

BS3090: Entomology - Pure and Applied

View Online



1.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

2.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology* [Internet]. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014. Available from:
[https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects:%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

3.

Chapman RF. *The Insects: Structure and Function*. 5th Edition. Simpson SJ, Douglas AE, editors. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2013.

4.

Klowden MJ, Klowden MJ. *Physiological Systems in Insects*. Amsterdam: Elsevier/AP; 2013.

5.

Klowden MJ. *Physiological Systems in Insects* [Internet]. London: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier; 2013. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1191551>

6.

Engel MS. Insect Evolution. *Current Biology*. 2015;25(19):R868–72.

7.

Scudder GGE. The Importance of Insects. In: *Insect Biodiversity: Science and Society* [Internet]. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009. p. 7–32. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=428298>

8.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Reproduction. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014. p. 125–56.

9.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Reproduction. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology* [Internet]. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014. p. 125–55. Available from: <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470>

10.

Alonzo SH, Pizzari T. Selection on Female Remating Interval Is Influenced by Male Sperm Competition Strategies and Ejaculate Characteristics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2013;368(1613):20120044–20120044.

11.

Bonduriansky R. The Evolution of Male Mate Choice in Insects: A Synthesis of Ideas and Evidence. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*. 2001;76(3):305–39.

12.

Burgevin L, Friberg U, Maklakova AA. Intersexual Correlation for Same-Sex Sexual Behaviour in an Insect. *Animal Behaviour*. 2013;85(4):759–62.

13.

Johnstone RA, Keller L. How Males Can Gain by Harming Their Mates: Sexual Conflict, Seminal Toxins, and the Cost of Mating. *The American Naturalist*. 2000;156(4):368-77.

14.

Michalczyk Ł, Millard AL, Martin OY, Lumley AJ, Emerson BC, Chapman T, et al. Inbreeding Promotes Female Promiscuity. *Science*. 2011;333(6050):1739-42.

15.

Edvardsson M. Why Do Male *Callosobruchus Maculatus* Harm Their Mates? *Behavioral Ecology*. 2005;16(4):788-93.

16.

Lihoreau M, Zimmer C, Rivault C. Mutual Mate Choice: When it Pays Both Sexes to Avoid Inbreeding. *PLoS ONE*. 2008;3(10).

17.

Perry JC, Sirot L, Wigby S. The Seminal Symphony: How to Compose an Ejaculate. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 2013;28(7):414-22.

18.

Simmons LW, Tan YF, Millar AH. Sperm and Seminal Fluid Proteomes of the Field Cricket *Teleogryllus Oceanicus*: Identification of Novel Proteins Transferred to Females at Mating. *Insect Molecular Biology*. 2013;22(1):115-30.

19.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Insect Development and Life Histories. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

20.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Insect Development and Life Histories. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology* [Internet]. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014. Available from: [https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects:%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

21.

Bale JS. Classes of Insect Cold Hardiness. *Functional Ecology* [Internet]. 1993;7(6):751-3. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2390198>

22.

Hoback WW, Stanley DW. Insects in Hypoxia. *Journal of Insect Physiology*. 2001;47(6):533-42.

23.

MacMillan HA, Findsen A, Pedersen TH, Overgaard J. Cold-Induced Depolarization of Insect Muscle: Differing Roles of Extracellular K⁺ During Acute and Chronic Chilling. *Journal of Experimental Biology* [Internet]. 2014;217(16):2930-8. Available from: <http://jeb.biologists.org/content/217/16/2930>

24.

Ju RT, Xiao YY, Li B. Rapid Cold Hardening Increases Cold and Chilling Tolerances More Than Acclimation in the Adults of the Sycamore Lace Bug, *Corythucha Ciliata* (Say) (Hemiptera: Tingidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology*. 2011;57(11):1577-82.

25.

Lee, RE. Insect Cold-Hardiness: To Freeze or Not to Freeze. *BioScience*. 1989;39(5):308-13.

26.

Neven LG. Physiological Responses of Insects to Heat. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 2000;21(1):103-11.

27.

Teets NM, Yi SX, Lee RE, Denlinger DL. Calcium Signaling Mediates Cold Sensing in Insect Tissues. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2013;110(22):9154–9.

28.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Insect Predation and Parasitism. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

29.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Insect Predation and Parasitism. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology* [Internet]. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014. Available from: [https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects:%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

30.

Skelhorn J, Rowland HM, Speed MP, Ruxton GD. Masquerade: Camouflage Without Crypsis. *Science* [Internet]. 2007;327(5961):51–51. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40508288>

31.

Church SC, BennettInnes ATD, Cuthill C, Hunt S, Hart NS, Partridge JC. Does Lepidopteran Larval Crypsis Extend into the Ultraviolet? *Naturwissenschaften*. 1998;85(4):189–92.

32.

Harvey D, Gange A. Size Variation and Mating Success in the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus*. *Physiological Entomology*. 2006;31(3):218–26.

33.

Howse PE. Lepidopteran Wing Patterns and the Evolution of Satyric Mimicry. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 2013;109(1):203–14.

34.

McCullough EL, Emlen DJ. Evaluating the Costs of a Sexually Selected Weapon: Big Horns at a Small Price. *Animal Behaviour*. 2013;86(5):977-85.

35.

Jones RT. Wing Shape Variation Associated With Mimicry In Butterflies. *Evolution*. 2013;67(8):2323-34.

36.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

37.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology* [Internet]. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014. Available from: [https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects:%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

38.

Hallem EA, Dahanukar A, Carlson JR. Insect Odor and Taste Receptors. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 2006;51(1):113-35.

39.

Hansson BS. A Bug's Smell – Research Into Insect Olfaction. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2002;25(5):270-4.

40.

Hansson BS, Stensmyr MC. Evolution of Insect Olfaction. *Neuron*. 2011;72(5):698-711.

41.

Siciliano P, Hea XL, Woodcocka C, Picketta JA, Fielda LM, Birketta MA, et al. Identification of Pheromone Components and Their Binding Affinity to the Odorant Binding Protein CcapOBP83a-2 of the Mediterranean Fruit Fly, *Ceratitis Capitata*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2014;48:51–62.

42.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Sensory Systems and Behaviour. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

43.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Sensory Systems and Behaviour. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology* [Internet]. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology>

44.

Klowden MJ. Communication Systems. In: *Physiological Systems in Insects*. Amsterdam: Elsevier/AP; 2013. p. 604–48.

45.

Klowden MJ. Communication Systems. In: *Physiological Systems in Insects* [Internet]. London: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier; 2013. p. 603–48. Available from: <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1191551>

46.

Cocroft RB, Rodriguez RL. The Behavioral Ecology of Insect Vibrational Communication. *BioScience*. 2005;55(4).

47.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Internal Anatomy and Physiology. In: *The Insects: An Outline of*

Entomology. 5th Edition. Chichester, West Sussex: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

48.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Internal Anatomy and Physiology. In: The Insects: An Outline of Entomology [Internet]. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014. Available from: <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology>

49.

Douglas AE. Phloem-Sap Feeding by Animals: Problems and Solutions. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2006;57(4):747-54.

50.

Kukor JJ. The Role of Ingested Fungal Enzymes in Cellulose Digestion in the Larvae of Cerambycid Beetles Original text. Physiological Zoology [Internet]. 1988;61(4):364-71. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30161254>

51.

MacMahon JA. Harvester Ants (Pogonomyrmex spp.): Their Community and Ecosystem Influences Original text. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics [Internet]. 2000;31:265-91. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/221733>

52.

Sabree ZL. Nitrogen Recycling and Nutritional Provisioning by Blattabacterium, the Cockroach Endosymbiont Original text. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America [Internet]. 2009;106(46):19521-6. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25593225>

53.

Six DL. The Bark Beetle Holobiont: Why Microbes Matter. Journal of Chemical Ecology. 2013;39(7):989-1002.

54.

Jonsell M. Substrate Requirements of Red-Listed Saproxyllic Invertebrates in Sweden. *Biodiversity & Conservation*. 1998;7(6):749–64.

55.

Engel P, Moran NA. The Gut Microbiota of Insects – Diversity in Structure and Function. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 2013;37(5):699–735.

56.

Harvey D, Gange A. The Stag Beetle: a Collaborative Conservation Study Across Europe. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 2011;4(1):2–3.

57.

Harvey D, Gange AC, Hawes CJ, Rink M. Bionomics and Distribution of the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus* (L.) Across Europe. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 2011;4(1):23–38.

58.

Harvey D, Hawes CJ, Gange AC, Finch P, Chesmore D, Farr I. Development of Non-Invasive Monitoring Methods for Larvae and Adults of the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus*. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 2011;4(1):4–14.

59.

Larsson MC, Svensson GP. Pheromone Monitoring of Rare and Threatened Insects: Exploiting a Pheromone-Kairomone System to Estimate Prey and Predator Abundance
Original text. *Conservation Biology* [Internet]. 2009;23(6):1516–25. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40419190>

60.

Musa N, Andersson K, Burman J, Andersson F, Hedenström E, Jansson N, et al. Using Sex Pheromone and a Multi-Scale Approach to Predict the Distribution of a Rare Saproxyllic Beetle. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(6).

61.

Svensson GP, Larsson MC. Enantiomeric Specificity in a Pheromone–Kairomone System of Two Threatened Saproxylic Beetles, *Osmoderma Eremita* and *Elater Ferrugineus*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 2008;34(2):189–97.

62.

Tolasch T, von Fragstein M, Steidle JLM. Sex Pheromone of *Elater ferrugineus* L. (Coleoptera: Elateridae). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 2007;33(11):2156–66.

63.

Andersson K, Bergman KO, Andersson F, Hedenström E, Jansson N, Burman J, et al. High-Accuracy Sampling of Saproxylic Diversity Indicators at Regional Scales With Pheromones: The Case of *Elater Ferrugineus* (Coleoptera, Elateridae). *Biological Conservation*. 2014;171:156–66.

64.

Ugelvig LV, Cremer S. Effects of Social Immunity and Uniclonality on Host-Parasite Interactions in Invasive Insect Societies. *Functional Ecology*. 2012;26(6):1300–12.

65.

Moritz RFA, Härtel S, Neumann P. Global Invasions of the Western Honeybee (*Apis Mellifera*) and the Consequences for Biodiversity. *Écoscience*. 2005;12(3):289–301.

66.

Manfredi F, Grozinger CM, Beani L. Examining the "Evolution of Increased Competitive Ability" Hypothesis in Response to Parasites and Pathogens in the Invasive Paper Wasp *Polistes Dominula*. *Naturwissenschaften*. 2013;100(3):219–28.

67.

Ascunce MS, Yang CC, Oakey J, Calcaterra L, Wu WJ, Shih CJ, et al. Global Invasion History

of the Fire Ant *Solenopsis Invicta*. *Science* [Internet]. 2011;331(6020):1066–8. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41075761>

68.

Saikkonen K, Saari S, Helander M. Defensive Mutualism Between Plants and Endophytic Fungi? *Fungal Diversity*. 2010;41(1):101–13.

69.

Wearn JA, Sutton BC, Morley NJ, Gange AC. Species and Organ Specificity of Fungal Endophytes in Herbaceous Grassland Plants. *Journal of Ecology* [Internet]. 2012;100(5):1085–92. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23257530>

70.

Yan JF, Broughton SJ, Yang SL, Gange AC. Do Endophytic Fungi Grow Through Their Hosts Systemically? *Fungal Ecology*. 2015;13:53–9.

71.

Faeth SH. Are Endophytic Fungi Defensive Plant Mutualists? *Oikos* [Internet]. 2002;98(1):25–36. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3547609>

72.

Gange AC, Eschen R, Wearn JA, Thawer A, Sutton BC. Differential Effects of Foliar Endophytic Fungi on Insect Herbivores Attacking a Herbaceous Plant. *Oecologia* [Internet]. 2012;168(4). Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41487340>

73.

Barto EK, Rillig MC. Does Herbivory Really Suppress Mycorrhiza? a Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Ecology* [Internet]. 2010;98(4):745–53. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40732002>

74.

Gange AC, West HM. Interactions between Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Foliar-Feeding Insects in *Plantago lanceolata* L. *The New Phytologist* [Internet]. 1994;128(1):79-87. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2557834>

75.

Gange AC, Smith AK. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Influence Visitation Rates of Pollinating Insects. *Ecological Entomology*. 2005;30(5):600-6.

76.

Koricheva J, Gange AC, Jones T. Effects of Mycorrhizal Fungi on Insect Herbivores: A Meta-Analysis. *Ecology* [Internet]. 2009;90(8):2088-97. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25592725>

77.

Gange AC, Brown VK, Aplin DM. Multitrophic Links Between Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Insect Parasitoids. *Ecology Letters*. 2003;6(12):1051-5.

78.

Simon AL, Wellham PAD, Aradottir GI, Gange AC. Unravelling Mycorrhiza-Induced Wheat Susceptibility to the English Grain Aphid *Sitobion avenae*. *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7(1).

79.

Ehrlich PR, Raven PH. Butterflies and Plants: A Study in Coevolution. *Evolution*. 1964;18(4):586-608.

80.

Farrell BD. 'Inordinate Fondness' Explained: Why are There so Many Beetles? Original text. *Science* [Internet]. 1998;281(5376):555-9. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2895081>

81.

Becerra JX. Insects on Plants: Macroevolutionary Chemical Trends in Host Use
Original text. *Science* [Internet]. 1997;276(5310):253–6. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2892759>

82.

Becerra JX. Synchronous Coadaptation in an Ancient Case of Herbivory. *Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* [Internet].
2003;100(22):12804–7. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3148041>

83.

Becerra JX. Macroevolutionary Chemical Escalation in an Ancient Plant-Herbivore Arms
Race Original text. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America* [Internet]. 2009;106(43):18062–6. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25592961>

84.

Agrawal AA. Insect Herbivores Drive Real-Time Ecological and Evolutionary Change in Plant
Populations. *Science*. 2012;338(6103):113–6.

85.

Zust T, Heichinger C, Grossniklaus U, Harrington R, Kliebenstein DJ, Turnbull LA. Natural
Enemies Drive Geographic Variation in Plant Defenses. *Science*. 2012;338(6103):116–9.

86.

Wise MJ, Rausher MD. Evolution of Resistance to a Multiple-Herbivore Community: Genetic
Correlations, Diffuse Coevolution, and Constraints on the Plant's Response to Selection.
Evolution. 2013;67(6):1767–79.

87.

Castagneyrol B, Giffard B, Péré C, Jactel H. Plant Apparency, an Overlooked Driver of

Associational Resistance to Insect Herbivory. *Journal of Ecology*. 2013;101(2):418–29.

88.

Barbosa P, Hines J, Kaplan I, Martinson H, Szczepaniec A, Szendrei Z. Associational Resistance and Associational Susceptibility: Having Right or Wrong Neighbors Original text. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* [Internet]. 2009;40:1–20. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20744029>

89.

Castagneyrol B, Jactel H, Vacher C, Brockerhoff EG, Koricheva J. Effects of Plant Phylogenetic Diversity on Herbivory Depend on Herbivore Specialization. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2014;51(1):134–41.

90.

Tooker JF, Frank SD. Genotypically Diverse Cultivar Mixtures for Insect Pest Management and Increased Crop Yields. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2012;49(5):974–85.

91.

Letourneau DK, Armbrecht I, Riviera BS, Lerma JM, Carmona EJ, Daza MC, et al. Does Plant Diversity Benefit Agroecosystems? A Synthetic Review. *Ecological Applications* [Internet]. 2011;21(1):9–21. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/29779633>

92.

White JA, Whitham TG. Associational Susceptibility of Cottonwood to a Box Elder Herbivore. *Ecology*. 2000;81(7):1795–803.

93.

Kaitaniemi P, Riihimäki J, Koricheva J, Vehviläinen H. Experimental Evidence for Associational Resistance Against the European Pine Sawfly in Mixed Tree Stands. *Silva Fennica* [Internet]. 2007;41(2):259–68. Available from: <https://silvafennica.fi/pdf/article295.pdf>

94.

Bass C, Puinean AM, Zimmer CT, Denholm I, Field LM, Foster SP, et al. The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus Persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2014;51:41-51.

95.

Bass C, Puinean AM, Zimmer CT, Denholm I, Field LM, Foster SP, et al. The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus Persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2014;51:41-51.

96.

Shaw RH, Tanner R, Djeddour D, Cortat G. Classical Biological Control of *Fallopia Japonica* in the United Kingdom - Lessons for Europe. *Weed Research*. 2011;51(6):552-8.

97.

Williams F, Eschen R, Harris A, Djeddour D, Pratt C, Shaw RS, et al. The Economic Cost of Invasive Non-Native Species on Great Britain [Internet]. Vol. CAB/001/09. www.cabi.org; 2010. Available from: <http://www.nonnativespecies.org/downloadDocument.cfm?id=487>

98.

Sheppard AW, Shaw RH, Sforza R. Top 20 Environmental Weeds for Classical Biological Control in Europe: A Review of Opportunities, Regulations and Other Barriers to Adoption. *Weed Research*. 2006;46(2):93-117.

99.

Culliney TW. Benefits of Classical Biological Control for Managing Invasive Plants. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. 2005;24(2):131-50.

100.

Mcfadyen REC. Successes in Biological Control of Weeds. Spencer NR, editor. *Proceedings of the X International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds* [Internet]. 1999;3-14.

Available from: <https://www.invasive.org/publications/xsymposium/proceed/01apg03.pdf>

101.

Cock MJW, Murphy ST, Kairo MTK, Thompson E, Murphy RJ, Francis AW. Trends in the Classical Biological Control of Insect Pests by Insects: An Update of the Biocat Database. *BioControl*. 2016;61(4):349-63.

102.

Murphy ST, LaSalle J. Balancing Biological Control Strategies in the IPM of New World Invasive *Liriomyza* Leafminers in Field Vegetable Crops. *Biocontrol News and Information* [Internet]. 1999;20(3):91N-104N. Available from: <http://cabweb.org/PDF/BNI/Control/bnira50.pdf>

103.

Messing R, Brodeur J. Current Challenges to the Implementation of Classical Biological Control. *BioControl*. 2018;63(1):1-9.

104.

Shaw RH, Ellison CA, Marchante H, Pratt CF, Schaffner U, Sforza RFH, et al. Weed Biological Control in the European Union: From Serendipity to Strategy. *BioControl*. 2018;63(3):333-47.

105.

Schwarzländer M, Hinz HL, Winston RL, Day MD. Biological Control of Weeds: An Analysis of Introductions, Rates of Establishment and Estimates of Success, Worldwide. *BioControl*. 2018;63(3):319-31.