

PS5201: Psychology in Applied Settings

[View Online](#)

1.

Kraut R, Kiesler S, Boneva B, Cummings J, Helgeson V, Crawford A. Internet Paradox Revisited. *Journal of Social Issues*. 2002;58(1):49–74. doi:10.1111/1540-4560.00248

2.

Alloway TP, Alloway RG. The Impact of Engagement With Social Networking Sites (SNSs) on Cognitive Skills. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2012;28(5):1748–54. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2012.04.015

3.

Suler J. The Online Disinhibition Effect. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*. 2004;7(3):321–6.

4.

Valkenburg PM, Peter J. Social Consequences of the Internet for Adolescents. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2009;18(1):1–5. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01595.x

5.

Kuss DJ, Griffiths MD. Online Social Networking and Addiction—A Review of the Psychological Literature. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2011;8(9):3528–52. doi:10.3390/ijerph8093528

6.

Billieux J, Maurage P, Lopez-Fernandez O, Kuss DJ, Griffiths MD. Can Disordered Mobile Phone Use Be Considered a Behavioral Addiction? An Update on Current Evidence and a Comprehensive Model for Future Research. *Current Addiction Reports*. 2015;2(2):156–62. doi:10.1007/s40429-015-0054-y

7.

McKenna KYA, Green AS, Smith PK. Demarginalizing the Sexual Self. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2001;38(4):302–11. doi:10.1080/00224490109552101

8.

Turkle S. *Alone Together: Why We Expect More From Technology and Less From Each Other*. New York: Basic Books; 2012.

9.

Thompson C. *Smarter Than You Think: How Technology Is Changing Our Minds for the Better*. William Collins; 2014.

10.

Carr N. *The Shallows: What the Internet Is Doing to Our Brains*. W. W. Norton & Company; 2010.

11.

Joison AN, McKenna KYA, Postmes T, Reips UR. *The Oxford Handbook Of Internet Psychology*. Oxford University Press, USA; 2008.

12.

Gackenbach J. *Psychology and the Internet: Intrapersonal, Interpersonal, and Transpersonal Implications [Electronic book]*. Amsterdam: Elsevier/Academic Press; 2007. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=285780>

13.

Kraut R, Patterson M, Lundmark V, Kiesler S, Mukophadhyay T, Scherlis W. Internet Paradox: A Social Technology That Reduces Social Involvement and Psychological Well-Being? *American Psychologist* [Internet]. 1998;53(9):1017–31. Available from: <https://search-ebshost-com.royalholloway.idm.oclc.org/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=1998-10886-001&site=ehost-live>

14.

Lau WF. Effects of Social Media Usage and Social Media Multitasking on the Academic Performance of University Students. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2017;68(March):286–91. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.043

15.

Song H, Zmyslinski-Seelig A, Kim J, Drent A, Victor A, Omori K, et al. Does Facebook Make You Lonely?: A Meta Analysis. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2014;36(July):446–52. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2014.04.011

16.

Sparrow B, Liu J, Wegner DM. Google Effects on Memory: Cognitive Consequences of Having Information at Our Fingertips. *Science*. 2011;333(6043):776–8. doi:10.1126/science.1207745

17.

Pollet TV, Roberts SGB, Dunbar RIM. Use of Social Network Sites and Instant Messaging Does Not Lead to Increased Offline Social Network Size, or to Emotionally Closer Relationships With Offline Network Members. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*. 2011;14(4):253–8. doi:10.1089/cyber.2010.0161

18.

Gentile B, Twenge JM, Freeman EC, Campbell WK. The Effect of Social Networking Websites on Positive Self-Views: An Experimental Investigation. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2012;28(5):1929–33. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2012.05.012

19.

Ellison NB, Steinfield C, Lampe C. Connection Strategies: Social Capital Implications of Facebook-Enabled Communication Practices. *New Media & Society*. 2011;13(6):873–92. doi:10.1177/1461444810385389

20.

Alloway TP, Horton J, Alloway RG, Dawson C. Social Networking Sites and Cognitive Abilities: Do They Make You Smarter? *Computers & Education*. 2013;63:10–6. doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2012.10.030

21.

Gonzales AL, Hancock JT. Mirror, Mirror on My Facebook Wall: Effects of Exposure to Facebook on Self-Esteem. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*. 2011;14(1-2):79–83. doi:10.1089/cyber.2009.0411

22.

Junco R. Too Much Face and Not Enough Books: The Relationship Between Multiple Indices of Facebook Use and Academic Performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2012;28(1):187–98. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2011.08.026

23.

Kirschner PA, Karpinski AC. Facebook® and Academic Performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2010;26(6):1237–45. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2010.03.024

24.

LaRose R, Connolly R, Lee H, Li K, Hales KD. Connection Overload? A Cross Cultural Study of the Consequences of Social Media Connection. *Information Systems Management*. 2014;31(1):59–73. doi:10.1080/10580530.2014.854097

25.

Paul JA, Baker HM, Cochran JD. Effect of Online Social Networking on Student Academic Performance. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2012;28(6):2117–27. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2012.06.016

26.

Valkenburg PM, Peter J. Adolescents' Identity Experiments on the Internet: Consequences for Social Competence and Self-Concept Unity. *Communication Research*. 2008;35(2):208-31. doi:10.1177/0093650207313164

27.

Wilcox K, Stephen AT. Are Close Friends the Enemy? Online Social Networks, Self-Esteem, and Self-Control. *Journal of Consumer Research*. 2013;40(1):90-103. doi:10.1086/668794

28.

Zhao S, Grasmuck S, Martin J. Identity Construction on Facebook: Digital Empowerment in Anchored Relationships. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2008;24(5):1816-36. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2008.02.012

29.

Misra S, Stokols D. Psychological and Health Outcomes of Perceived Information Overload. *Environment and Behavior*. 2012;44(6):737-59. doi:10.1177/0013916511404408

30.

Ajzen I. The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 1991;50(2):179-211. doi:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

31.

Cooke R, French DP. How Well Do the Theory of Reasoned Action and Theory of Planned Behaviour Predict Intentions and Attendance at Screening Programmes? a Meta-Analysis. *Psychology & Health*. 2008;23(7):745-65. doi:10.1080/08870440701544437

32.

Hann KEJ, Freeman M, Fraser L, Waller J, Sanderson SC, Rahman B, et al. Awareness,

Knowledge, Perceptions, and Attitudes Towards Genetic Testing for Cancer Risk Among Ethnic Minority Groups: A Systematic Review. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(1). doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4375-8

33.

Hann KEJ, Ali N, Gessler S, Fraser LSM, Side L, Waller J, et al. Attitudes Towards a Programme of Risk Assessment and Stratified Management for Ovarian Cancer: A Focus Group Study of Uk South Asians' Perspectives. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8(7). doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-021782

34.

Henneman L, Timmermans DR, Bouwman CM, Cornel MC, Meijers-Heijboer H. 'A Low Risk Is Still a Risk': Exploring Women's Attitudes towards Genetic Testing for Breast Cancer Susceptibility in Order to Target Disease Prevention. *Public Health Genomics*. 2011;14(4-5):238-47. doi:10.1159/000276543

35.

Meisel SF, Side L, Fraser L, Gessler S, Wardle J, Lanceley A. Population-Based, Risk-Stratified Genetic Testing for Ovarian Cancer Risk: A Focus Group Study. *Public Health Genomics* [Internet]. 2013;16:184-91. Available from: <http://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/1399699/1/352028.pdf>

36.

Rahman B, Meisel SF, Fraser L, Side L, Gessler S, Wardle J, et al. Population-Based Genetic Risk Prediction and Stratification for Ovarian Cancer: Views From Women at High Risk. *Familial Cancer*. 2015;14(1):135-44. doi:10.1007/s10689-014-9769-5

37.

Sanderson SC, Wardle J, Jarvis MJ, Humphries SE. Public Interest in Genetic Testing for Susceptibility to Heart Disease and Cancer: A Population-Based Survey in the UK. *Preventive Medicine*. 2004;39(3):458-64. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2004.04.051

38.

Vermeulen E, Henneman L, van El CG, Cornel MC. Public Attitudes Towards Preventive Genomics and Personal Interest in Genetic Testing to Prevent Disease: A Survey Study. *The European Journal of Public Health*. 2014;24(5):768–75. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckt143

39.

Culley L, Hudson N, Rapport F. Using Focus Groups With Minority Ethnic Communities: Researching Infertility in British South Asian Communities. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2007;17(1):102–12. doi:10.1177/1049732306296506

40.

Haga SB, Barry WT, Mills R, Ginsburg GS, Svetkey L, Sullivan J, et al. Public Knowledge of and Attitudes Toward Genetics and Genetic Testing. *Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers*. 2013;17(4):327–35. doi:10.1089/gtmb.2012.0350

41.

Sanderson SC, Wardle J, Michie S. The Effects of a Genetic Information Leaflet on Public Attitudes Towards Genetic Testing. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2005;14(2):213–24. doi:10.1177/0963662505050993

42.

Sekhon M, Cartwright M, Francis JJ. Acceptability of Healthcare Interventions: An Overview of Reviews and Development of a Theoretical Framework. *BMC Health Services Research*. 2017;17(1). doi:10.1186/s12913-017-2031-8

43.

Twamley K, Puthussery S, Macfarlane A, Harding S, Ahmed S, Mirsky J. Recruiting UK-Born Ethnic Minority Women for Qualitative Health Research—lessons Learned From a Study of Maternity Care. *Research, Policy and Planning [Internet]*. 1st edn. 2009;27:25–38. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228397104_Recruiting_UK-born_ethnic_minority_women_for_qualitative_health_research-lessons_learned_from_a_study_of_maternity_care

44.

D'Arcy J, Herath T. A Review and Analysis of Deterrence Theory in the IS Security Literature: Making Sense of the Disparate Findings. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 2011;20(6):643–58. doi:10.1057/ejis.2011.23

45.

Siponen M, Vance A. Neutralization: New Insights into the Problem of Employee Information Systems Security Policy Violations. *MIS Quarterly* [Internet]. 2010;34(3):487–502. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25750688>

46.

Sommestad T, Hallberg J, Lundholm K, Bengtsson J. Variables Influencing Information Security Policy Compliance. *Information Management & Computer Security*. 2014;22(1):42–75. doi:10.1108/IMCS-08-2012-0045

47.

Lebek B, Uffen J, Neumann M, Hohler B, H. Breitner M. Information Security Awareness and Behavior: A Theory-Based Literature Review. *Management Research Review*. 2014;37(12):1049–92. doi:10.1108/MRR-04-2013-0085

48.

Johnston AC, Warkentin M. Fear Appeals and Information Security Behaviors: An Empirical Study. *MIS Quarterly* [Internet]. 2010;34(3):549–66. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25750691>

49.

Johnston AC, Warkentin M, McBride M, Carter L. Dispositional and Situational Factors: Influences on Information Security Policy Violations. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 2016;25(3):231–51. doi:10.1057/ejis.2015.15

50.

Guo KH. Security-Related Behavior in Using Information Systems in the Workplace: A Review and Synthesis. *Computers & Security*. 2013;32(February):242–51. doi:10.1016/j.cose.2012.10.003

51.

Baek YM, Kim E mee, Bae Y. My Privacy Is Okay, but Theirs Is Endangered: Why Comparative Optimism Matters in Online Privacy Concerns. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2014;31(February):48-56. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2013.10.010

52.

Campbell J, Greenauer N, Macaluso K, End C. Unrealistic Optimism in Internet Events. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2007;23(3):1273-84. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2004.12.005

53.

Vishwanath A, Herath T, Chen R, Wang J, Rao HR. Why Do People Get Phished? Testing Individual Differences in Phishing Vulnerability Within an Integrated, Information Processing Model. *Decision Support Systems*. 2011;51(3):576-86. doi:10.1016/j.dss.2011.03.002

54.

Coventry L, Briggs P, Jeske D, van Moorsel A. SCENE: A Structured Means for Creating and Evaluating Behavioral Nudges in a Cyber Security Environment. In: *Design, User Experience, and Usability. Theories, Methods, and Tools for Designing the User Experience: Third International Conference*. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2014. p. 229-39. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-07668-3_23

55.

Burke BL, Martens A, Faucher EH. Two Decades of Terror Management Theory: A Meta-Analysis of Mortality Salience Research. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*. 2010;14(2):155-95. doi:10.1177/1088868309352321

56.

Pyszczynski T, Greenberg J, Solomon S. A Dual-Process Model of Defense Against Conscious and Unconscious Death-Related Thoughts: An Extension of Terror Management Theory. *Psychological Review [Internet]*. 1999;106(4):835-45. Available from: <https://search-ebshost-com.royalholloway.idm.oclc.org/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=1999-11924-007&site=ehost-live>

57.

Pyszczynski T, Solomon S, Greenberg J. Thirty Years of Terror Management Theory. In: *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology: Volume 52*. Waltham, Massachusetts: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier; 2015. p. 1–70. doi:10.1016/bs.aesp.2015.03.001

58.

Arndt J, Goldenberg JL. Where Health and Death Intersect. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2017;26(2):126–31. doi:10.1177/0963721416689563

59.

Pyszczynski T, Kesebir P. Anxiety Buffer Disruption Theory: A Terror Management Account of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Anxiety, Stress & Coping*. 2011;24(1):3–26. doi:10.1080/10615806.2010.517524

60.

Strachan E, Schimel J, Arndt J, Williams T, Solomon S, Pyszczynski T, et al. Terror Mismanagement: Evidence That Mortality Salience Exacerbates Phobic and Compulsive Behaviors. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*. 2007;33(8):1137–51. doi:10.1177/0146167207303018

61.

Dickinson JL. The People Paradox: Self-Esteem Striving, Immortality Ideologies, and Human Response to Climate Change. *Ecology and Society*. 2009;14(1). doi:10.5751/ES-02849-140134

62.

Arndt J, Solomon S, Kasser T, Sheldon KM. The Urge to Splurge: A Terror Management Account of Materialism and Consumer Behavior. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*. 2004;14(3):198–212. doi:10.1207/s15327663jcp1403_2

63.

GlobalActionPlan | Goals For Good [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.globalactionplan.org.uk/goals-for-good>

64.

Dittmar H, Bond R, Hurst M, Kasser, K. The Relationship Between Materialism and Personal Well-Being: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, [Internet]. 2014;107(5):879–924. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2014-44347-005&site=ehost-live>

65.

Duckworth AL, Grant H, Loew B, Oettingen G, Gollwitzer PM. Self-regulation Strategies Improve Self-discipline in Adolescents: Benefits of Mental Contrasting and Implementation Intentions. *Educational Psychology*. 2011;31(1):17–26.
doi:10.1080/01443410.2010.506003

66.

Brown KW, Kasser T. Are Psychological and Ecological Well-Being Compatible? The Role of Values, Mindfulness, and Lifestyle. *Social Indicators Research* [Internet]. 2005;74(2):349–68. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27522510>

67.

Aked J, Marks N, Cordon C, Thompson S. Five Ways to Wellbeing: The Evidence | New Economics Foundation [Internet]. 2008. Available from:
<http://neweconomics.org/2008/10/five-ways-to-wellbeing-the-evidence/>

68.

Ashton R, Roberts E. What Is Valuable and Unique about the Educational Psychologist? *Educational Psychology in Practice* [Internet]. 2006;22(2):111–23. Available from:
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02667360600668204>

69.

Cameron RJ. Educational Psychology: The Distinctive Contribution. *Educational Psychology in Practice*. 2006;22(4):289–304. doi:10.1080/02667360600999393

70.

Zagefka H, Noor M, Brown R. Familiarity Breeds Compassion: Knowledge of Disaster Areas and Willingness to Donate Money to Disaster Victims. *Applied Psychology*. 2013;62(4):640–54. doi:10.1111/j.1464-0597.2012.00501.x

71.

Zagefka H, Noor M, Brown R, De Moura GR, Hopthrow T. Donating to Disaster Victims: Responses to Natural and Humanly Caused Events. *European Journal of Social Psychology*. 2011;41(3):353–63. doi:10.1002/ejsp.781

72.

Slovic P. If I Look at the Mass I Will Never Act [open access]. *Judgment and Decision Making* [Internet]. 2007;2:79–95. Available from: <https://doaj.org/article/a0aad3c9600e4421bb108d6163e4bae5>

73.

Farid H. How to Detect Faked Photos. *American Scientist*. 2017;105(2):77–81. doi:10.1511/2017.105.2.77

74.

Axsen J, Kurani KS. Developing Sustainability-Oriented Values: Insights From Households in a Trial of Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles. *Global Environmental Change*. 2013;23(1):70–80. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2012.08.002

75.

Evans L, Maio GR, Corner A, Hodgetts CJ, Ahmed S, Hahn U. Self-Interest and Pro-Environmental Behaviour. *Nature Climate Change*. 2012;3(2):122–5. doi:10.1038/nclimate1662

76.

Verplanken B, Holland RW. Motivated Decision Making: Effects of Activation and Self-Centrality of Values on Choices and Behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* [Internet]. 2002;82(3):434–47. Available from: <https://search-ebscohost-com.royalholloway.idm.oclc.org/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2002-00561-011&site=ehost-live>

77.

Kratochwill TR, McDonald L, Levin JR, Scalia PA, Coover G. Families and Schools Together: An Experimental Study of Multi-Family Support Groups for Children at Risk. *Journal of School Psychology*. 2009;47(4):245–65. doi:10.1016/j.jsp.2009.03.001

78.

Sanders MR, Bor W, Morawska A. Maintenance of Treatment Gains: A Comparison of Enhanced, Standard, and Self-directed Triple P-Positive Parenting Program. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*. 2007;35(6):983–98. doi:10.1007/s10802-007-9148-x

79.

Butler S, Baruch G, Hickey N, Fonagy P. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Multisystemic Therapy and a Statutory Therapeutic Intervention for Young Offenders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2011;50(12):1220-1235.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2011.09.017

80.

Alexander PA, Winne PH. *Handbook of Educational Psychology*. 2nd ed. Mahwah, N.J.: Erlbaum; 2006.

81.

Beaver R. *Educational Psychology Casework: A Practice Guide*. 2nd ed. London: Jessica Kingsley; 2011.

82.

Beaver R. Educational Psychology Casework: A Practice Guide [Electronic resource]. London: J. Kingsley Publishers; 1996. Available from: <http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780857002785>

83.

Farrell P, Woods K, Lewis S, Rooney S, Squires G, O'Connor M. A Review of the Functions and Contribution of Educational Psychologists in England and Wales in light of 'Every Child Matters: Change for Children' [Internet]. School of Education, University of Manchester; 2006. Available from: <https://www.escholar.manchester.ac.uk/uk-ac-man-scw:93204>

84.

British Psychological Society. Division of Educational and Child Psychology. Dyslexia, Literacy and Psychological Assessment. Leicester: British Psychological Society; 1999.

85.

Frederickson N, Miller A, Cline T. Educational Psychology. London: Hodder Education; 2008.

86.

Frederickson N, Miller A, Cline T. Educational Psychology [Electronic book]. London: Hodder Education; 2008. Available from: <https://www-dawsonera-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/abstract/9781444116861>

87.

Frederickson N. Special Educational Needs, Inclusion and Diversity. 3rd revised edition. Open Univ Press; 2015.

88.

Leadbetter J. Applying Psychology in the Classroom. London: David Fulton; 1999.

89.

Leadbetter J. Applying Psychology in the Classroom [Internet]. London: David Fulton; 1999. Available from: <http://public.eblib.com/choice/publicfullrecord.aspx?p=1569763>

90.

Woolfolk A. Educational Psychology. Twelfth edition, Pearson new international edition. Harlow, Essex, England: Pearson Education Limited; 2014.

91.

Woolfolk A. Educational Psychology [Electronic book]. 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=5137101>

92.

Solity J. The Learning Revolution: Michel Thomas Method. Michael Thomas Method Language Courses. London: Hodder Education; 2008.

93.

Baxter J, Frederickson N. Every Child Matters: Can Educational Psychology Contribute to Radical Reform? Educational Psychology in Practice [Internet]. 2005;21(2):87–102. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02667360500128697>

94.

Bekkers R, Wiepking P. A Literature Review of Empirical Studies of Philanthropy. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly. 2011;40(5):924–73. doi:10.1177/0899764010380927

95.

Croson R, Shang J. The Impact of Downward Social Information on Contribution Decisions. Experimental Economics. 2008;11(3):221–33. doi:10.1007/s10683-007-9191-z

96.

Kogut T, Ritov I. The Identifiable Victim Effect. In: The Science of Giving: Experimental Approaches to the Study of Charity. New York: Psychology Press; 2011. p. 133–45.

97.

Kogut T, Ritov I. The Identifiable Victim Effect. In: The Science of Giving: Experimental Approaches to the Study of Charity [Internet]. New York: Psychology; 2011. p. 133–45. Available from:
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203865972>

98.

Oppenheimer DM, Olivola CY. The Science of Giving: Experimental Approaches to the Study of Charity. New York: Psychology Press; 2011.

99.

Oppenheimer D, Olivola CY. The Science of Giving: Experimental Approaches to the Study of Charity [Electronic book]. Society for Judgment and Decision Making Series. New York: Psychology; 2011. Available from:
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780203865972>

100.

Bögner D, Herlihy J, Brewin CR. Impact of Sexual Violence on Disclosure During Home Office Interviews. British Journal Of Psychiatry : The Journal Of Mental Science [Internet]. 2007;191. Available from:
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/the-british-journal-of-psychiatry/article/impact-of-sexual-violence-on-disclosure-during-home-office-interviews/E52D8B008FF7D52ED6CC790704A334C4>

101.

Zaragoza Scherman A, Salgado S, Shao Z, Berntsen D. Event Centrality of Positive and Negative Autobiographical Memories to Identity and Life Story Across Cultures. Memory. 2015;23(8):1152–71. doi:10.1080/09658211.2014.962997

102.

Graham B, Herlihy J, Brewin CR. Overgeneral Memory in Asylum Seekers and Refugees. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*. 2014;45(3):375-80. doi:10.1016/j.jbtep.2014.03.001

103.

Jobson L, Cheraghi S. Influence of Memory Theme and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder on Memory Specificity in British and Iranian Trauma Survivors. *Memory*. 2016;24(8):1015-22. doi:10.1080/09658211.2015.1061015

104.

Jobson L, Dalgleish T. Cultural Differences in the Relationship Between Intrusions and Trauma Narratives Using the Trauma Film Paradigm. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(9). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106759

105.

Neshat Doost HT, Yule W, Kalantari M, Rezvani SR, Dyregrov A, Jobson L. Reduced Autobiographical Memory Specificity in Bereaved Afghan Adolescents. *Memory*. 2014;22(6):700-9. doi:10.1080/09658211.2013.817590

106.

Wagner P. Consultation: Developing a Comprehensive Approach to Service Delivery. *Educational Psychology in Practice*. 2000;16(1):9-18. doi:10.1080/026673600115229

107.

Falvey MA. All My Life's a Circle: Using the Tools - Circles, Maps and Pathways. New&expanded ed. Toronto, Ont: Inclusion Press; 1997.

108.

Blum D. Love at Goon Park: Harry Harlow and the Science of Affection. 2 ed. New York: The Perseus Books Group; 2011.

109.

Farid H. Photo Forensics. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press; 2016.

110.

Farid H, Bravo MJ. Image Forensic Analyses That Elude the Human Visual System. In: Media Forensics and Security II [Internet]. SPIE; 2010. Available from: <http://proceedings.spiedigitallibrary.org/proceeding.aspx?doi=10.1117/12.837788>
doi:10.1117/12.837788

111.

Kee E, O'Brien J, Farid H. Exposing Photo Manipulation With Inconsistent Shadows. ACM Transactions on Graphics. 2013;32(3):1–12. doi:10.1145/2487228.2487236

112.

Nightingale SJ, Wade KA, Watson DG. Can People Identify Original and Manipulated Photos of Real-World Scenes? Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications. 2017;2(1):1–21. doi:10.1186/s41235-017-0067-2

113.

O'Brien JF, Farid H. Exposing Photo Manipulation With Inconsistent Reflections. ACM Transactions on Graphics. 2012;31(1):1–11. doi:10.1145/2077341.2077345

114.

Bertamini M, Spooner A, Hecht H. Naive Optics: Predicting and Perceiving Reflections in Mirrors. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance [Internet]. 2003;29(5):982–1002. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2003-08747-013&site=ehost-live>

115.

Bianchi I, Savardi U. What Fits Into a Mirror: Naïve Beliefs About the Field of View. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* [Internet]. 2012;38(5):1144–58. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2012-02240-001&site=ehost-live>

116.

Croucher CJ, Bertamini M, Hecht H. Naive Optics: Understanding the Geometry of Mirror Reflections. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* [Internet]. 2002;28(3):546–62. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=pdh&AN=2002-02997-004&site=ehost-live>

117.

Dee HM, Santos PE. The Perception and Content of Cast Shadows: An Interdisciplinary Review. *Spatial Cognition & Computation*. 2011;11(3):226–53. doi:10.1080/13875868.2011.565396

118.

Enns JT, Rensink RA. Influence of Scene-Based Properties on Visual Search. *Science* [Internet]. 1990;247(4943):721–3. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2873687>

119.

Ehinger KA, Allen K, Wolfe JM. Change Blindness for Cast Shadows in Natural Scenes: Even Informative Shadow Changes Are Missed. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*. 2016;78(4):978–87. doi:10.3758/s13414-015-1054-7

120.

Farid H. Digital Doctoring: How to Tell the Real From the Fake. *Significance*. 2006;3(4):162–6. doi:10.1111/j.1740-9713.2006.00197.x

121.

Farid H. Image Forgery Detection. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 2009;26(2):16–25.

doi:10.1109/MSP.2008.931079

122.

Lopez-Moreno J, Sundstedt V, Sangorrin F, Gutierrez D. Measuring the Perception of Light Inconsistencies. In: Proceedings of the 7th Symposium on Applied Perception in Graphics and Visualization - APGV '10 [Internet]. ACM Press; 2010. Available from: <http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=1836248.1836252> doi:10.1145/1836248.1836252

123.

Mamassian P. Impossible Shadows and the Shadow Correspondence Problem. *Perception*. 2004;33(11):1279–90. doi:10.1068/p5280

124.

Mamassian P. Ambiguities and Conventions in the Perception of Visual Art. *Vision Research*. 2008;48(20):2143–53. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2008.06.010

125.

Mamassian P, Knill DC, Kersten D. The Perception of Cast Shadows. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 1998;2(8):288–95. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(98)01204-2

126.

Ostrovsky Y, Cavanagh P, Sinha P. Perceiving Illumination Inconsistencies in Scenes. *Perception*. 2005;34(11):1301–14. doi:10.1068/p5418

127.

Porter G. What Makes Cast Shadows Hard to See? *Journal of Vision*. 2010;10(3):1–18. doi:10.1167/10.3.13

128.

Rensink RA, Cavanagh P. The Influence of Cast Shadows on Visual Search. *Perception*. 2004;33(11):1339–58. doi:10.1068/p5322

129.

Sareen P, Ehinger KA, Wolfe JM. Through the Looking-Glass: Objects in the Mirror Are Less Real. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*. 2015;22(4):980–6. doi:10.3758/s13423-014-0761-8

130.

Tan M, Lalonde JF, Sharan L, Rushmeier H, O'sullivan C. The Perception of Lighting Inconsistencies in Composite Outdoor Scenes. *ACM Transactions on Applied Perception*. 2015;12(4):1–18. doi:10.1145/2810038

131.

Efferson C, Vogt S, Elhadi A, Ahmed HEF, Fehr E. Female Genital Cutting Is Not a Social Coordination Norm. *Science*. 2015;349(6255):1446–7. doi:10.1126/science.aaa7978

132.

Vogt S, Mohammed Zaid NA, El Fadil Ahmed H, Fehr E, Efferson C. Changing Cultural Attitudes Towards Female Genital Cutting. *Nature*. 2016;538(7626):506–9. doi:10.1038/nature20100

133.

Granovetter M. Threshold Models of Collective Behavior. *American Journal of Sociology*. 1978;83(6):1420–43. doi:10.1086/226707

134.

Nyborg K, Anderies JM, Dannenberg A, Lindahl T, Schill C, Schluter M, et al. Social Norms as Solutions. *Science*. 2016;354(6308):42–3. doi:10.1126/science.aaf8317

135.

Mesoudi A, Chang L, Dall SRX, Thornton A. The Evolution of Individual and Cultural Variation in Social Learning. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 2016;31(3):215–25.
doi:10.1016/j.tree.2015.12.012

136.

Muthukrishna M, Morgan TJH, Henrich J. The When and Who of Social Learning and Conformist Transmission. *Evolution and Human Behavior*. 2016;37(1):10–20.
doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2015.05.004