

BS3090: Entomology - Pure and Applied

View Online



1.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2014).

2.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2014).

3.

Chapman, R.F.: *The Insects: Structure and Function*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013).

4.

Klowden, M.J., Klowden, M.J.: *Physiological Systems in Insects*. Elsevier/AP, Amsterdam (2013).

5.

Klowden, M.J.: *Physiological Systems in Insects*. Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier, London (2013).

6.

Engel, M.S.: Insect Evolution. *Current Biology*. 25, R868–R872 (2015).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.07.059>.

7.

Scudder, G.G.E.: The Importance of Insects. In: *Insect Biodiversity: Science and Society*. pp. 7–32. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester (2009).

8.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: Reproduction. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. pp. 125–156. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2014).

9.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: Reproduction. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. pp. 125–155. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2014).

10.

Alonzo, S.H., Pizzari, T.: Selection on Female Remating Interval Is Influenced by Male Sperm Competition Strategies and Ejaculate Characteristics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 368, 20120044–20120044 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0044>.

11.

Bonduriansky, R.: The Evolution of Male Mate Choice in Insects: A Synthesis of Ideas and Evidence. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*. 76, 305–339 (2001).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793101005693>.

12.

Burgevin, L., Friberg, U., Maklakova, A.A.: Intersexual Correlation for Same-Sex Sexual Behaviour in an Insect. *Animal Behaviour*. 85, 759–762 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.01.017>.

13.

Johnstone, R.A., Keller, L.: How Males Can Gain by Harming Their Mates: Sexual Conflict, Seminal Toxins, and the Cost of Mating. *The American Naturalist*. 156, 368–377 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.1086/303392>.

14.

Michalczyk, Ł., Millard, A.L., Martin, O.Y., Lumley, A.J., Emerson, B.C., Chapman, T., Gage, M.J.G.: Inbreeding Promotes Female Promiscuity. *Science*. 333, 1739–1742 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1207314>.

15.

Edvardsson, M.: Why Do Male *Callosobruchus Maculatus* Harm Their Mates? *Behavioral Ecology*. 16, 788–793 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ari055>.

16.

Lihoreau, M., Zimmer, C., Rivault, C.: Mutual Mate Choice: When it Pays Both Sexes to Avoid Inbreeding. *PLoS ONE*. 3, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003365>.

17.

Perry, J.C., Sirot, L., Wigby, S.: The Seminal Symphony: How to Compose an Ejaculate. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 28, 414–422 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.03.005>.

18.

Simmons, L.W., Tan, Y.F., Millar, A.H.: Sperm and Seminal Fluid Proteomes of the Field Cricket *Teleogryllus Oceanicus*: Identification of Novel Proteins Transferred to Females at Mating. *Insect Molecular Biology*. 22, 115–130 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12007>.

19.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: Insect Development and Life Histories. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2014).

20.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: Insect Development and Life Histories. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2014).

21.

Bale, J.S.: Classes of Insect Cold Hardiness. *Functional Ecology*. 7, 751–753 (1993).

22.

Hoback, W.W., Stanley, D.W.: Insects in Hypoxia. *Journal of Insect Physiology*. 47, 533–542 (2001). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910\(00\)00153-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(00)00153-0).

23.

MacMillan, H.A., Findsen, A., Pedersen, T.H., Overgaard, J.: Cold-Induced Depolarization of Insect Muscle: Differing Roles of Extracellular K⁺ During Acute and Chronic Chilling. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 217, 2930–2938 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.107516>.

24.

Ju, R.-T., Xiao, Y.-Y., Li, B.: Rapid Cold Hardening Increases Cold and Chilling Tolerances More Than Acclimation in the Adults of the Sycamore Lace Bug, *Corythucha Ciliata* (Say) (Hemiptera: Tingidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology*. 57, 1577–1582 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2011.08.012>.

25.

Lee, R.E.: Insect Cold-Hardiness: To Freeze or Not to Freeze. *BioScience*. 39, 308–313 (1989). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1311113>.

26.

Neven, L.G.: Physiological Responses of Insects to Heat. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 21, 103–111 (2000). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-5214\(00\)00169-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-5214(00)00169-1).

27.

Teets, N.M., Yi, S.-X., Lee, R.E., Denlinger, D.L.: Calcium Signaling Mediates Cold Sensing in Insect Tissues. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 110, 9154–9159 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1306705110>.

28.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *Insect Predation and Parasitism*. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2014).

29.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *Insect Predation and Parasitism*. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2014).

30.

Skelhorn, J., Rowland, H.M., Speed, M.P., Ruxton, G.D.: Masquerade: Camouflage Without Crypsis. *Science*. 327, 51–51 (2007).

31.

Church, S.C., BennettInnes, A.T.D., Cuthill, C., Hunt, S., Hart, N.S., Partridge, J.C.: Does Lepidopteran Larval Crypsis Extend into the Ultraviolet? *Naturwissenschaften*. 85, 189–192 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s001140050483>.

32.

Harvey, D., Gange, A.: Size Variation and Mating Success in the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus*. *Physiological Entomology*. 31, 218–226 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.2006.00509.x>.

33.

Howse, P.E.: Lepidopteran Wing Patterns and the Evolution of Satyric Mimicry. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 109, 203–214 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12027>.

34.

McCullough, E.L., Emlen, D.J.: Evaluating the Costs of a Sexually Selected Weapon: Big Horns at a Small Price. *Animal Behaviour*. 86, 977–985 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.08.017>.

35.

Jones, R.T.: Wing Shape Variation Associated With Mimicry In Butterflies. *Evolution*. 67, 2323–2334 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12114>.

36.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2014).

37.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2014).

38.

Hallem, E.A., Dahanukar, A., Carlson, J.R.: Insect Odor and Taste Receptors. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 51, 113–135 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.51.051705.113646>.

39.

Hansson, B.S.: A Bug's Smell – Research Into Insect Olfaction. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 25, 270–274 (2002). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236\(02\)02140-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-2236(02)02140-9).

40.

Hansson, B.S., Stensmyr, M.C.: Evolution of Insect Olfaction. *Neuron*. 72, 698–711 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.003>.

41.

Siciliano, P., Hea, X.L., Woodcocka, C., Picketta, J.A., Fielda, L.M., Birketta, M.A., Kalinovac, B., Gomulskib, L.M., Sclarib, F., Gasperib, G., Malacridab, A.R., Zhoua, J.J.: Identification of Pheromone Components and Their Binding Affinity to the Odorant Binding Protein CcapOBP83a-2 of the Mediterranean Fruit Fly, *Ceratitis Capitata*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 48, 51–62 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.02.005>.

42.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *Sensory Systems and Behaviour*. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2014).

43.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *Sensory Systems and Behaviour*. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2014).

44.

Klowden, M.J.: *Communication Systems*. In: *Physiological Systems in Insects*. pp. 604–648. Elsevier/AP, Amsterdam (2013).

45.

Klowden, M.J.: *Communication Systems*. In: *Physiological Systems in Insects*. pp. 603–648. Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier, London (2013).

46.

Cocroft, R.B., Rodriguez, R.L.: *The Behavioral Ecology of Insect Vibrational Communication*. *BioScience*. 55, (2005).
[https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2005\)055\[0323:TBEIV\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0323:TBEIV]2.0.CO;2).

47.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: *Internal Anatomy and Physiology*. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex (2014).

48.

Gullan, P.J., Cranston, P.S.: Internal Anatomy and Physiology. In: The Insects: An Outline of Entomology. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated (2014).

49.

Douglas, A.E.: Phloem-Sap Feeding by Animals: Problems and Solutions. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 57, 747–754 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erj067>.

50.

Kukor, J.J.: The Role of Ingested Fungal Enzymes in Cellulose Digestion in the Larvae of Cerambycid Beetles Original text. *Physiological Zoology*. 61, 364–371 (1988).

51.

MacMahon, J.A.: Harvester Ants (*Pogonomyrmex* spp.): Their Community and Ecosystem Influences Original text. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*. 31, 265–291 (2000).

52.

Sabree, Z.L.: Nitrogen Recycling and Nutritional Provisioning by Blattabacterium, the Cockroach Endosymbiont Original text. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 106, 19521–19526 (2009).

53.

Six, D.L.: The Bark Beetle Holobiont: Why Microbes Matter. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 39, 989–1002 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-013-0318-8>.

54.

Jonsell, M.: Substrate Requirements of Red-Listed Saproxylic Invertebrates in Sweden. *Biodiversity & Conservation*. 7, 749–764 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008888319031>.

55.

Engel, P., Moran, N.A.: The Gut Microbiota of Insects – Diversity in Structure and Function. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 37, 699–735 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12025>.

56.

Harvey, D., Gange, A.: The Stag Beetle: a Collaborative Conservation Study Across Europe. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 4, 2–3 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4598.2010.00125.x>.

57.

Harvey, D., Gange, A.C., Hawes, C.J., Rink, M.: Bionomics and Distribution of the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus* (L.) Across Europe. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 4, 23–38 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4598.2010.00107.x>.

58.

Harvey, D., Hawes, C.J., Gange, A.C., Finch, P., Chesmore, D., Farr, I.: Development of Non-Invasive Monitoring Methods for Larvae and Adults of the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus*. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 4, 4–14 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4598.2009.00072.x>.

59.

Larsson, M.C., Svensson, G.P.: Pheromone Monitoring of Rare and Threatened Insects: Exploiting a Pheromone-Kairomone System to Estimate Prey and Predator Abundance
Original text. *Conservation Biology*. 23, 1516–1525 (2009).

60.

Musa, N., Andersson, K., Burman, J., Andersson, F., Hedenström, E., Jansson, N., Paltto, H., Westerberg, L., Winde, I., Larsson, M.C., Bergman, K.-O., Milberg, P.: Using Sex Pheromone and a Multi-Scale Approach to Predict the Distribution of a Rare Saproxyllic Beetle. *PLoS ONE*. 8, (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066149>.

61.

Svensson, G.P., Larsson, M.C.: Enantiomeric Specificity in a Pheromone–Kairomone System of Two Threatened Saproxyllic Beetles, *Osmoderma Eremita* and *Elater Ferrugineus*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 34, 189–197 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-007-9423-x>.

62.

Tolasch, T., von Fragstein, M., Steidle, J.L.M.: Sex Pheromone of *Elater ferrugineus* L. (Coleoptera: Elateridae). *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 33, 2156–2166 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-007-9365-3>.

63.

Andersson, K., Bergman, K.-O., Andersson, F., Hedenström, E., Jansson, N., Burman, J., Winde, I., Larsson, M.C., Milberg, P.: High-Accuracy Sampling of Saproxyllic Diversity Indicators at Regional Scales With Pheromones: The Case of *Elater Ferrugineus* (Coleoptera, Elateridae). *Biological Conservation*. 171, 156–166 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.01.007>.

64.

Ugelvig, L.V., Cremer, S.: Effects of Social Immunity and Uniclonality on Host-Parasite Interactions in Invasive Insect Societies. *Functional Ecology*. 26, 1300–1312 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12013>.

65.

Moritz, R.F.A., Härtel, S., Neumann, P.: Global Invasions of the Western Honeybee (*Apis Mellifera*) and the Consequences for Biodiversity. *Écoscience*. 12, 289–301 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.2980/i1195-6860-12-3-289.1>.

66.

Manfredi, F., Grozinger, C.M., Beani, L.: Examining the "Evolution of Increased Competitive Ability" Hypothesis in Response to Parasites and Pathogens in the Invasive Paper Wasp *Polistes Dominula*. *Naturwissenschaften*. 100, 219–228 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-013-1014-9>.

67.

Ascunce, M.S., Yang, C.-C., Oakey, J., Calcaterra, L., Wu, W.-J., Shih, C.-J., Goudet, J., Ross, K.G., Shoemaker, D.: Global Invasion History of the Fire Ant *Solenopsis Invicta*. *Science*. 331, 1066–1068 (2011).

68.

Saikkonen, K., Saari, S., Helander, M.: Defensive Mutualism Between Plants and Endophytic Fungi? *Fungal Diversity*. 41, 101–113 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-010-0023-7>.

69.

Wearn, J.A., Sutton, B.C., Morley, N.J., Gange, A.C.: Species and Organ Specificity of Fungal Endophytes in Herbaceous Grassland Plants. *Journal of Ecology*. 100, 1085–1092 (2012).

70.

Yan, J.F., Broughton, S.J., Yang, S.L., Gange, A.C.: Do Endophytic Fungi Grow Through Their Hosts Systemically? *Fungal Ecology*. 13, 53–59 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2014.07.005>.

71.

Faeth, S.H.: Are Endophytic Fungi Defensive Plant Mutualists? *Oikos*. 98, 25–36 (2002).

72.

Gange, A.C., Eschen, R., Wearn, J.A., Thawer, A., Sutton, B.C.: Differential Effects of Foliar Endophytic Fungi on Insect Herbivores Attacking a Herbaceous Plant. *Oecologia*. 168, (2012).

73.

Barto, E.K., Rillig, M.C.: Does Herbivory Really Suppress Mycorrhiza? a Meta-Analysis.

Journal of Ecology. 98, 745–753 (2010).

74.

Gange, A.C., West, H.M.: Interactions between Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Foliar-Feeding Insects in *Plantago lanceolata* L. *The New Phytologist*. 128, 79–87 (1994).

75.

Gange, A.C., Smith, A.K.: Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Influence Visitation Rates of Pollinating Insects. *Ecological Entomology*. 30, 600–606 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0307-6946.2005.00732.x>.

76.

Koricheva, J., Gange, A.C., Jones, T.: Effects of Mycorrhizal Fungi on Insect Herbivores: A Meta-Analysis. *Ecology*. 90, 2088–2097 (2009).

77.

Gange, A.C., Brown, V.K., Aplin, D.M.: Multitrophic Links Between Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Insect Parasitoids. *Ecology Letters*. 6, 1051–1055 (2003).
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00540.x>.

78.

Simon, A.L., Wellham, P.A.D., Aradottir, G.I., Gange, A.C.: Unravelling Mycorrhiza-Induced Wheat Susceptibility to the English Grain Aphid *Sitobion Avenae*. *Scientific Reports*. 7, (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46497>.

79.

Ehrlich, P.R., Raven, P.H.: Butterflies and Plants: A Study in Coevolution. *Evolution*. 18, 586–608 (1964). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2406212>.

80.

Farrell, B.D.: 'Inordinate Fondness' Explained: Why are There so Many Beetles? Original text. *Science*. 281, 555–559 (1998).

81.

Becerra, J.X.: Insects on Plants: Macroevolutionary Chemical Trends in Host Use Original text. *Science*. 276, 253–256 (1997).

82.

Becerra, J.X.: Synchronous Coadaptation in an Ancient Case of Herbivory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 100, 12804–12807 (2003).

83.

Becerra, J.X.: Macroevolutionary Chemical Escalation in an Ancient Plant-Herbivore Arms Race Original text. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 106, 18062–18066 (2009).

84.

Agrawal, A.A.: Insect Herbivores Drive Real-Time Ecological and Evolutionary Change in Plant Populations. *Science*. 338, 113–116 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225977>.

85.

Zust, T., Heichinger, C., Grossniklaus, U., Harrington, R., Kliebenstein, D.J., Turnbull, L.A.: Natural Enemies Drive Geographic Variation in Plant Defenses. *Science*. 338, 116–119 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1226397>.

86.

Wise, M.J., Rausher, M.D.: Evolution of Resistance to a Multiple-Herbivore Community: Genetic Correlations, Diffuse Coevolution, and Constraints on the Plant's Response to Selection. *Evolution*. 67, 1767–1779 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12061>.

87.

Castagneyrol, B., Giffard, B., Péré, C., Jactel, H.: Plant Apparency, an Overlooked Driver of Associational Resistance to Insect Herbivory. *Journal of Ecology*. 101, 418–429 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12055>.

88.

Barbosa, P., Hines, J., Kaplan, I., Martinson, H., Szczepaniec, A., Szendrei, Z.: Associational Resistance and Associational Susceptibility: Having Right or Wrong Neighbors Original text. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*. 40, 1–20 (2009).

89.

Castagneyrol, B., Jactel, H., Vacher, C., Brockerhoff, E.G., Koricheva, J.: Effects of Plant Phylogenetic Diversity on Herbivory Depend on Herbivore Specialization. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 51, 134–141 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12175>.

90.

Tooker, J.F., Frank, S.D.: Genotypically Diverse Cultivar Mixtures for Insect Pest Management and Increased Crop Yields. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 49, 974–985 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02173.x>.

91.

Letourneau, D.K., Armbrecht, I., Riviera, B.S., Lerma, J.M., Carmona, E.J., Daza, M.C., Escobar, S., Galindo, V., Gutiérrez, C., López, S.D., Mejía, J.L., Rangel, A.M.A., Rangel, J.H., Rivera, L., Saavedra, C.A., Torres, A.M., Trujillo, A.R.: Does Plant Diversity Benefit Agroecosystems? A Synthetic Review. *Ecological Applications*. 21, 9–21 (2011).

92.

White, J.A., Whitham, T.G.: Associational Susceptibility of Cottonwood to a Box Elder Herbivore. *Ecology*. 81, 1795–1803 (2000). <https://doi.org/10.2307/177271>.

93.

Kaitaniemi, P., Riihimäki, J., Koricheva, J., Vehviläinen, H.: Experimental Evidence for

Associational Resistance Against the European Pine Sawfly in Mixed Tree Stands. *Silva Fennica*. 41, 259–268 (2007).

94.

Bass, C., Puinean, A.M., Zimmer, C.T., Denholm, I., Field, L.M., Foster, S.P., Gutbrod, O., Nauen, R., Slater, R., Williamson, M.S.: The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus Persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 51, 41–51 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003>.

95.

Bass, C., Puinean, A.M., Zimmer, C.T., Denholm, I., Field, L.M., Foster, S.P., Gutbrod, O., Nauen, R., Slater, R., Williamson, M.S.: The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus Persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 51, 41–51 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003>.

96.

Shaw, R.H., Tanner, R., Djeddour, D., Cortat, G.: Classical Biological Control of *Fallopia Japonica* in the United Kingdom - Lessons for Europe. *Weed Research*. 51, 552–558 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.2011.00880.x>.

97.

Williams, F., Eschen, R., Harris, A., Djeddour, D., Pratt, C., Shaw, R.S., Varia, S., Lamontagne-Godwin, J., Thomas, S.E., Murphy, S.T.: The Economic Cost of Invasive Non-Native Species on Great Britain, <http://www.nonnativespecies.org/downloadDocument.cfm?id=487>, (2010).

98.

Sheppard, A.W., Shaw, R.H., Sforza, R.: Top 20 Environmental Weeds for Classical Biological Control in Europe: A Review of Opportunities, Regulations and Other Barriers to Adoption. *Weed Research*. 46, 93–117 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.2006.00497.x>.

99.

Culliney, T.W.: Benefits of Classical Biological Control for Managing Invasive Plants. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. 24, 131–150 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07352680590961649>.

100.

Mcfadyen, R.E.C.: Successes in Biological Control of Weeds. *Proceedings of the X International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds*. 3–14 (1999).

101.

Cock, M.J.W., Murphy, S.T., Kairo, M.T.K., Thompson, E., Murphy, R.J., Francis, A.W.: Trends in the Classical Biological Control of Insect Pests by Insects: An Update of the Biocat Database. *BioControl*. 61, 349–363 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-016-9726-3>.

102.

Murphy, S.T., LaSalle, J.: Balancing Biological Control Strategies in the IPM of New World Invasive *Liriomyza* Leafminers in Field Vegetable Crops. *Biocontrol News and Information*. 20, 91N-104N (1999).

103.

Messing, R., Brodeur, J.: Current Challenges to the Implementation of Classical Biological Control. *BioControl*. 63, 1–9 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9862-4>.

104.

Shaw, R.H., Ellison, C.A., Marchante, H., Pratt, C.F., Schaffner, U., Sforza, R.F.H., Deltoro, V.: Weed Biological Control in the European Union: From Serendipity to Strategy. *BioControl*. 63, 333–347 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-017-9844-6>.

105.

Schwarzländer, M., Hinz, H.L., Winston, R.L., Day, M.D.: Biological Control of Weeds: An Analysis of Introductions, Rates of Establishment and Estimates of Success, Worldwide. *BioControl*. 63, 319–331 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-018-9890-8>.