

BS3090: Entomology - Pure and Applied

View Online



-
1.
Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. The Insects: An Outline of Entomology. 5th Edition. Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

 2.
Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. The Insects: An Outline of Entomology. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014.
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology>

 3.
Chapman RF. The Insects: Structure and Function. 5th Edition. (Simpson SJ, Douglas AE, eds.). Cambridge University Press; 2013.

 4.
Klowden MJ, Klowden MJ. Physiological Systems in Insects. Elsevier/AP; 2013.

 5.
Klowden MJ. Physiological Systems in Insects. Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier; 2013.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1191551>

 - 6.

Engel MS. Insect Evolution. *Current Biology*. 2015;25(19):R868-R872.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.07.059

7.

Scudder GGE. The Importance of Insects. In: *Insect Biodiversity: Science and Society*. Wiley-Blackwell; 2009:7-32.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=428298>

8.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Reproduction. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Wiley-Blackwell; 2014:125-156.

9.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Reproduction. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014:125-155.
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470>

10.

Alonzo SH, Pizzari T. Selection on Female Remating Interval Is Influenced by Male Sperm Competition Strategies and Ejaculate Characteristics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2013;368(1613):20120044-20120044.
doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0044

11.

Bonduriansky R. The Evolution of Male Mate Choice in Insects: A Synthesis of Ideas and Evidence. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*. 2001;76(3):305-339.
doi:10.1017/S1464793101005693

12.

Burgevin L, Friberg U, Maklakova AA. Intersexual Correlation for Same-Sex Sexual Behaviour in an Insect. *Animal Behaviour*. 2013;85(4):759-762.
doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.01.017

13.

Johnstone RA, Keller L. How Males Can Gain by Harming Their Mates: Sexual Conflict, Seminal Toxins, and the Cost of Mating. *The American Naturalist*. 2000;156(4):368-377. doi:10.1086/303392

14.

Michalczyk Ł, Millard AL, Martin OY, et al. Inbreeding Promotes Female Promiscuity. *Science*. 2011;333(6050):1739-1742. doi:10.1126/science.1207314

15.

Edvardsson M. Why Do Male *Callosobruchus Maculatus* Harm Their Mates? *Behavioral Ecology*. 2005;16(4):788-793. doi:10.1093/beheco/ari055

16.

Lihoreau M, Zimmer C, Rivault C. Mutual Mate Choice: When it Pays Both Sexes to Avoid Inbreeding. *PLoS ONE*. 2008;3(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003365

17.

Perry JC, Sirot L, Wigby S. The Seminal Symphony: How to Compose an Ejaculate. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*. 2013;28(7):414-422. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2013.03.005

18.

Simmons LW, Tan YF, Millar AH. Sperm and Seminal Fluid Proteomes of the Field Cricket *Teleogryllus Oceanicus*: Identification of Novel Proteins Transferred to Females at Mating. *Insect Molecular Biology*. 2013;22(1):115-130. doi:10.1111/imb.12007

19.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. *Insect Development and Life Histories*. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

20.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Insect Development and Life Histories. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014.
[https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects%3A%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

21.

Bale JS. Classes of Insect Cold Hardiness. *Functional Ecology*. 1993;7(6):751-753.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2390198>

22.

Hoback WW, Stanley DW. Insects in Hypoxia. *Journal of Insect Physiology*. 2001;47(6):533-542. doi:10.1016/S0022-1910(00)00153-0

23.

MacMillan HA, Findsen A, Pedersen TH, Overgaard J. Cold-Induced Depolarization of Insect Muscle: Differing Roles of Extracellular K⁺ During Acute and Chronic Chilling. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2014;217(16):2930-2938. doi:10.1242/jeb.107516

24.

Ju RT, Xiao YY, Li B. Rapid Cold Hardening Increases Cold and Chilling Tolerances More Than Acclimation in the Adults of the Sycamore Lace Bug, *Corythucha Ciliata* (Say) (Hemiptera: Tingidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology*. 2011;57(11):1577-1582. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2011.08.012

25.

Lee, RE. Insect Cold-Hardiness: To Freeze or Not to Freeze. *BioScience*. 1989;39(5):308-313. doi:10.2307/1311113

26.

Neven LG. Physiological Responses of Insects to Heat. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*. 2000;21(1):103-111. doi:10.1016/S0925-5214(00)00169-1

27.

Teets NM, Yi SX, Lee RE, Denlinger DL. Calcium Signaling Mediates Cold Sensing in Insect Tissues. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2013;110(22):9154-9159. doi:10.1073/pnas.1306705110

28.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Insect Predation and Parasitism. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

29.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Insect Predation and Parasitism. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014.
[https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects%3A%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

30.

Skelhorn J, Rowland HM, Speed MP, Ruxton GD. Masquerade: Camouflage Without Crypsis. *Science*. 2007;327(5961):51-51. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40508288>

31.

Church SC, BennettInnes ATD, Cuthill C, Hunt S, Hart NS, Partridge JC. Does Lepidopteran Larval Crypsis Extend into the Ultraviolet? *Naturwissenschaften*. 1998;85(4):189-192. doi:10.1007/s001140050483

32.

Harvey D, Gange A. Size Variation and Mating Success in the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus*. *Physiological Entomology*. 2006;31(3):218-226. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3032.2006.00509.x

33.

Howse PE. Lepidopteran Wing Patterns and the Evolution of Satyric Mimicry. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 2013;109(1):203-214. doi:10.1111/bij.12027

34.

McCullough EL, Emlen DJ. Evaluating the Costs of a Sexually Selected Weapon: Big Horns at a Small Price. *Animal Behaviour*. 2013;86(5):977-985. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2013.08.017

35.

Jones RT. Wing Shape Variation Associated With Mimicry In Butterflies. *Evolution*. 2013;67(8):2323-2334. doi:10.1111/evo.12114

36.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

37.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014.
[https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects:%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

38.

Hallem EA, Dahanukar A, Carlson JR. Insect Odor and Taste Receptors. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 2006;51(1):113-135. doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.51.051705.113646

39.

Hansson BS. A Bug's Smell – Research Into Insect Olfaction. *Trends in Neurosciences*. 2002;25(5):270-274. doi:10.1016/S0166-2236(02)02140-9

40.

Hansson BS, Stensmyr MC. Evolution of Insect Olfaction. *Neuron*. 2011;72(5):698-711.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.11.003

41.

Siciliano P, Hea XL, Woodcocka C, et al. Identification of Pheromone Components and Their Binding Affinity to the Odorant Binding Protein CcapOBP83a-2 of the Mediterranean Fruit Fly, *Ceratitis Capitata*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2014;48:51-62.
doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.02.005

42.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Sensory Systems and Behaviour. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th Edition. Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

43.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Sensory Systems and Behaviour. In: *The Insects: An Outline of Entomology*. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014.
[https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects:%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

44.

Klowden MJ. Communication Systems. In: *Physiological Systems in Insects*. Elsevier/AP; 2013:604-648.

45.

Klowden MJ. Communication Systems. In: *Physiological Systems in Insects*. Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier; 2013:603-648.
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1191551>

46.

Cocroft RB, Rodriguez RL. The Behavioral Ecology of Insect Vibrational Communication. *BioScience*. 2005;55(4). doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0323:TBE0IV]2.0.CO;2

47.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Internal Anatomy and Physiology. In: The Insects: An Outline of Entomology. 5th Edition. Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.

48.

Gullan PJ, Cranston PS. Internal Anatomy and Physiology. In: The Insects: An Outline of Entomology. 5th ed. John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated; 2014.
[https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The Insects: An Outline of Entomology](https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=1775470&query=The%20Insects%3A%20An%20Outline%20of%20Entomology)

49.

Douglas AE. Phloem-Sap Feeding by Animals: Problems and Solutions. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2006;57(4):747-754. doi:10.1093/jxb/erj067

50.

Kukor JJ. The Role of Ingested Fungal Enzymes in Cellulose Digestion in the Larvae of Cerambycid Beetles Original text. Physiological Zoology. 1988;61(4):364-371.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/30161254>

51.

MacMahon JA. Harvester Ants (*Pogonomyrmex* spp.): Their Community and Ecosystem Influences Original text. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics. 2000;31:265-291. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/221733>

52.

Sabree ZL. Nitrogen Recycling and Nutritional Provisioning by *Blattabacterium*, the Cockroach Endosymbiont Original text. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009;106(46):19521-19526.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/25593225>

53.

Six DL. The Bark Beetle Holobiont: Why Microbes Matter. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*. 2013;39(7):989-1002. doi:10.1007/s10886-013-0318-8

54.

Jonsell M. Substrate Requirements of Red-Listed Saproxyllic Invertebrates in Sweden. *Biodiversity & Conservation*. 1998;7(6):749-764. doi:10.1023/A:1008888319031

55.

Engel P, Moran NA. The Gut Microbiota of Insects – Diversity in Structure and Function. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 2013;37(5):699-735. doi:10.1111/1574-6976.12025

56.

Harvey D, Gange A. The Stag Beetle: a Collaborative Conservation Study Across Europe. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 2011;4(1):2-3. doi:10.1111/j.1752-4598.2010.00125.x

57.

Harvey D, Gange AC, Hawes CJ, Rink M. Bionomics and Distribution of the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus* (L.) Across Europe. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 2011;4(1):23-38. doi:10.1111/j.1752-4598.2010.00107.x

58.

Harvey D, Hawes CJ, Gange AC, Finch P, Chesmore D, Farr I. Development of Non-Invasive Monitoring Methods for Larvae and Adults of the Stag Beetle, *Lucanus Cervus*. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*. 2011;4(1):4-14. doi:10.1111/j.1752-4598.2009.00072.x

59.

Larsson MC, Svensson GP. Pheromone Monitoring of Rare and Threatened Insects: Exploiting a Pheromone-Kairomone System to Estimate Prey and Predator Abundance
Original text. *Conservation Biology*. 2009;23(6):1516-1525.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40419190>

60.

Musa N, Andersson K, Burman J, et al. Using Sex Pheromone and a Multi-Scale Approach to Predict the Distribution of a Rare Saproxyllic Beetle. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(6). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066149

61.

Svensson GP, Larsson MC. Enantiomeric Specificity in a Pheromone–Kairomone System of Two Threatened Saproxyllic Beetles, *Osmoderma Eremita* and *Elater Ferrugineus*. Journal of Chemical Ecology. 2008;34(2):189-197. doi:10.1007/s10886-007-9423-x

62.

Tolasch T, von Fragstein M, Steidle JLM. Sex Pheromone of *Elater ferrugineus* L. (Coleoptera: Elateridae). Journal of Chemical Ecology. 2007;33(11):2156-2166. doi:10.1007/s10886-007-9365-3

63.

Andersson K, Bergman KO, Andersson F, et al. High-Accuracy Sampling of Saproxyllic Diversity Indicators at Regional Scales With Pheromones: The Case of *Elater Ferrugineus* (Coleoptera, Elateridae). Biological Conservation. 2014;171:156-166. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.01.007

64.

Ugelvig LV, Cremer S. Effects of Social Immunity and Unicoloniality on Host-Parasite Interactions in Invasive Insect Societies. Functional Ecology. 2012;26(6):1300-1312. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12013

65.

Moritz RFA, Härtel S, Neumann P. Global Invasions of the Western Honeybee (*Apis Mellifera*) and the Consequences for Biodiversity. Écoscience. 2005;12(3):289-301. doi:10.2980/i1195-6860-12-3-289.1

66.

Manfredi F, Grozinger CM, Beani L. Examining the "Evolution of Increased Competitive Ability" Hypothesis in Response to Parasites and Pathogens in the Invasive Paper Wasp *Polistes Dominula*. *Naturwissenschaften*. 2013;100(3):219-228. doi:10.1007/s00114-013-1014-9

67.

Ascunce MS, Yang CC, Oakey J, et al. Global Invasion History of the Fire Ant *Solenopsis Invicta*. *Science*. 2011;331(6020):1066-1068. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41075761>

68.

Saikkonen K, Saari S, Helander M. Defensive Mutualism Between Plants and Endophytic Fungi? *Fungal Diversity*. 2010;41(1):101-113. doi:10.1007/s13225-010-0023-7

69.

Wearn JA, Sutton BC, Morley NJ, Gange AC. Species and Organ Specificity of Fungal Endophytes in Herbaceous Grassland Plants. *Journal of Ecology*. 2012;100(5):1085-1092. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23257530>

70.

Yan JF, Broughton SJ, Yang SL, Gange AC. Do Endophytic Fungi Grow Through Their Hosts Systemically? *Fungal Ecology*. 2015;13:53-59. doi:10.1016/j.funeco.2014.07.005

71.

Faeth SH. Are Endophytic Fungi Defensive Plant Mutualists? *Oikos*. 2002;98(1):25-36. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3547609>

72.

Gange AC, Eschen R, Wearn JA, Thawer A, Sutton BC. Differential Effects of Foliar Endophytic Fungi on Insect Herbivores Attacking a Herbaceous Plant. *Oecologia*. 2012;168(4). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41487340>

73.

Barto EK, Rillig MC. Does Herbivory Really Suppress Mycorrhiza? a Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Ecology*. 2010;98(4):745-753. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/40732002>

74.

Gange AC, West HM. Interactions between Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Foliar-Feeding Insects in *Plantago lanceolata* L. *The New Phytologist*. 1994;128(1):79-87. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2557834>

75.

Gange AC, Smith AK. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Influence Visitation Rates of Pollinating Insects. *Ecological Entomology*. 2005;30(5):600-606. [doi:10.1111/j.0307-6946.2005.00732.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0307-6946.2005.00732.x)

76.

Koricheva J, Gange AC, Jones T. Effects of Mycorrhizal Fungi on Insect Herbivores: A Meta-Analysis. *Ecology*. 2009;90(8):2088-2097. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25592725>

77.

Gange AC, Brown VK, Aplin DM. Multitrophic Links Between Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Insect Parasitoids. *Ecology Letters*. 2003;6(12):1051-1055. [doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00540.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2003.00540.x)

78.

Simon AL, Wellham PAD, Aradottir GI, Gange AC. Unravelling Mycorrhiza-Induced Wheat Susceptibility to the English Grain Aphid *Sitobion avenae*. *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7(1). [doi:10.1038/srep46497](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46497)

79.

Ehrlich PR, Raven PH. Butterflies and Plants: A Study in Coevolution. *Evolution*. 1964;18(4):586-608. [doi:10.2307/2406212](https://doi.org/10.2307/2406212)

80.

Farrell BD. 'Inordinate Fondness' Explained: Why are There so Many Beetles?
Original text. *Science*. 1998;281(5376):555-559. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2895081>

81.

Becerra JX. Insects on Plants: Macroevolutionary Chemical Trends in Host Use
Original text. *Science*. 1997;276(5310):253-256. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2892759>

82.

Becerra JX. Synchronous Coadaptation in an Ancient Case of Herbivory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2003;100(22):12804-12807. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3148041>

83.

Becerra JX. Macroevolutionary Chemical Escalation in an Ancient Plant-Herbivore Arms Race
Original text. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2009;106(43):18062-18066. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25592961>

84.

Agrawal AA. Insect Herbivores Drive Real-Time Ecological and Evolutionary Change in Plant Populations. *Science*. 2012;338(6103):113-116. doi:10.1126/science.1225977

85.

Zust T, Heichinger C, Grossniklaus U, Harrington R, Kliebenstein DJ, Turnbull LA. Natural Enemies Drive Geographic Variation in Plant Defenses. *Science*. 2012;338(6103):116-119. doi:10.1126/science.1226397

86.

Wise MJ, Rausher MD. Evolution of Resistance to a Multiple-Herbivore Community: Genetic

Correlations, Diffuse Coevolution, and Constraints on the Plant's Response to Selection. *Evolution*. 2013;67(6):1767-1779. doi:10.1111/evo.12061

87.

Castagneyrol B, Giffard B, Péré C, Jactel H. Plant Apparency, an Overlooked Driver of Associational Resistance to Insect Herbivory. *Journal of Ecology*. 2013;101(2):418-429. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12055

88.

Barbosa P, Hines J, Kaplan I, Martinson H, Szczepaniec A, Szendrei Z. Associational Resistance and Associational Susceptibility: Having Right or Wrong Neighbors Original text. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*. 2009;40:1-20. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20744029>

89.

Castagneyrol B, Jactel H, Vacher C, Brockerhoff EG, Koricheva J. Effects of Plant Phylogenetic Diversity on Herbivory Depend on Herbivore Specialization. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2014;51(1):134-141. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12175

90.

Tooker JF, Frank SD. Genotypically Diverse Cultivar Mixtures for Insect Pest Management and Increased Crop Yields. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2012;49(5):974-985. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02173.x

91.

Letourneau DK, Armbrrecht I, Riviera BS, et al. Does Plant Diversity Benefit Agroecosystems? A Synthetic Review. *Ecological Applications*. 2011;21(1):9-21. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/29779633>

92.

White JA, Whitham TG. Associational Susceptibility of Cottonwood to a Box Elder Herbivore. *Ecology*. 2000;81(7):1795-1803. doi:10.2307/177271

93.

Kaitaniemi P, Riihimäki J, Koricheva J, Vehviläinen H. Experimental Evidence for Associational Resistance Against the European Pine Sawfly in Mixed Tree Stands. *Silva Fennica*. 2007;41(2):259-268. <https://silvafennica.fi/pdf/article295.pdf>

94.

Bass C, Puinean AM, Zimmer CT, et al. The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus Persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2014;51:41-51. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003

95.

Bass C, Puinean AM, Zimmer CT, et al. The Evolution of Insecticide Resistance in the Peach Potato Aphid, *Myzus Persicae*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2014;51:41-51. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.05.003

96.

Shaw RH, Tanner R, Djeddour D, Cortat G. Classical Biological Control of *Fallopia Japonica* in the United Kingdom - Lessons for Europe. *Weed Research*. 2011;51(6):552-558. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3180.2011.00880.x

97.

Williams F, Eschen R, Harris A, et al. The Economic Cost of Invasive Non-Native Species on Great Britain. 2010;CAB/001/09. <http://www.nonnativespecies.org/downloadDocument.cfm?id=487>

98.

Sheppard AW, Shaw RH, Sforza R. Top 20 Environmental Weeds for Classical Biological Control in Europe: A Review of Opportunities, Regulations and Other Barriers to Adoption. *Weed Research*. 2006;46(2):93-117. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3180.2006.00497.x

99.

Culliney TW. Benefits of Classical Biological Control for Managing Invasive Plants. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*. 2005;24(2):131-150. doi:10.1080/07352680590961649

100.

Mcfadyen REC. Successes in Biological Control of Weeds. Spencer NR, ed. *Proceedings of the X International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds*. Published online 1999:3-14. <https://www.invasive.org/publications/xsymposium/proceed/01apg03.pdf>

101.

Cock MJW, Murphy ST, Kairo MTK, Thompson E, Murphy RJ, Francis AW. Trends in the Classical Biological Control of Insect Pests by Insects: An Update of the Biocat Database. *BioControl*. 2016;61(4):349-363. doi:10.1007/s10526-016-9726-3

102.

Murphy ST, LaSalle J. Balancing Biological Control Strategies in the IPM of New World Invasive *Liriomyza* Leafminers in Field Vegetable Crops. *Biocontrol News and Information*. 1999;20(3):91N-104N. <http://cabweb.org/PDF/BNI/Control/bnira50.pdf>

103.

Messing R, Brodeur J. Current Challenges to the Implementation of Classical Biological Control. *BioControl*. 2018;63(1):1-9. doi:10.1007/s10526-017-9862-4

104.

Shaw RH, Ellison CA, Marchante H, et al. Weed Biological Control in the European Union: From Serendipity to Strategy. *BioControl*. 2018;63(3):333-347. doi:10.1007/s10526-017-9844-6

105.

Schwarzländer M, Hinz HL, Winston RL, Day MD. Biological Control of Weeds: An Analysis of Introductions, Rates of Establishment and Estimates of Success, Worldwide. *BioControl*. 2018;63(3):319-331. doi:10.1007/s10526-018-9890-8