

PS2080: Conceptual Issues In Psychology

View Online



1.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. 2nd ed. Pearson; 2013.

2.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. Pearson Education; 2009.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

3.

Chalmers AF. What Is This Thing Called Science? 3rd ed. Open University Press; 1999.

4.

Hedges LV. How Hard Is Hard Science, How Soft Is Soft Science? the Empirical Cumulativeness of Research. *American Psychologist*. 1987;42(5):443-455.
doi:10.1037/0003-066X.42.5.443

5.

Lilienfeld SO. Public Skepticism of Psychology: Why Many People Perceive the Study of Human Behavior as Unscientific. *American Psychologist*. 2012;67(2).
<http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=6d759316-824d-4168-a238-5faa05c315a0%40sessionmgr4010&hid=4109&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=2011-12007-001&db=pdh>

6.

Kumar N. Karl Popper, Psychology and Philosophy. *Psychological Studies*. 2010;55(3):270-274. doi:10.1007/s12646-010-0032-3

7.

Rowbottom DP. Kuhn vs. Popper on criticism and dogmatism in science: a resolution at the group level. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A*. 2011;42(1):117-124. doi:10.1016/j.shpsa.2010.11.031

8.

Fuller S. Kuhn vs. Popper: The Struggle for the Soul of Science. Published online 2003. <http://www.collier.sts.vt.edu/5306/kuhnpopper.pdf>

9.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. 2nd ed. Pearson; 2013.

10.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education; 2009. <http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

11.

Carpenter S. Some Neglected Contributions of Wilhelm Wundt to the Psychology of Memory. Published online 2005. http://public.psych.iastate.edu/shacarp/carpenter_2005.pdf

12.

Tolman EC. Cognitive Maps in Rats and Men. *Psychological Review*. 1948;55(4):189-208.

doi:10.1037/h0061626

13.

Danziger K. The History of Introspection Reconsidered [open access]. *Journal of the History of Behaviour Sciences*. 1980;16(3). <http://www.kurtdanziger.com/hist%20introsop.pdf>

14.

Dutton DG, Aron AP. Some Evidence for Heightened Sexual Attraction Under Conditions of High Anxiety. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1974;30(4):510-517.
doi:10.1037/h0037031

15.

Fridlund AJ. Little Albert: A Neurologically Impaired Child. *History of Psychology*. 2012;15(4):302-327. doi:10.1037/a0026720

16.

Gibbs Jr RW. Introspection and Cognitive Linguistics: Should We Trust Our Own Intuitions? *Annual Review of Cognitive Linguistics*. 2006;4(1):135-151.
<http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?sid=65ca89c2-e3fd-4aa3-a507-ea6aaec25319%40sessionmgr4006&vid=0&hid=4109&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=23080308&db=ufh>

17.

Myers DG. *Intuition: Its Powers and Perils*. Yale Nota Bene; 2004.

18.

Nisbett RE, Wilson TD. Telling More Than We Can Know: Verbal Reports on Mental Processes. *Psychological Review*. 1977;84(3):231-259. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.84.3.231

19.

Libet B. The Timing of Mental Events: Libet's Experimental Findings and Their Implications. *Consciousness and Cognition*. 2002;11(2):291-299. doi:10.1006/ccog.2002.0568

20.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education; 2009.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

21.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. 2nd ed. Pearson; 2013.

22.

Sperling G. The Information Available in Brief Visual Presentations. *Psychological Monographs: General and Applied*. 1960;74(11):1-29. doi:10.1037/h0093759

23.

Miller GA. The Magical Number Seven, Plus or Minus Two: Some Limits on Our Capacity for Processing Information. *Psychological Review*. 1956;63(2):81-97. doi:10.1037/h0043158

24.

Dima D. Understanding Why Patients With Schizophrenia Do Not Perceive the Hollow-Mask Illusion Using Dynamic Causal Modelling. *NeuroImage*. 2009;46(4):1180-1186. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.03.033

25.

Bruce D. Lashley and the Problem of Serial Order. *American Psychologist*. 1994;49(2):93-103. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.49.2.93

26.

Coltheart M. Cognitive Neuropsychology. In: Stevens' Handbook of Experimental Psychology, Methodology in Experimental Psychology. Wiley; 2002.
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/0471214426.pas0404/abstract>

27.

Miller GA. The Cognitive Revolution: A Historical Perspective. Trends in Cognitive Sciences. 2003;7(3):141-144. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00029-9

28.

Watrin JP, Darwich R. On Behaviorism in the Cognitive Revolution: Myth and Reactions. Review of General Psychology. 2012;16(3):269-282. doi:10.1037/a0026766

29.

Henson R. What Can Functional Neuroimaging Tell the Experimental Psychologist? The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A. 2005;58(2):193-233.
doi:10.1080/02724980443000502

30.

Page MPA. What Can't Functional Neuroimaging Tell the Cognitive Psychologist? Cortex. 2006;42(3):428-443. doi:10.1016/S0010-9452(08)70375-7

31.

Mather M. How fMRI Can Inform Cognitive Theories. Perspectives on Psychological Science. 2013;8(1):108-113. doi:10.1177/1745691612469037

32.

Wixted JT, Mickes L. On the Relationship Between fMRI and Theories of Cognition: The Arrow Points in Both Directions. Perspectives on Psychological Science. 2013;8(1):104-107.
doi:10.1177/1745691612469022

33.

Wager TD, Atlas LY. How Is Pain Influenced by Cognition? Neuroimaging Weighs In. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2013;8(1):91-97. doi:10.1177/1745691612469631

34.

Coltheart M. How Can Functional Neuroimaging Inform Cognitive Theories? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2013;8(1):98-103. doi:10.1177/1745691612469208

35.

Raichle ME. Behind the Scenes of Functional Brain Imaging: A Historical and Physiological Perspective. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1998;95(3):765-772. doi:10.1073/pnas.95.3.765

36.

Poldrack R. Can Cognitive Processes Be Inferred From Neuroimaging Data? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2006;10(2):59-63. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2005.12.004

37.

Coltheart M. What Has Functional Neuroimaging Told Us About the Organization of Mind? *Cognitive Neuropsychology*. 2011;28(6):397-402. doi:10.1080/02643294.2012.666964

38.

Logothetis NK. What We Can Do and What We Cannot Do With fMRI. *Nature*. 2008;453(7197):869-878. doi:10.1038/nature06976

39.

Henson R. Forward Inference Using Functional Neuroimaging: Dissociations Versus Associations. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2006;10(2):64-69. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2005.12.005

40.

Farah MJ. Neuroethics: The Ethical, Legal, and Societal Impact of Neuroscience. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2012;63(1):571-591. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100438

41.

Farah MJ. Functional MRI-Based Lie Detection: Scientific and Societal Challenges. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2014;15(2):123-131. doi:10.1038/nrn3665

42.

Farah MJ. Neuroethics: The Practical and the Philosophical. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2005;9(1):34-40. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2004.12.001

43.

Lockwood PL. Association of Callous Traits with Reduced Neural Response to Others' Pain in Children with Conduct Problems. *Current Biology*. 2013;23(10):901-905. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.018

44.

Nishimoto S. Reconstructing Visual Experiences from Brain Activity Evoked by Natural Movies. *Current Biology*. 2011;21(19):1641-1646. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.08.031

45.

Owen AM. Detecting Awareness in the Vegetative State. *Science*. 2006;313(5792):1402-1402. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3847019>

46.

Phelps EA. Performance on Indirect Measures of Race Evaluation Predicts Amygdala Activation. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2000;12(5):729-738. doi:10.1162/089892900562552

47.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. 2nd ed. Pearson; 2013.

48.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology. Pearson Education; 2009.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

49.

Bennett M. The Motor System in Neuroscience: A History and Analysis of Conceptual Developments. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 2002;67(1):1-52.
doi:10.1016/S0301-0082(02)00012-6

50.

López-Muñoz F. Neuron Theory, the Cornerstone of Neuroscience, on the Centenary of the Nobel Prize Award to Santiago Ramón Y Cajal. *Brain Research Bulletin*. 2006;70(4-6):391-405. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2006.07.010

51.

Crivellato E, Ribatti D. Soul, Mind, Brain: Greek Philosophy and the Birth of Neuroscience. *Brain Research Bulletin*. 2007;71(4):327-336. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2006.09.020

52.

Pevsner J. Leonardo Da Vinci's Contributions to Neuroscience. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2002;25(4):217-220. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(00)02121-4

53.

Bennett MR. The Early History of the Synapse: From Plato to Sherrington. *Brain Research Bulletin*. 1999;50(2):95-118. doi:10.1016/S0361-9230(99)00094-5

54.

Buss DM. Evolutionary Psychology: A New Paradigm for Psychological Science. *Psychological Inquiry*. 1995;6(1):1-30.
https://www-jstor-org.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/stable/1449568?sid=primo&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

55.

Cosmides L, Tooby J. Evolutionary Psychology: A Primer.
<https://www.cep.ucsb.edu/primer.html>

56.

Buller DJ. Evolutionary Psychology: The Emperor's New Paradigm. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2005;9(6):277-283. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2005.04.003

57.

Buss DM, Schmitt DP. Sexual Strategies Theory: An Evolutionary Perspective on Human Mating. *Psychological Review*. 1993;100(2):204-232. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.100.2.204

58.

Bolhuis JJ. Darwin in Mind: New Opportunities for Evolutionary Psychology. *PLoS Biology*. 2011;9(7). doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001109

59.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. 2nd ed. Pearson; 2013.

60.

Brysbaert M, Rastle K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education; 2009.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

61.

Gould SJ. Morton's Ranking of Races by Cranial Capacity. *Science*. 1978;200.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1746562>

62.

Ibn-Tamas v. United States :: 1979 :: District of Columbia Court of Appeals Decisions ::
District of Columbia Case Law :: District of Columbia Law :: US Law :: Justia.
<https://law.justia.com/cases/district-of-columbia/court-of-appeals/1979/12614-2.html>

63.

Kagan J. Historical Selection. *Review of General Psychology*. 2009;13(1):77-88.
doi:10.1037/a0014228

64.

Lewis JE. The Mismeasure of Science: Stephen Jay Gould versus Samuel George Morton on
Skulls and Bias. *PLoS Biology*. 2011;9(6). doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001071

65.

Schuller RA, Vidmar N. Battered Woman Syndrome Evidence in the Courtroom: A Review of
the Literature. *Law and Human Behavior*. 1992;16(3):273-291. doi:10.1007/BF01044770

66.

Silverman FH. The "Monster" Study. *Journal of Fluency Disorders*. 1988;13(3):225-231.
doi:10.1016/0094-730X(88)90049-6

67.

Weindling P. The Origins of Informed Consent: The International Scientific Commission on
Medical War Crimes, and the Nuremberg Code. *Bulletin of the History of Medicine*.
2001;75(1):37-71. <https://muse.jhu.edu/article/4648>

68.

Welch BL. Moral Drift and the American Psychological Association: The Road to Torture. *Social Justice*. 2010;37(2/3 (120-121)):175-182.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/41336990?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

69.

Zimbardo PG. Does Psychology Make a Significant Difference in Our Lives? *American Psychologist*. 2004;59(5):339-351.
<http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=1&sid=d9aef89d-0f7a-450a-a081-540ce4d31406%40sessionmgr4010&hid=4109&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=2004-16479-003&db=pdh>

70.

Brysbart M, Rastle K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. 2nd ed. Pearson; 2013.

71.

Brysbart M, Rastle K. *Historical and Conceptual Issues in Psychology*. Pearson Education; 2009.
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405874489>

72.

van Strien PJ. Early Applied Psychology Between Essentialism and Pragmatism: The Dynamics of Theory, Tools, and Clients. *History of Psychology*. 1998;1(3):205-234.
<http://web.b.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?vid=0&sid=68037173-4349-45b7-aa73-ce6df93dab70%40pdc-v-sessmgr03&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3d%3d#AN=1998-10118-002&db=pdh>

73.

Johanningmeier EV, Hale M. Hugo Munsterberg. *History of Education Quarterly*. 1983;23(2). doi:10.2307/368162

74.

James W. A Plea for Psychology as a 'Natural Science'. *The Philosophical Review*. 1892;1(2). doi:10.2307/2175743

75.

Welford AT. *Fundamentals of Skill*. Methuen; 1968.

76.

Borries C, Launhardt K, Epplen C, Epplen J[†]T, Winkler P. DNA analyses support the hypothesis that infanticide is adaptive in langur monkeys. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences*. 1999;266(1422):901-904. doi:10.1098/rspb.1999.0721

77.

Daly M, Wilson M. The 'Cinderella effect' is no fairy tale. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2005;9(11):507-508. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2005.09.007

78.

Fisher SE. Human Genetics: The Evolving Story of FOXP2. *Current Biology*. 2019;29(2):R65-R67. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.047

79.

Laland KN, Odling-Smee J, Myles S. How culture shaped the human genome: bringing genetics and the human sciences together. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2010;11(2):137-148. doi:10.1038/nrg2734

80.

Marcus GF, Fisher SE. FOXP2 in focus: what can genes tell us about speech and language? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2003;7(6):257-262. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00104-9

81.

Pardiñas AF, Holmans P, Pocklington AJ, et al. Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nature Genetics*. 2018;50(3):381-389. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0059-2

82.

The impact of order incongruence between a task-irrelevant auditory sequence and a task-relevant visual sequence. (Author Abstract). Published online 2005.

https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/primo-explore/search?query=any,contains,order%20incongruence%20hughes%20jones&tab=tab1&search_scope=LSCOP_44ROY_ALL&sortby=date&vid=44ROY_VU2&facet=frbrgroupid,include,5836150054024640536&lang=en_US&offset=0