

PS2080: Conceptual Issues In Psychology

View Online



Bennett, M. (2002). The Motor System in Neuroscience: A History and Analysis of Conceptual Developments. *Progress in Neurobiology*, 67(1), 1-52.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082\(02\)00012-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082(02)00012-6)

Bennett, M. R. (1999). The Early History of the Synapse: From Plato to Sherrington. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 50(2), 95-118. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230\(99\)00094-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-9230(99)00094-5)

Bolhuis, J. J. (2011). Darwin in Mind: New Opportunities for Evolutionary Psychology. *PLoS Biology*, 9(7). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001109>

Borries, C., Launhardt, K., Epplen, C., Epplen, J. T., & Winkler, P. (1999). DNA analyses support the hypothesis that infanticide is adaptive in langur monkeys. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 266(1422), 901-904.
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0721>

Bruce, D. (1994). Lashley and the Problem of Serial Order. *American Psychologist*, 49(2), 93-103. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.49.2.93>

Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2009a). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2009b). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2009c). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2009d). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2009e). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education.

- <http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>
- Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2009f). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>
- Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2013a). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology* (2nd ed). Pearson.
- Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2013b). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology* (2nd ed). Pearson.
- Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2013c). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology* (2nd ed). Pearson.
- Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2013d). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology* (2nd ed). Pearson.
- Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2013e). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology* (2nd ed). Pearson.
- Brysbaert, M., & Rastle, K. (2013f). *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology* (2nd ed). Pearson.
- Buller, D. J. (2005). Evolutionary Psychology: The Emperor's New Paradigm. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(6), 277–283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.04.003>
- Buss, D. M. (1995). Evolutionary Psychology: A New Paradigm for Psychological Science. *Psychological Inquiry*, 6(1), 1–30.
https://www-jstor-org.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/stable/1449568?sid=primo&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents
- Buss, D. M., & Schmitt, D. P. (1993). Sexual Strategies Theory: An Evolutionary Perspective on Human Mating. *Psychological Review*, 100(2), 204–232.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.100.2.204>
- Carpenter, S. (2005). Some Neglected Contributions of Wilhelm Wundt to the Psychology of Memory. http://public.psych.iastate.edu/shacarp/carpenter_2005.pdf
- Chalmers, A. F. (1999). *What Is This Thing Called Science?* (3rd ed). Open University Press.
- Coltheart, M. (2002). Cognitive Neuropsychology. In *Stevens' Handbook of Experimental Psychology, Methodology in Experimental Psychology*. Wiley.
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/0471214426.pas0404/abstract>
- Coltheart, M. (2011). What Has Functional Neuroimaging Told Us About the Organization of Mind? *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 28(6), 397–402.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02643294.2012.666964>

Coltheart, M. (2013). How Can Functional Neuroimaging Inform Cognitive Theories? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8(1), 98–103.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612469208>

Cosmides, L., & Tooby, J. (n.d.). *Evolutionary Psychology: A Primer*.
<https://www.cep.ucsb.edu/primer.html>

Crivellato, E., & Ribatti, D. (2007). Soul, Mind, Brain: Greek Philosophy and the Birth of Neuroscience. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 71(4), 327–336.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2006.09.020>

Daly, M., & Wilson, M. (2005). The 'Cinderella effect' is no fairy tale. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(11), 507–508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.09.007>

Danziger, K. (1980). The History of Introspection Reconsidered [open access]. *Journal of the History of Behaviour Sciences*, 16(3). <http://www.kurtdanziger.com/hist%20introsppdf>

Dima, D. (2009). Understanding Why Patients With Schizophrenia Do Not Perceive the Hollow-Mask Illusion Using Dynamic Causal Modelling. *NeuroImage*, 46(4), 1180–1186.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.03.033>

Dutton, D. G., & Aron, A. P. (1974). Some Evidence for Heightened Sexual Attraction Under Conditions of High Anxiety. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 30(4), 510–517.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/h0037031>

Farah, M. J. (2005). Neuroethics: The Practical and the Philosophical. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9(1), 34–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2004.12.001>

Farah, M. J. (2012). Neuroethics: The Ethical, Legal, and Societal Impact of Neuroscience. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63(1), 571–591.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100438>

Farah, M. J. (2014). Functional MRI-Based Lie Detection: Scientific and Societal Challenges. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 15(2), 123–131. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3665>

Fisher, S. E. (2019). Human Genetics: The Evolving Story of FOXP2. *Current Biology*, 29(2), R65–R67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.047>

Fridlund, A. J. (2012). Little Albert: A Neurologically Impaired Child. *History of Psychology*, 15(4), 302–327. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026720>

Fuller, S. (2003). Kuhn vs. Popper: The Struggle for the Soul of Science.
<http://www.collier.sts.vt.edu/5306/kuhnpopper.pdf>

Gibbs Jr, R. W. (2006). Introspection and Cognitive Linguistics: Should We Trust Our Own Intuitions? *Annual Review of Cognitive Linguistics*, 4(1), 135–151.
<http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?sid=65ca89c2-e3fd-4aa3-a507-ea6aaec25319%40sessionmgr4006&vid=0&hid=4109&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbgI2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=23080308&db=ufh>

- Gould, S. J. (1978). Morton's Ranking of Races by Cranial Capacity. *Science*, 200. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1746562>
- Hedges, L. V. (1987). How Hard Is Hard Science, How Soft Is Soft Science? the Empirical Cumulativeness of Research. *American Psychologist*, 42(5), 443-455. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.42.5.443>
- Henson, R. (2005). What Can Functional Neuroimaging Tell the Experimental Psychologist? *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*, 58(2), 193-233. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724980443000502>
- Henson, R. (2006). Forward Inference Using Functional Neuroimaging: Dissociations Versus Associations. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(2), 64-69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.12.005>
- Ibn-Tamas v. United States :: 1979 :: District of Columbia Court of Appeals Decisions :: District of Columbia Case Law :: District of Columbia Law :: US Law :: Justia. (n.d.). <https://law.justia.com/cases/district-of-columbia/court-of-appeals/1979/12614-2.html>
- James, W. (1892). A Plea for Psychology as a 'Natural Science'. *The Philosophical Review*, 1 (2). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2175743>
- Johanningmeier, E. V., & Hale, M. (1983). Hugo Munsterberg. *History of Education Quarterly*, 23(2). <https://doi.org/10.2307/368162>
- Kagan, J. (2009). Historical Selection. *Review of General Psychology*, 13(1), 77-88. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014228>
- Kumar, N. (2010). Karl Popper, Psychology and Philosophy. *Psychological Studies*, 55(3), 270-274. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12646-010-0032-3>
- Laland, K. N., Odling-Smee, J., & Myles, S. (2010). How culture shaped the human genome: bringing genetics and the human sciences together. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 11(2), 137-148. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2734>
- Lewis, J. E. (2011). The Mismeasure of Science: Stephen Jay Gould versus Samuel George Morton on Skulls and Bias. *PLoS Biology*, 9(6). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001071>
- Libet, B. (2002). The Timing of Mental Events: Libet's Experimental Findings and Their Implications. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 11(2), 291-299. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ccog.2002.0568>
- Lilienfeld, S. O. (2012). Public Skepticism of Psychology: Why Many People Perceive the Study of Human Behavior as Unscientific. *American Psychologist*, 67(2). <http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=6d759316-824d-4168-a238-5faa05c315a0%40sessionmgr4010&hid=4109&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWWhvc3QtG12ZQ%3d%3d#AN=2011-12007-001&db=pdh>
- Lockwood, P. L. (2013). Association of Callous Traits with Reduced Neural Response to Others' Pain in Children with Conduct Problems. *Current Biology*, 23(10), 901-905.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.018>

Logothetis, N. K. (2008). What We Can Do and What We Cannot Do With fMRI. *Nature*, 453 (7197), 869–878. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06976>

López-Muñoz, F. (2006). Neuron Theory, the Cornerstone of Neuroscience, on the Centenary of the Nobel Prize Award to Santiago Ramón Y Cajal. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 70 (4–6), 391–405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2006.07.010>

Marcus, G. F., & Fisher, S. E. (2003). FOXP2 in focus: what can genes tell us about speech and language? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(6), 257–262. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(03\)00104-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00104-9)

Mather, M. (2013). How fMRI Can Inform Cognitive Theories. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8(1), 108–113. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612469037>

Miller, G. A. (1956). The Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two: Some Limits on Our Capacity for Processing Information. *Psychological Review*, 63(2), 81–97. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0043158>

Miller, G. A. (2003). The Cognitive Revolution: A Historical Perspective. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7(3), 141–144. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(03\)00029-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00029-9)

Myers, D. G. (2004). *Intuition: Its Powers and Perils*. Yale Nota Bene.

Nisbett, R. E., & Wilson, T. D. (1977). Telling More Than We Can Know: Verbal Reports on Mental Processes. *Psychological Review*, 84(3), 231–259. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.3.231>

Nishimoto, S. (2011). Reconstructing Visual Experiences from Brain Activity Evoked by Natural Movies. *Current Biology*, 21(19), 1641–1646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.08.031>

Owen, A. M. (2006). Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State. *Science*, 313(5792), 1402–1402. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3847019>

Page, M. P. A. (2006). What Can't Functional Neuroimaging Tell the Cognitive Psychologist? *Cortex*, 42(3), 428–443. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-9452\(08\)70375-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-9452(08)70375-7)

Pardiñas, A. F., Holmans, P., Pocklington, A. J., Escott-Price, V., Ripke, S., Carrera, N., Legge, S. E., Bishop, S., Cameron, D., Hamshere, M. L., Han, J., Hubbard, L., Lynham, A., Mantripragada, K., Rees, E., MacCabe, J. H., McCarroll, S. A., Baune, B. T., Breen, G., ... Hougaard, D. M. (2018). Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nature Genetics*, 50(3), 381–389. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0059-2>

Pevsner, J. (2002). Leonardo Da Vinci's Contributions to Neuroscience. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 25(4), 217–220. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236\(00\)02121-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(00)02121-4)

Phelps, E. A. (2000). Performance on Indirect Measures of Race Evaluation Predicts Amygdala Activation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 12(5), 729–738.

<https://doi.org/10.1162/089892900562552>

Poldrack, R. (2006). Can Cognitive Processes Be Inferred From Neuroimaging Data? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(2), 59–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.12.004>

Raichle, M. E. (1998). Behind the Scenes of Functional Brain Imaging: A Historical and Physiological Perspective. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 95(3), 765–772. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.3.765>

Rowbottom, D. P. (2011). Kuhn vs. Popper on criticism and dogmatism in science: a resolution at the group level. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A*, 42(1), 117–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsa.2010.11.031>

Schuller, R. A., & Vidmar, N. (1992). Battered Woman Syndrome Evidence in the Courtroom: A Review of the Literature. *Law and Human Behavior*, 16(3), 273–291. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01044770>

Silverman, F. H. (1988). The "Monster" Study. *Journal of Fluency Disorders*, 13(3), 225–231. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0094-730X\(88\)90049-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0094-730X(88)90049-6)

Sperling, G. (1960). The Information Available in Brief Visual Presentations. *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied*, 74(11), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0093759>

The impact of order incongruence between a task-irrelevant auditory sequence and a task-relevant visual sequence. (Author Abstract). (2005).

https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/primo-explore/search?query=any,contains,order%20incongruence%20hughes%20jones&tab=tab1&search_scope=LSCOP_44ROY_ALL&sortby=date&vid=44ROY_VU2&facet=frbrgroupid,include,5836150054024640536&lang=en_US&offset=0

Tolman, E. C. (1948). Cognitive Maps in Rats and Men. *Psychological Review*, 55(4), 189–208. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0061626>

van Strien, P. J. (1998). Early Applied Psychology Between Essentialism and Pragmatism: The Dynamics of Theory, Tools, and Clients. *History of Psychology*, 1(3), 205–234. <http://web.b.ebscohost.com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/ehost/detail/detail?vid=0&sid=68037173-4349-45b7-aa73-ce6df93dab70%40pdc-v-sessmgr03&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZhc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=1998-10118-002&db=pdh>

Wager, T. D., & Atlas, L. Y. (2013). How Is Pain Influenced by Cognition? *Neuroimaging Weighs In. Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8(1), 91–97. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612469631>

Watrin, J. P., & Darwich, R. (2012). On Behaviorism in the Cognitive Revolution: Myth and Reactions. *Review of General Psychology*, 16(3), 269–282. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026766>

Weindling, P. (2001). The Origins of Informed Consent: The International Scientific Commission on Medical War Crimes, and the Nuremberg Code. *Bulletin of the History of Medicine*, 75(1), 37–71. <https://muse.jhu.edu/article/4648>

Welch, B. L. (2010). Moral Drift and the American Psychological Association: The Road to Torture. *Social Justice*, 37(2/3 (120-121)), 175-182.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/41336990?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

Welford, A. T. (1968). *Fundamentals of skill*. Methuen.

Wixted, J. T., & Mickes, L. (2013). On the Relationship Between fMRI and Theories of Cognition: The Arrow Points in Both Directions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 8(1), 104-107. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612469022>

Zimbardo, P. G. (2004). Does Psychology Make a Significant Difference in Our Lives? *American Psychologist*, 59(5), 339-351.
<http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=d9aef89d-0f7a-450a-a081-540ce4d31406%40sessionmgr4010&hid=4109&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=2004-16479-003&db=pdh>