

LL1001: Public Law

[View Online](#)

Ahmed, Farrah, and Adam Perry. 'Constitutional Statutes'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, 2016, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqw030>.

———. 'The Coherence of the Doctrine of Legitimate Expectations'. The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 73, no. 1, 2014, pp. 61–85, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197314000026>.

Alder, John, and Keith Syrett. Constitutional and Administrative Law. 11th Edition, Palgrave Law Masters, Palgrave Macmillan, 2017.

———. 'The Rule of Law'. Constitutional and Administrative Law, 11th Edition, Palgrave Law Masters, Palgrave Macmillan, 2017, pp. 121–37.

———. 'Underlying Political Traditions'. Constitutional and Administrative Law, 11th Edition, Palgrave Law Masters, Palgrave Macmillan, 2017, pp. 26–54.

Ali v United Kingdom: Article 6(1) ECHR and Administrative Decision-Making | Public Law for Everyone.
<https://publiclawforeveryone.com/2016/03/13/ali-v-united-kingdom-article-61-echr-and-administrative-decision-making/>.

Allan, T. R. S. 'Constitutional Dialogue and the Justification of Judicial Review'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, vol. 23, no. 4, 2003, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3600687>.

———. Constitutional Justice: A Liberal Theory of the Rule of Law. Oxford University Press, 2005.

———. Constitutional Justice: A Liberal Theory of the Rule of Law. Oxford University Press, 2005, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199267880.001.0001>.

———. 'Human Rights and Judicial Review: A Critique of "Due Deference"'. The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 65, no. 3, 2006, pp. 671–95, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197306007264>.

———. 'Judicial Deference and Judicial Review: Legal Doctrine and Legal Theory'. Law Quarterly Review, vol. 127, no. 1, 2011, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I38697650034411E088BDC597D432CBAC/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Allan, T.R.S. 'The Constitutional Foundations of Judicial Review: Conceptual Conundrum or Interpretative Inquiry?' The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 61, no. 1, 2002, pp. 87–125, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000819730200154X>.

- An Application by Siobhan McLaughlin for Judicial Review [2016] NICA 53.
<https://judiciaryni.uk/sites/judiciary/files/decisions/McLaughlin%27s%20%28Siobhan%29%20Application.pdf>.
- Arvind, T. T. 'The Curious Origins of Judicial Review'. *Law Quarterly Review*, 2017, pp. 91–117.
- Atrill, Simon. 'WHO IS THE "FAIR-MINDED AND INFORMED OBSERVER"? BIAS AFTER'. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 62, no. 2, 2003, pp. 279–89,
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197303006317>.
- Attorney General v Jonathan Cape Ltd [1976] QB 752.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI694B9730E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.
- Bamforth, Nicholas. 'Fairness and Legitimate Expectation in Judicial Review'. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 56, no. 1, 1997, pp. 1–4,
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300017530>.
- Barber, N. W. 'Laws and Constitutional Conventions'. *Law Quarterly Review*, 2009, pp. 294–309,
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I69EDD0210ACD11DE953BC0C525628E94/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.
- . 'Laws and Constitutional Conventions'. *Law Quarterly Review*, vol. 125, 2009, pp. 294–309,
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI69EDD0210ACD11DE953BC0C525628E94%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.
- . 'Prelude to the Separation of Powers'. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 60, no. 1, 2001, pp. 59–88, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197301000629>.
- . 'Review: The Academic Mythologists'. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 21, no. 2, 2001, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20468373>.
- Bingham, T. H. *The Rule of Law*. Penguin, 2011.
- Blake, Nicholas. 'Importing Proportionality: Clarification or Confusion'. *European Human Rights Law Review*, 2002, pp. 19–27,
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I84B178A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.
- Blog | UK Constitutional Law Association | Affiliated to the International Association of Constitutional Law. <https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/blog/>.
- British and Irish Legal Information Institute. <http://www.bailii.org/>.
- British Railways Board v Pickin [1974] AC 765.

<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1974/1.html>.

Campbell, N. R. 'The Duty to Give Reasons in Administrative Law'. Public Law, 1994, pp. 184–91,
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_57421405.

Chan, Cora. 'A Preliminary Framework for Measuring Deference in Rights Reasoning'. International Journal of Constitutional Law, vol. 14, no. 4, 2016, pp. 851–82,
<https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mow058>.

Clayton, Richard. 'The Empire Strikes Back: Common Law Rights and the Human Rights Act'. Public Law, 2015, pp. 3–12,
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Ffoala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2Fdocument%2FIAD111C107B4F11E499B9D6E1F6E110C8%2Fview%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

Constitution Committee | UK Parliament.
<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/constitution-committee/>.

Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service [1985] AC 374.
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1984/9.html>.

Craig, P. 'Political Constitutionalism and the Judicial Role: A Response'. International Journal of Constitutional Law, vol. 9, no. 1, 2011, pp. 112–31,
<https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mor025>.

———. 'The Nature of Reasonableness Review'. Current Legal Problems, vol. 66, no. 1, 2013, pp. 131–67, <https://doi.org/10.1093/clp/cut010>.

Craig, Paul. 'Competing Models of Judicial Review'. Public Law, 1999, pp. 428–47,
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID132DF00E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

———. 'Formal and Substantive Conceptions of the Rule of Law: An Analytical Framework'. Public Law, 1997, pp. 467–87.

———. 'The Courts, the Human Rights Act and Judicial Review'. Law Quarterly Review, 2001, pp. 589–603,
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I848E3930E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

———. 'The Human Rights Act, Article 6 and Procedural Rights'. Public Law, 2003, pp. 753–73,
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I84890911E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

———. 'Ultra Vires and the Foundations of Judicial Review'. The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 57, no. 1, 1998, pp. 63–90, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300134397>.

Craig, Paul, and Soren Schonberg. 'Substantive Legitimate Expectations After Coughlan'. Public Law, 2000, pp. 684–701, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I84A4F580E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Craig, Robert. 'A Simple Application of the Frustration Principle: Prerogative, Statute and Miller'. Public Law, 2017, <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI8106D680C87411E79CFE663275FD29%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

Daly, Paul. 'Justiciability and the "Political Question" Doctrine'. Public Law, 2010, pp. 160–78, <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI14A4A19044F511E88D34E20E69C76312%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

Delegated Legislation | UK Parliament.
<https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/delegated/>.

Elliott, Mark, and Robert Thomas. 'Themes, Sources, and Principles'. Public Law, 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, 2017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198765899.001.0001>.

Elliott, Mark. 'A Tangled Constitutional Web: The Black-Spider Memos and the British Constitution's Relational Architecture'. Public Law, 2015, pp. 539–50, <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI3A34F4805C5611E58916B963212E7CCD%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

———. Judicial Power and the United Kingdom's Changing Constitution | Public Law for Everyone. 2018, <https://publiclawforeveryone.com/2017/10/31/judicial-power-and-the-united-kingdoms-changing-constitution/>.

———. 'Legitimate Expectations: Procedure, Substance, Policy and Proportionality'. The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 65, no. 2, 2006, pp. 254–56, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197306227119>.

———. Mark Elliott: Justification, Calibration and Substantive Judicial Review: Putting Doctrine in Its Place | UK Constitutional Law Association.
<https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/09/17/mark-elliott-justification-calibration-and-substantive-judicial-review-putting-doctrine-in-its-place/>.

———. 'The Appearance of Bias, the Fair-Minded and Informed Observer, and the "Ordinary Person in Queen Square Market"'. The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 71, no. 2, 2012, pp. 247–50, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197312000372>.

———. 'The Demise of Parliamentary Sovereignty? The Implications for Justifying Judicial Review'. Law Quarterly Review, 1999, pp. 119–37,

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCCA7BD0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

———. 'The Principle of Parliamentary Sovereignty in Legal, Constitutional, and Political Perspective'. *The Changing Constitution*, edited by Jeffrey L. Jowell et al., 8th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 38–66.

Elliott, Mark, and Robert Thomas. *Public Law*. 3rd Edition, Oxford University Press, 2017.

———. *Public Law*. 3rd edn, Oxford University Press, 2017, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198765899.001.0001>.

———. 'Themes, Sources, and Principles'. *Public Law*, 3rd Edition, Oxford University Press, 2017, pp. 36–86.

European Court of Human Rights. <http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home>.

Exercise of Discretion in Administrative Decision-Making. <http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/guidelines/Exercise-of-discretion-in-admin-decision-making.pdf>.

Feldman, David. 'None, One or Several? Perspectives on the UK's Constitution(s)'. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 64, no. 2, 2005, pp. 329–51, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197305006884>.

Fenwick, Helen. 'The Anti-Terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001: A Proportionate Response to 11 September?' *The Modern Law Review*, vol. 65, no. 5, 2002, pp. 724–62, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00405>.

Ferreira, Nuno. 'The Supreme Court in a Final Push to Go Beyond Strasbourg'. *Public Law*, 2015, <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI5409B340105311E5995D9B4942D36284%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

Forsyth, Christopher. 'Of Fig Leaves and Fairy Tales: The Ultra Vires Doctrine, the Sovereignty of Parliament and Judicial Review'. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 55, no. 1, 1996, pp. 122–40, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300097762>.

Forsyth, Christopher, and Mark Elliott. 'The Legitimacy of Judicial Review'. *Public Law*, 2003, pp. 286–307, https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_38487000.

Gavin Phillipson: Historic Commons Syria Vote: The Constitutional Significance (Part I) | UK Constitutional Law Association. <https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/09/19/gavin-phillipson-historic-commons-syria-vote-the-constitutional-significance-part-i/>.

Gavin Phillipson: Historic Commons Syria Vote: The Constitutional Significance. Part II the Way Forward | UK Constitutional Law Association.

<https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/11/29/gavin-phillipson-historic-commons-syria-vote-the-constitutional-significance-part-ii-the-way-forward/>.

Gee, G., and G. C. N. Webber. 'What Is a Political Constitution?' *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 30, no. 2, 2010, pp. 273–99, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq013>.

Ghaidan v. Godin-Mendoza [2004] UKHL 30.
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/2004/30.html>.

Goldsworthy, J. 'Homogenizing Constitutions'. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 23, no. 3, 2003, pp. 483–505, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/23.3.483>.

Gordon, Michael. *Parliamentary Sovereignty in the UK Constitution: Process, Politics and Democracy*. Hart Publishing, 2015, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781474201667>. Electronic resource.

Gregson, Rory. 'When Should There Be an Implied Power to Delegate?' *Public Law*, 2017, pp. 408–25, https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_1935799898.

Hale, B. 'Argentorum Locutum: Is Strasbourg or the Supreme Court Supreme?' *Human Rights Law Review*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2012, pp. 65–78, <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngs001>.

Hale, Lady. 'The UK Supreme Court in the UK Constitution' (Inaugural Lecture at the Institute for Legal and Constitutional Research, University of St Andrews, 8th of October 2015. 2015, pp. 1–17, <https://www.supremecourt.uk/docs/speech-151008.pdf>.

Hickman, Tom. 'The Substance and Structure of Proportionality'. *Public Law*, 2008, pp. 694–716, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I010EBB7099A111DD9611E019A6BFBE26/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Hilson, Chris. 'Judicial Review, Policies and the Fettering of Discretion'. *Public Law*, 2002, pp. 111–29, https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_39102170.

Human Rights Act 1998.
<http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI5FB840F0E42311DAA7CF8F68F6EE57AB%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

In the Matter of an Application by Siobhan McLaughlin for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) [2018] UKSC 48.
<https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2017-0035-judgment.pdf>.

International Journal of Constitutional Law.
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/16u3s8/alma997000681902671.

Jackson & Ors v. Her Majesty's Attorney General [2005] UKHL 56.
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/2005/56.html>.

Jackson & Ors v. Her Majesty's Attorney General [2005] UKHL 56.
<http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/2005/56.html>.

Jaconelli, Joseph. 'Do Constitutional Conventions Bind?' *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 64, no. 1, 2005, pp. 149–76, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197305006823>.

———. 'Do Constitutional Conventions Bind?' *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 64, no. 1, 2005, pp. 149–76, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197305006823>.

Jones, Brian Christopher. 'Preliminary Warnings on "Constitutional" Idolatry'. *Public Law*, 2016, pp. 74–92,
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE932C1D09A7F11E5ACC99E2443923C19/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Jowell, Jeffrey. 'Of Vires and Vacuums: The Constitutional Context of Judicial Review'. *Public Law*, 1999, pp. 448–60,
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_38772444.

———. 'Parliamentary Sovereignty Under the New Constitutional Hypothesis'. *Public Law*, 2006, pp. 562–79.

Jowell, Jeffrey, and Anthony Lester. 'Beyond Wednesbury: Substantive Principles of Administrative Law'. *Commonwealth Law Bulletin*, vol. 14, no. 2, 1988, pp. 858–70,
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03050718.1988.9985971>.

Kavanaugh, Aileen. 'Defending Deference in Public Law and Constitutional Theory'. *Law Quarterly Review*, vol. 222, 2010,
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_1036008592.

Knight, C. J. S. 'A Framework for Fettering'. *Judicial Review*, vol. 14, no. 1, 2009, pp. 73–80,
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10854681.2009.11426590>.

Le Sueur, A. P. 'Legal Duties to Give Reasons'. *Current Legal Problems*, vol. 52, no. 1, 1999, pp. 150–72, <https://doi.org/10.1093/clp/52.1.150>.

———. *Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. 3rd Edition, Oxford University Press, 2016.

———. *Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2019,
<http://doi.org.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/10.1093/he/9780198820284.001.0001>.

Legal Studies: The Journal of the Society of Legal Scholars.
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/16u3s8/alma997017693302671.

Lever, Annabelle. 'Is Judicial Review Undemocratic?' *Public Law*, 2007, pp. 280–98,
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_hal_primary_oai_HAL_hal_02506476v1.

Liversidge v Anderson [1941] UKHL 1. <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKHL/1941/1.html>.

Lord Lester of Herne Hill. 'Developing Constitutional Principles of Public Law'. Public Law, 2001, pp. 684–94, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I849E17B0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Loveland, Ian. Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction. 9th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2021.

———. Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction. 9th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198860129.001.0001>.

———. 'Does Homelessness Decision Making Engage Article 6(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights?' European Human Rights Law Review, 2003, pp. 176–204, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I7E856C70E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

———. 'Human Rights II: Emergent Principles'. Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction, 7th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 588–615.

———. 'Human Rights II: Emergent Principles'. Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction, 8th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198804680.001.0001>.

Malleson, Kate. 'Judicial Bias and Disqualification After Pinochet (No. 2)'. Modern Law Review, vol. 63, no. 1, 2000, pp. 119–27, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00254>.

Mark Elliot: Reflections on the HS2 Case: A Hierarchy of Domestic Constitutional Norms and the Qualified Primacy of EU Law | UK Constitutional Law Association. <https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2014/01/23/mark-elliott-reflections-on-the-hs2-case-a-hierarchy-of-domestic-constitutional-norms-and-the-qualified-primacy-of-eu-law/>.

Masterman, Roger, and Colin Murray. 'Law, Politics, and the United Kingdom Constitution'. Exploring Constitutional and Administrative Law, 2003, pp. 88–108, http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13409289760002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670. Electronic book.

———. 'Law, Politics, and the United Kingdom Constitution'. Exploring Constitutional and Administrative Law, Pearson, 2013, pp. 88–108.

McLoughlin Supreme Court Press Summary. <https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2017-0035-press-summary.pdf>.

Miller & Anor, R (on the application of) v Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union (Rev 3) [2017] UKSC 5. <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2017/5.html>.

Murkens, Jo Eric Khushal. 'Democracy as the Legitimizing Condition in the UK Constitution'. Legal Studies, vol. 38, no. 01, 2018, pp. 42–58,

<https://doi.org/10.1017/lst.2017.10>.

Nicol, Danny. 'Law and Politics After the Human Rights Act'. Public Law, 2006, pp. 722–51, <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI13579C41540511DB85F29853495F842F%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

Norris, Martin. 'Ex Parte Smith: Irrationality and Human Rights'. Public Law, 1996, pp. 590–600, https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_57696805.

Oliver, Dawn. 'Is the Ultra Vires Rule the Basis of Judicial Review?' Public Law, 1987, pp. 543–69, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID3D7E750E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Olowofoyeku, Abimbola A. 'Bias and the Informed Observer: A Call for a Return to Gough'. The Cambridge Law Journal, vol. 68, no. 2, 2009, pp. 388–409, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197309000373>.

———. 'The Nemo Judex Rule: The Case Against Automatic Disqualification'. Public Law, 2000, pp. 456–75, https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_38919790.

Oxford Journal of Legal Studies.
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/70508m/alma997001809702671.

Perry, Adam, and Adam Tucker. 'Top-Down Constitutional Conventions'. The Modern Law Review, vol. 81, no. 5, 2018, pp. 765–89, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.12364>.

Phillipson, Gavin. 'Historic' Commons' Syria Vote: The Constitutional Significance. Part I | UK Constitutional Law Association.
<https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/09/19/gavin-phillipson-historic-commons-syria-vote-the-constitutional-significance-part-i/>.

———. "Historic" Commons' Syria Vote: The Constitutional Significance. Part II | the Way Forward – UK Constitutional Law Association.
<https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/11/29/gavin-phillipson-historic-commons-syria-vote-the-constitutional-significance-part-ii-the-way-forward/>.

Political and Constitutional Reform Committee | UK Parliament.
<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/political-and-constitutional-reform-committee/>.

Poole, Thomas. 'Of Headscarves and Heresies: The Denbigh High School Case and Public Authority Decision-Making Under the Human Rights Act'. Public Law, 2005, pp. 685–95, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I848A1A80E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

———. 'The Reformation of English Administrative Law'. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 68, no. 1, 2009, pp. 142–68, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197309000063>.

Principles of Good Administration | Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman (PHSO). <https://www.ombudsman.org.uk/about-us/our-principles/principles-good-administration>.

Public Administration and Constitutional Affairs Committee | UK Parliament. <http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/public-administration-and-constitutional-affairs-committee/>.

Public Law. [London, Stevens & Sons], <https://uk.westlaw.com/WestlawUK/Journals/Publications/Public-Law>.

R (Chester) v Secretary of State for Justice [2013] UKSC 63. <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2013/63.html>.

R (Evans) v Attorney General [2015] UKSC 21. <http://www.bailii.org/uk/cases/UKSC/2015/21.html>.

R (Miller) v Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union [2017] UKSC 5, [40]–[49]. <https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/uksc-2016-0196.html>.

R (on the application of Nicklinson and another) (Appellants) v Ministry of Justice (Respondent) [2014] UKSC 38. <https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/uksc-2013-0235.html>.

Rainey, Bernadette, et al. 'Context, Background, and Institutions'. Jacobs, White and Ovey: *The European Convention on Human Rights*, 6th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2014, pp. 3–20.

Ringhand, Lori. 'Fig Leaves, Fairy Tales, and Constitutional Foundations: Debating Judicial Review in Britain'. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 43, no. 3, 2005, <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/cjtl43&id=1>.

Rivers, Julian. 'Proportionality and Variable Intensity of Review'. *Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 65, 2006, pp. 174–207, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IFB69CD50E72A11DC88EB9BE684C867E2/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Sales, Philip. 'Rationality, Proportionality and the Development of the Law'. *Law Quarterly Review*, 2013, pp. 223–41, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I69812151881411E2BACCBED531DFD77E/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Stanton, John, et al. *Public Law*. Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001>.

Stanton, John, and Craig Prescott. 'Judicial Review: Access to Review and Remedies'. *Public Law*, Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. 'Judicial Review: Access to Review and Remedies'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001>.

———. 'Judicial Review: Illegality'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. 'Judicial Review: Illegality'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001>.

———. 'Judicial Review: Irrationality and Proportionality'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. 'Judicial Review: Irrationality and Proportionality'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001>.

———. 'Judicial Review: Procedural Impropriety'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. 'Judicial Review: Procedural Impropriety'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. 'Judicial Review: Procedural Impropriety'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001>.

———. 'Judicial Review: Procedural Impropriety'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001>.

———. Public Law. Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. 'The Royal Prerogative and Constitutional Conventions'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. 'The Royal Prerogative and Constitutional Conventions'. Public Law, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001>.

Stark, Shona Wilson. 'Facing Facts: Judicial Approaches to Section 4 of the Human Rights Act 1998'. Law Quarterly Review, 2017, <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Ffoala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI553CB880985E11E7BEB8FD7157D7F73E%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

Supperstone, Michael, and Jason Coppel. 'Judicial Review After the Human Rights Act'. European Human Rights Law Review, 1999, pp. 301–29, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I84ACE4C0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Taming the Prerogative: Strengthening Ministerial Accountability to Parliament. Vol. 422, House of Commons. Public Administration Select Committee, 2003, pp. 3–17, <https://parlipapers.proquest.com/parlipapers/result/pqpdocumentview?accountid=11455&groupid=103502&pgld=c5aea05f-b8ed-42b0-b2e6-8c68938d85ec>.

Taylor, Robert Brett. 'Foundational and Regulatory Conventions: Exploring the

Constitutional Significance of Britain's Dependency Upon Conventions.' Public Law, 2015, pp. 614–32, <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FI3A36A2305C5611E58916B963212E7CCD%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.

———. 'Foundational and Regulatory Conventions: Exploring the Constitutional Significance of Britain's Dependency Upon Conventions'. Public Law, 2015, pp. 614–32, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I3A36A2305C5611E58916B963212E7CCD/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

The Cambridge Law Journal.
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-law-journal>.

The Constitution Unit Blog. <https://constitution-unit.com/>.

The Law Quarterly Review.

The Modern Law Review.
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/16u3s8/alma997003019902671.

Tomkins, A. 'In Defence of the Political Constitution'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, vol. 22, no. 1, 2002, pp. 157–75, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/22.1.157>.

Tomlinson and Others v Birmingham City Council [2010] UKSC 8.
<https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2009-0050-judgment.pdf>.

Tomlinson and Others v Birmingham City Council [2010] UKSC 8.
<https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2009-0050-judgment.pdf>.

Tomlinson, Joe. 'The Narrow Approach to Substantive Legitimate Expectations and the Trend of Modern Authority'. Oxford University Commonwealth Law Journal, vol. 17, no. 1, 2017, pp. 75–84, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14729342.2017.1311514>.

Turner, Ian. 'Irrationality, the Human Rights Act and the Limits of Merits-Review'. Nottingham Law Journal, vol. 18, 2009, pp. 18–36.

UK Constitutional Law Association Blog | International Association of Constitutional Law.
<https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/blog/>.

UK Human Rights Blog. <https://ukhumanrightsblog.com/>.

UK Parliament. <http://www.parliament.uk/>.

Unmarried Mother Siobhan McLaughlin Wins Supreme Court Benefit Case | BBC. 2018, <https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-45355028>.

Unmarried Mother Wins Supreme Court Fight for Widowed Parents' Allowance | Good Morning Britain | YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cs4viID3KWI>.

Varuhas, Jason N. E. 'The Reformation of English Administrative Law? "Rights", Rhetoric and Reality'. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 72, no. 2, 2013, pp. 369-413, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197313000500>.

———. 'The Reformation of English Administrative Law? "Rights", Rhetoric and Reality'. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, vol. 72, no. 2, 2013, pp. 369-413, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197313000500>.

Waldron, Jeremy. 'The Core of the Case Against Judicial Review'. *The Yale Law Journal*, vol. 115, no. 6, 2006, <https://doi.org/10.2307/20455656>.

Walker, Paul. 'What's Wrong With Irrationality?' *Public Law*, 1995, pp. 556-76, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID3967180E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Watson, Jack. 'Clarity and Ambiguity: A New Approach to the Test of Legitimacy in the Law of Legitimate Expectations'. *Legal Studies*, vol. 30, no. 4, 2010, pp. 633-52, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.2010.00177.x>.

Webley, Lisa, and Harriet Samuels. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. 5th Edition, Oxford University Press, 2021, <https://doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198853183.001.0001>.

———. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*. Fifth edition, Oxford University Press, 2021.

———. "'Constitutional Organisations, Institutions and Roles" and "The Nature of the British Constitution"'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 3rd Edition, Complete, Oxford University Press, 2018, pp. 13-15.

———. "'Constitutional Organisations, Institutions and Roles" and "The Nature of the British Constitution"'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.

———. 'Human Rights'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 3rd Edition, Complete, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 255-90.

———. 'Human Rights'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 3rd Edition, Complete, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 255-90.

———. 'Human Rights'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.

———. 'Human Rights'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.

———. 'Illegality'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.

———. 'Illegality'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, Fourth edition, Oxford University Press, 2018.

- . 'Irrationality and Proportionality'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.
- . 'Irrationality and Proportionality'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, Fourth edition, Oxford University Press, 2018.
- . 'Parliamentary Supremacy: The Theory'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 3rd Edition, Complete, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 185–226.
- . 'Parliamentary Supremacy: The Theory'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.
- . 'Procedural Impropriety'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.
- . 'Procedural Impropriety'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.
- . 'Procedural Impropriety'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, Fourth edition, Oxford University Press, 2018.
- . 'Procedural Impropriety'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, Fourth edition, Oxford University Press, 2018.
- . 'The Crown Royal Perogative'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 3rd Edition, Complete, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 145–82.
- . 'The Crown Royal Perogative'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.
- . 'The Role of Constitutional Conventions'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 3rd Edition, Complete, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 365–93.
- . 'The Role of Constitutional Conventions'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.
- . 'The Role of Constitutional Conventions'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.
- . 'The Role of Constitutional Conventions'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, Fourth edition, Oxford University Press, 2018.
- . 'The Role of the Courts, Judicial Review, and Human Rights'. *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials*, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.
- . 'The Role of the Courts, Judicial Review, and Human Rights'. *Complete Public Law:*

Text, Cases, and Materials, Fourth edition, Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. 'The Rule of Law'. Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete, Oxford University Press, 2015, pp. 77–113.

———. 'The Rule of Law'. Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.

———. "'What Is Public Law' and 'Constitutional Organisations, Institutions, and Roles', and 'The Nature of the British Constitution'". Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete, Oxford University Press, 2018, pp. 3–76.

———. 'What Is Public Law' and "Constitutional Organisations, Institutions, and Roles", and "The Nature of the British Constitution". Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn, Oxford University Press, 2018, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001>.

Where Next for the Wednesbury Principle? A Brief Response to Lord Carnwath | Public Law for Everyone.
<https://publiclawforeveryone.com/2013/11/19/where-next-for-the-wednesbury-principle-a-brief-response-to-lord-carnwath/>.

Williams, David. 'Bias; the Judges and the Separation of Powers'. Public Law, 2000, pp. 45–60, https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_38839088.

Williams, Rebecca. 'Structuring Substantive Review'. Public Law, 2017, pp. 99–123, https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_1899767912.

Wong, Garreth. 'Towards the Nutcracker Principle: Reconsidering the Objections to Proportionality'. Public Law, 2000, pp. 92–109, <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID1301FE1E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Young, A. L. 'Will You, Won't You, Will You Join the Deference Dance?' Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, vol. 34, no. 2, 2014, pp. 375–94, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqu004>.

Young, Alison L. 'Is Dialogue Working Under the Human Rights Act 1998?' Public Law, 2011, pp. 773–800, <http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk%2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument%2FID7EAF690E23C11E080A9C399189E1576%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous%3Dtrue>.