. 13, 77–88 (2009).  
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014228>.

64.

Lewis, J.E.: The Mismeasure of Science: Stephen Jay Gould versus Samuel George Morton on Skulls and Bias. *PLoS Biology*. 9, (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001071>.

65.

Schuller, R.A., Vidmar, N.: Battered Woman Syndrome Evidence in the Courtroom: A Review of the Literature. *Law and Human Behavior*. 16, 273–291 (1992).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01044770>.

66.

Silverman, F.H.: The "Monster" Study. *Journal of Fluency Disorders*. 13, 225–231 (1988).  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0094-730X\(88\)90049-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0094-730X(88)90049-6).

67.

Weindling, P.: The Origins of Informed Consent: The International Scientific Commission on Medical War Crimes, and the Nuremberg Code. *Bulletin of the History of Medicine*. 75, 37–71 (2001).

68.

Welch, B.L.: Moral Drift and the American Psychological Association: The Road to Torture. *Social Justice*. 37, 175–182 (2010).

69.

Zimbardo, P.G.: Does Psychology Make a Significant Difference in Our Lives? *American Psychologist*. 59, 339–351 (2004).

70.

Brysbaert, M., Rastle, K.: *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson, Harlow (2013).

71.

Brysbaert, M., Rastle, K.: *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education, Harlow (2009).

72.

van Strien, P.J.: Early Applied Psychology Between Essentialism and Pragmatism: The Dynamics of Theory, Tools, and Clients. *History of Psychology*. 1, 205–234 (1998).

73.

Johanningmeier, E.V., Hale, M.: Hugo Munsterberg. *History of Education Quarterly*. 23, (1983). <https://doi.org/10.2307/368162>.

74.

James, W.: A Plea for Psychology as a 'Natural Science'. *The Philosophical Review*. 1, (1892). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2175743>.

75.

Welford, A.T.: *Fundamentals of skill*. Methuen, London (1968).

76.

Borries, C., Launhardt, K., Epplen, C., Epplen, J.T., Winkler, P.: DNA analyses support the hypothesis that infanticide is adaptive in langur monkeys. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*. 266, 901–904 (1999).

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0721>.

77.

Daly, M., Wilson, M.: The 'Cinderella effect' is no fairy tale. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 9, 507–508 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.09.007>.

78.

Fisher, S.E.: Human Genetics: The Evolving Story of FOXP2. *Current Biology*. 29, R65–R67 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.047>.

79.

Laland, K.N., Odling-Smee, J., Myles, S.: How culture shaped the human genome: bringing genetics and the human sciences together. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 11, 137–148 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2734>.

80.

Marcus, G.F., Fisher, S.E.: FOXP2 in focus: what can genes tell us about speech and language? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 7, 257–262 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(03\)00104-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00104-9).

81.

Pardiñas, A.F., Holmans, P., Pocklington, A.J., Escott-Price, V., Ripke, S., Carrera, N., Legge, S.E., Bishop, S., Cameron, D., Hamshere, M.L., Han, J., Hubbard, L., Lynham, A., Mantripragada, K., Rees, E., MacCabe, J.H., McCarroll, S.A., Baune, B.T., Breen, G., Byrne, E.M., Dannlowski, U., Eley, T.C., Hayward, C., Martin, N.G., McIntosh, A.M., Plomin, R., Porteous, D.J., Wray, N.R., Caballero, A., Geschwind, D.H., Huckins, L.M., Ruderfer, D.M., Santiago, E., Sklar, P., Stahl, E.A., Won, H., Agerbo, E., Als, T.D., Andreassen, O.A., Bækvad-Hansen, M., Mortensen, P.B., Pedersen, C.B., Børghlum, A.D., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Djurovic, S., Durmishi, N., Pedersen, M.G., Golimbet, V., Grove, J., Hougaard, D.M.: Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nature Genetics*. 50, 381–389 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0059-2>.

82.

The impact of order incongruence between a task-irrelevant auditory sequence and a task-relevant visual sequence.(Author Abstract). (2005).