

PS2080: Conceptual Issues In Psychology

View Online



-
1.
Brysbaert, M. & Rastle, K. Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. (Pearson, 2013).

 2.
Brysbaert, M. & Rastle, K. Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. (Pearson Education, 2009).

 3.
Chalmers, A. F. What Is This Thing Called Science? (Open University Press, 1999).

 4.
Hedges, L. V. How Hard Is Hard Science, How Soft Is Soft Science? the Empirical Cumulativeness of Research. *American Psychologist* **42**, 443–455 (1987).

 5.
Lilienfeld, S. O. Public Skepticism of Psychology: Why Many People Perceive the Study of Human Behavior as Unscientific. *American Psychologist* **67**, (2012).

 6.
Kumar, N. Karl Popper, Psychology and Philosophy. *Psychological Studies* **55**, 270–274 (2010).

7.

Rowbottom, D. P. Kuhn vs. Popper on criticism and dogmatism in science: a resolution at the group level. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A* **42**, 117–124 (2011).

8.

Fuller, S. Kuhn vs. Popper: The Struggle for the Soul of Science. (2003).

9.

Brybaert, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson, 2013).

10.

Brybaert, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson Education, 2009).

11.

Carpenter, S. Some Neglected Contributions of Wilhelm Wundt to the Psychology of Memory. (2005).

12.

Tolman, E. C. Cognitive Maps in Rats and Men. *Psychological Review* **55**, 189–208 (1948).

13.

Danziger, K. The History of Introspection Reconsidered [open access]. *Journal of the History of Behaviour Sciences* **16**, (1980).

14.

Dutton, D. G. & Aron, A. P. Some Evidence for Heightened Sexual Attraction Under Conditions of High Anxiety. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **30**, 510-517 (1974).

15.

Fridlund, A. J. Little Albert: A Neurologically Impaired Child. *History of Psychology* **15**, 302-327 (2012).

16.

Gibbs Jr, R. W. Introspection and Cognitive Linguistics: Should We Trust Our Own Intuitions? *Annual Review of Cognitive Linguistics* **4**, 135-151 (2006).

17.

Myers, D. G. *Intuition: Its Powers and Perils*. (Yale Nota Bene, 2004).

18.

Nisbett, R. E. & Wilson, T. D. Telling More Than We Can Know: Verbal Reports on Mental Processes. *Psychological Review* **84**, 231-259 (1977).

19.

Libet, B. The Timing of Mental Events: Libet's Experimental Findings and Their Implications. *Consciousness and Cognition* **11**, 291-299 (2002).

20.

Brysbaert, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson Education, 2009).

21.

Brysbaert, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson, 2013).

22.

Sperling, G. The Information Available in Brief Visual Presentations. *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied* **74**, 1–29 (1960).

23.

Miller, G. A. The Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two: Some Limits on Our Capacity for Processing Information. *Psychological Review* **63**, 81–97 (1956).

24.

Dima, D. Understanding Why Patients With Schizophrenia Do Not Perceive the Hollow-Mask Illusion Using Dynamic Causal Modelling. *NeuroImage* **46**, 1180–1186 (2009).

25.

Bruce, D. Lashley and the Problem of Serial Order. *American Psychologist* **49**, 93–103 (1994).

26.

Coltheart, M. Cognitive Neuropsychology. in *Stevens' Handbook of Experimental Psychology, Methodology in Experimental Psychology* (Wiley, 2002).

27.

Miller, G. A. The Cognitive Revolution: A Historical Perspective. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **7**, 141–144 (2003).

28.

Watrin, J. P. & Darwich, R. On Behaviorism in the Cognitive Revolution: Myth and Reactions. *Review of General Psychology* **16**, 269–282 (2012).

29.

Henson, R. What Can Functional Neuroimaging Tell the Experimental Psychologist? The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A **58**, 193–233 (2005).

30.

Page, M. P. A. What Can't Functional Neuroimaging Tell the Cognitive Psychologist? Cortex **42**, 428–443 (2006).

31.

Mather, M. How fMRI Can Inform Cognitive Theories. Perspectives on Psychological Science **8**, 108–113 (2013).

32.

Wixted, J. T. & Mickes, L. On the Relationship Between fMRI and Theories of Cognition: The Arrow Points in Both Directions. Perspectives on Psychological Science **8**, 104–107 (2013).

33.

Wager, T. D. & Atlas, L. Y. How Is Pain Influenced by Cognition? Neuroimaging Weighs In. Perspectives on Psychological Science **8**, 91–97 (2013).

34.

Coltheart, M. How Can Functional Neuroimaging Inform Cognitive Theories? Perspectives on Psychological Science **8**, 98–103 (2013).

35.

Raichle, M. E. Behind the Scenes of Functional Brain Imaging: A Historical and Physiological Perspective. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **95**, 765–772 (1998).

36.

Poldrack, R. Can Cognitive Processes Be Inferred From Neuroimaging Data? Trends in Cognitive Sciences **10**, 59–63 (2006).

37.

Coltheart, M. What Has Functional Neuroimaging Told Us About the Organization of Mind? Cognitive Neuropsychology **28**, 397–402 (2011).

38.

Logothetis, N. K. What We Can Do and What We Cannot Do With fMRI. Nature **453**, 869–878 (2008).

39.

Henson, R. Forward Inference Using Functional Neuroimaging: Dissociations Versus Associations. Trends in Cognitive Sciences **10**, 64–69 (2006).

40.

Farah, M. J. Neuroethics: The Ethical, Legal, and Societal Impact of Neuroscience. Annual Review of Psychology **63**, 571–591 (2012).

41.

Farah, M. J. Functional MRI-Based Lie Detection: Scientific and Societal Challenges. Nature Reviews Neuroscience **15**, 123–131 (2014).

42.

Farah, M. J. Neuroethics: The Practical and the Philosophical. Trends in Cognitive Sciences **9**, 34–40 (2005).

43.

Lockwood, P. L. Association of Callous Traits with Reduced Neural Response to Others' Pain in Children with Conduct Problems. *Current Biology* **23**, 901–905 (2013).

44.

Nishimoto, S. Reconstructing Visual Experiences from Brain Activity Evoked by Natural Movies. *Current Biology* **21**, 1641–1646 (2011).

45.

Owen, A. M. Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State. *Science* **313**, 1402–1402 (2006).

46.

Phelps, E. A. Performance on Indirect Measures of Race Evaluation Predicts Amygdala Activation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* **12**, 729–738 (2000).

47.

Brysbart, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson, 2013).

48.

Brysbart, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson Education, 2009).

49.

Bennett, M. The Motor System in Neuroscience: A History and Analysis of Conceptual Developments. *Progress in Neurobiology* **67**, 1–52 (2002).

50.

López-Muñoz, F. Neuron Theory, the Cornerstone of Neuroscience, on the Centenary of the Nobel Prize Award to Santiago Ramón Y Cajal. *Brain Research Bulletin* **70**, 391–405 (2006).

51.

Crivellato, E. & Ribatti, D. Soul, Mind, Brain: Greek Philosophy and the Birth of Neuroscience. *Brain Research Bulletin* **71**, 327–336 (2007).

52.

Pevsner, J. Leonardo Da Vinci's Contributions to Neuroscience. *Trends in Neurosciences* **25**, 217–220 (2002).

53.

Bennett, M. R. The Early History of the Synapse: From Plato to Sherrington. *Brain Research Bulletin* **50**, 95–118 (1999).

54.

Buss, D. M. Evolutionary Psychology: A New Paradigm for Psychological Science. *Psychological Inquiry* **6**, 1–30 (1995).

55.

Cosmides, L. & Tooby, J. *Evolutionary Psychology: A Primer*.

56.

Buller, D. J. Evolutionary Psychology: The Emperor's New Paradigm. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **9**, 277–283 (2005).

57.

Buss, D. M. & Schmitt, D. P. Sexual Strategies Theory: An Evolutionary Perspective on Human Mating. *Psychological Review* **100**, 204–232 (1993).

58.

Bolhuis, J. J. Darwin in Mind: New Opportunities for Evolutionary Psychology. *PLoS Biology* **9**, (2011).

59.

Brysbaert, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson, 2013).

60.

Brysbaert, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson Education, 2009).

61.

Gould, S. J. Morton's Ranking of Races by Cranial Capacity. *Science* **200**, (1978).

62.

Ibn-Tamas v. United States :: 1979 :: District of Columbia Court of Appeals Decisions :: District of Columbia Case Law :: District of Columbia Law :: US Law :: Justia.
<https://law.justia.com/cases/district-of-columbia/court-of-appeals/1979/12614-2.html>.

63.

Kagan, J. Historical Selection. *Review of General Psychology* **13**, 77–88 (2009).

64.

Lewis, J. E. The Mismeasure of Science: Stephen Jay Gould versus Samuel George Morton on Skulls and Bias. *PLoS Biology* **9**, (2011).

65.

Schuller, R. A. & Vidmar, N. Battered Woman Syndrome Evidence in the Courtroom: A

Review of the Literature. *Law and Human Behavior* **16**, 273–291 (1992).

66.

Silverman, F. H. The "Monster" Study. *Journal of Fluency Disorders* **13**, 225–231 (1988).

67.

Weindling, P. The Origins of Informed Consent: The International Scientific Commission on Medical War Crimes, and the Nuremberg Code. *Bulletin of the History of Medicine* **75**, 37–71 (2001).

68.

Welch, B. L. Moral Drift and the American Psychological Association: The Road to Torture. *Social Justice* **37**, 175–182 (2010).

69.

Zimbardo, P. G. Does Psychology Make a Significant Difference in Our Lives? *American Psychologist* **59**, 339–351 (2004).

70.

Brysbaert, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson, 2013).

71.

Brysbaert, M. & Rastle, K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. (Pearson Education, 2009).

72.

van Strien, P. J. Early Applied Psychology Between Essentialism and Pragmatism: The Dynamics of Theory, Tools, and Clients. *History of Psychology* **1**, 205–234 (1998).

73.

Johanningmeier, E. V. & Hale, M. Hugo Munsterberg. *History of Education Quarterly* **23**, (1983).

74.

James, W. A Plea for Psychology as a 'Natural Science'. *The Philosophical Review* **1**, (1892).

75.

Welford, A. T. *Fundamentals of skill*. (Methuen, 1968).

76.

Borries, C., Launhardt, K., Epplen, C., Epplen, J. T. & Winkler, P. DNA analyses support the hypothesis that infanticide is adaptive in langur monkeys. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **266**, 901-904 (1999).

77.

Daly, M. & Wilson, M. The 'Cinderella effect' is no fairy tale. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **9**, 507-508 (2005).

78.

Fisher, S. E. Human Genetics: The Evolving Story of FOXP2. *Current Biology* **29**, R65-R67 (2019).

79.

Laland, K. N., Odling-Smee, J. & Myles, S. How culture shaped the human genome: bringing genetics and the human sciences together. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **11**, 137-148 (2010).

80.

Marcus, G. F. & Fisher, S. E. FOXP2 in focus: what can genes tell us about speech and language? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* **7**, 257–262 (2003).

81.

Pardiñas, A. F. et al. Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nature Genetics* **50**, 381–389 (2018).

82.

The impact of order incongruence between a task-irrelevant auditory sequence and a task-relevant visual sequence. (Author Abstract). (2005).