## LL1001: Public Law



Ahmed, Farrah, and Adam Perry. 2014. 'The Coherence of the Doctrine of Legitimate Expectations'. The Cambridge Law Journal 73 (1): 61–85. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197314000026.

———. 2016. 'Constitutional Statutes'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqw030.

Alder, John, and Keith Syrett. 2017a. Constitutional and Administrative Law. 11th Edition. Vol. Palgrave Law Masters. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

———. 2017b. 'The Rule of Law'. In Constitutional and Administrative Law, 11th Edition, Palgrave Law Masters:121–37. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

———. 2017c. 'Underlying Politcal Traditions'. In Constitutional and Administrative Law, 11th Edition, Palgrave Law Masters:26–54. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

'Ali v United Kingdom: Article 6(1) ECHR and Administrative Decision-Making | Public Law for Everyone'. n.d.

https://publiclawforeveryone.com/2016/03/13/ali-v-united-kingdom-article-61-echr-and-ad ministrative-decision-making/.

Allan, T. R. S. 2003. 'Constitutional Dialogue and the Justification of Judicial Review'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 23 (4). https://www.jstor.org/stable/3600687.

———. 2005a. Constitutional Justice: A Liberal Theory of the Rule of Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2005b. Constitutional Justice: A Liberal Theory of the Rule of Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199267880.001.0001.

———. 2006. 'Human Rights and Judicial Review: A Critique of "Due Deference"'. The Cambridge Law Journal 65 (3): 671–95. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197306007264.

———. 2011. 'Judicial Deference and Judicial Review: Legal Doctrine and Legal Theory'. Law Quarterly Review 127 (1).

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I38697650034411E088BDC597D432CBAC/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

Allan, T.R.S. 2002. 'The Constitutional Foundations of Judicial Review: Conceptual Conundrum or Interpretative Inquiry?' The Cambridge Law Journal 61 (1): 87–125. https://doi.org/10.1017/S000819730200154X.

'An Application by Siobhan Mclaughlin for Judicial Review [2016] NICA 53'. n.d. https://judiciaryni.uk/sites/judiciary/files/decisions/McLaughlin%27s%20%28Siobhan%29% 20Application.pdf.

Arvind, T. T. 2017. 'The Curious Origins of Judicial Review'. Law Quarterly Review, 91–117. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I17F84060BE0811E689319702776550D2/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

Atrill, Simon. 2003. 'WHO IS THE "FAIR-MINDED AND INFORMED OBSERVER"? BIAS AFTER'. The Cambridge Law Journal 62 (2): 279–89. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197303006317.

Attorney General v Jonathan Cape Ltd [1976] QB 752. n.d.

Bamforth, Nicholas. 1997. 'Fairness and Legitimate Expectation in Judicial Review'. The Cambridge Law Journal 56 (1): 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300017530.

Barber, N. W. 2001a. 'Prelude to the Separation of Powers'. The Cambridge Law Journal 60 (1): 59–88. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197301000629.

———. 2001b. 'Review: The Academic Mythologians'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 21 (2). https://www.jstor.org/stable/20468373.

———. 2009a. 'Laws and Constitutional Conventions'. Law Quarterly Review 125: 294–309. http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocum ent%2FI69EDD0210ACD11DE953BC0C525628E94%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnony mous%3Dtrue.

———. 2009b. 'Laws and Constitutional Conventions'. Law Quarterly Review, 294–309. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I69EDD0210ACD11DE953BC0C525628E94/View/FullTex t.html?skipAnonymous=true.

Bingham, T. H. 2011. The Rule of Law. London: Penguin.

Blake, Nicholas. 2002. 'Importing Proportionality: Clarification or Confusion'. European Human Rights Law Review, 19–27.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I84B178A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

'Blog | UK Constitutional Law Association | Affiliated to the International Association of Constitutional Law'. n.d. https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/blog/.

'British and Irish Legal Information Institute'. n.d. http://www.bailii.org/.

British Railways Board v Pickin [1974] AC 765. n.d.

Campbell, N. R. 1994. 'The Duty to Give Reasons in Administrative Law'. Public Law, 184–91.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID39F4B20E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true. Chan, Cora. 2016. 'A Preliminary Framework for Measuring Deference in Rights Reasoning'. International Journal of Constitutional Law 14 (4): 851–82. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mow058.

Clayton, Richard. 2015. 'The Empire Strikes Back: Common Law Rights and the Human Rights Act'. Public Law, 3–12.

http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument% 2FIAD111C107B4F11E499B9D6E1F6E110C8%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous %3Dtrue.

'Constitution Committee | UK Parliament'. n.d. http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/constitution-co mmittee/.

Council of Civil Service Unions v Minister for the Civil Service [1985] AC 374. n.d.

Craig, P. 2011. 'Political Constitutionalism and the Judicial Role: A Response'. International Journal of Constitutional Law 9 (1): 112–31. https://doi.org/10.1093/icon/mor025.

———. 2013. 'The Nature of Reasonableness Review'. Current Legal Problems 66 (1): 131–67. https://doi.org/10.1093/clp/cut010.

Craig, Paul. 1997. 'Formal and Substantive Conceptions of the Rule of Law: An Analytical Framework'. Public Law, 467–87.

-----. 1998. 'Ultra Vires and the Foundations of Judicial Review'. The Cambridge Law Journal 57 (1): 63–90. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300134397.

———. 1999. 'Competing Models of Judicial Review'. Public Law, 428–47. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID132DF00E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

———. 2001. 'The Courts, the Human Rights Act and Judicial Review'. Law Quarterly Review, 589–603.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I848E3930E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

———. 2003. 'The Human Rights Act, Article 6 and Procedural Rights'. Public Law, 753–73. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I84890911E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

Craig, Paul, and Soren Schonberg. 2000. 'Substantive Legitimate Expectations After Coughlan'. Public Law, 684–701.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I84A4F580E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

Craig, Robert. 2017. 'A Simple Application of the Frustration Principle: Prerogative, Statute and Miller'. Public Law.

http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument% 2FI8106D680C87411E79CFEFF663275FD29%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous

## %3Dtrue.

Daly, Paul. 2010. 'Justiciability and the "Political Question" Doctrine'. Public Law, 160–78. http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocum ent%2FI14A4A19044F511E88D34E20E69C76312%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnony mous%3Dtrue.

'Delegated Legislation | UK Parliament'. n.d. https://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/delegated/.

Elliot, Mark, and Robert Thomas. 2017. 'Themes, Sources, and Principles'. In Public Law, 3rd edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198765899.001.0001.

Elliott, Mark. 1999. 'The Demise of Parliamentary Sovereignty? The Implications for Justifying Judicial Review'. Law Quarterly Review, 119–37. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCCA7BD0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullTex t.html?skipAnonymous=true.

———. 2006. 'Legitimate Expectations: Procedure, Substance, Policy and Proportionality'. The Cambridge Law Journal 65 (2): 254–56. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197306227119.

———. 2012. 'The Appearance of Bias, the Fair-Minded and Informed Observer, and the "Ordinary Person in Queen Square Market"'. The Cambridge Law Journal 71 (2): 247–50. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197312000372.

———. 2015a. 'A Tangled Constitutional Web: The Black-Spider Memos and the British Constitution's Relational Architecture'. Public Law, 539–50.

http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument% 2FI3A34F4805C5611E58916B963212E7CCD%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous %3Dtrue.

———. 2015b. 'The Principle of Parliamentary Sovereignty in Legal, Constitutional, and Political Perspective'. In The Changing Constitution, edited by Jeffrey L. Jowell, Dawn Oliver, and Colm O'Cinneide, 8th Edition, 38–66. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018. 'Judicial Power and the United Kingdom's Changing Constitution | Public Law for Everyone'. 2018.

https://publiclawforeveryone.com/2017/10/31/judicial-power-and-the-united-kingdoms-changing-constitution/.

———. n.d. 'Mark Elliott: Justification, Calibration and Substantive Judicial Review: Putting Doctrine in Its Place | UK Constitutional Law Association'. https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/09/17/mark-elliott-justification-calibration-and-substan tive-judicial-review-putting-doctrine-in-its-place/.

Elliott, Mark, and Robert Thomas. 2017a. Public Law. 3rd Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2017b. Public Law. 3rd edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198765899.001.0001.

———. 2017c. 'Themes, Sources, and Principles'. In Public Law, 3rd Edition, 36–86. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

'European Court of Human Rights'. n.d. http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home.

'Exercise of Discretion in Administrative Decision-Making'. n.d. http://www.ombudsman.wa.gov.au/Publications/Documents/guidelines/Exercise-of-discretio n-in-admin-decision-making.pdf.

Feldman, David. 2005. 'None, One or Several? Perspectives on the UK's Constitution(s)'. The Cambridge Law Journal 64 (2): 329–51. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197305006884.

Fenwick, Helen. 2002. 'The Anti–Terrorism, Crime and Security Act 2001: A Proportionate Response to 11 September?' The Modern Law Review 65 (5): 724–62. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00405.

Ferreira, Nuno. 2015. 'The Supreme Court in a Final Push to Go Beyond Strasbourg'. Public Law.

http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument% 2FI5409B340105311E5995D9B4942D36284%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous %3Dtrue.

Forsyth, Christopher. 1996. 'Of Fig Leaves and Fairy Tales: The Ultra Vires Doctrine, the Sovereignty of Parliament and Judicial Review'. The Cambridge Law Journal 55 (1): 122–40. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300097762.

Forsyth, Christopher, and Mark Elliott. 2003. 'The Legitimacy of Judicial Review'. Public Law , 286–307.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I795AA3A0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

'Gavin Phillipson: Historic Commons Syria Vote: The Constitutional Significance (Part I) | UK Constitutional Law Association'. n.d.

https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/09/19/gavin-phillipson-historic-commons-syria-vote-th e-constitutional-significance-part-i/.

'Gavin Phillipson: Historic Commons Syria Vote: The Constitutional Significance. Part II the Way Forward | UK Constitutional Law Association'. n.d.

https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/11/29/gavin-phillipson-historic-commons-syria-vote-th e-constitutional-significance-part-ii-the-way-forward/.

Gee, G., and G. C. N. Webber. 2010. 'What Is a Political Constitution?' Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 30 (2): 273–99. https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq013.

Ghaidan v. Godin-Mendoza [2004] UKHL 30. n.d.

Goldsworthy, J. 2003. 'Homogenizing Constitutions'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 23 (3): 483–505. https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/23.3.483.

Gordon, Michael. 2015. Parliamentary Sovereignty in the UK Constitution: Process, Politics and Democracy. Vol. v. 4. Oxford: Hart Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.5040/9781474201667.

Gregson, Rory. 2017. 'When Should There Be an Implied Power to Delegate?' Public Law, 408-25.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IB5E035E04C6411E79A6EF925F51871C7/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

Hale, B. 2012. 'Argentoratum Locutum: Is Strasbourg or the Supreme Court Supreme?' Human Rights Law Review 12 (1): 65–78. https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngs001.

Hale, Lady. 2015. 'The UK Supreme Court in the UK Constitution' (Inaugural Lecture at the Institute for Legal and Constitutional Research, University of St Andrews, 8th of October 2015. https://www.supremecourt.uk/docs/speech-151008.pdf.

Hickman, Tom. 2008. 'The Substance and Structure of Proportionality'. Public Law, 694–716.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I010EBB7099A111DD9611E019A6BFBE26/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

Hilson, Chris. 2002. 'Judicial Review, Policies and the Fettering of Discretion'. Public Law, 111–29.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID10F7880E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

Human Rights Act 1998. n.d.

http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocum ent%2FI5FB840F0E42311DAA7CF8F68F6EE57AB%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnony mous%3Dtrue.

'In the Matter of an Application by Siobhan McLaughlin for Judicial Review (Northern Ireland) [2018] UKSC 48'. n.d. https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2017-0035-judgment.pdf.

'International Journal of Constitutional Law'. n.d. https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY\_INST/16u3s8/alma9970006819 02671.

Jackson & Ors v. Her Majesty's Attorney General [2005] UKHL 56. n.d.

——. n.d.

Jaconelli, Joseph. 2005a. 'Do Constitutional Conventions Bind?' The Cambridge Law Journal 64 (1): 149–76. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197305006823.

———. 2005b. 'Do Constitutional Conventions Bind?' The Cambridge Law Journal 64 (1): 149–76. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197305006823.

Jones, Brian Christopher. 2016. 'Preliminary Warnings on "Constitutional" Idolatry'. Public Law, 74–92.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE932C1D09A7F11E5ACC99E2443923C19/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

Jowell, Jeffrey. 1999. 'Of Vires and Vacuums: The Constitutional Context of Judicial Review'. Public Law, 448–60.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID1392090E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

———. 2006. 'Parliamentary Sovereignty Under the New Constitutional Hypothesis'. Public Law, 562–79.

Jowell, Jeffrey, and Anthony Lester. 1988. 'Beyond Wednesbury: Substantive Principles of Administrative Law'. Commonwealth Law Bulletin 14 (2): 858–70. https://doi.org/10.1080/03050718.1988.9985971.

Kavanaugh, Aileen. 2010. 'Defending Deference in Public Law and Constitutional Theory'. Law Quarterly Review 222.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF3B510D22E5211DFBE2FA967ED04D069/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

Knight, C. J. S. 2009. 'A Framework for Fettering'. Judicial Review 14 (1): 73–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/10854681.2009.11426590.

Le Sueur, A. P. 1999. 'Legal Duties to Give Reasons'. Current Legal Problems 52 (1): 150–72. https://doi.org/10.1093/clp/52.1.150.

Le Sueur, A. P., Maurice Sunkin, and Jo Eric Murkens. 2016. Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials. 3rd Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

-----. 2019. Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials. 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://doi.org.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/10.1093/he/9780198820284.001.0001.

'Legal Studies: The Journal of the Society of Legal Scholars'. n.d. https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY\_INST/16u3s8/alma9970176933 02671.

Lever, Annabelle. 2007. 'Is Judicial Review Undemocratic?' Public Law, 280–98. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I25BAEDE0E30911DB9628FD37664FF158/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

Liversidge v Anderson [1941] UKHL 1. n.d.

Lord Lester of Herne Hill. 2001. 'Developing Constitutional Principles of Public Law'. Public Law, 684–94.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I849E17B0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

Loveland, Ian. 2003. 'Does Homelessness Decision Making Engage Article 6(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights?' European Human Rights Law Review, 176–204. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I7E856C70E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText

.html?skipAnonymous=true.

———. 2015. 'Human Rights II: Emergent Principles'. In Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction, 7th Edition, 588–615. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018. 'Human Rights II: Emergent Principles'. In Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction, 8th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198804680.001.0001.

———. 2021a. Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction. 9th Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2021b. Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction. 9th Edition. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press.

Malleson, Kate. 2000. 'Judicial Bias and Disqualification After Pinochet (No. 2)'. Modern Law Review 63 (1): 119–27. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.00254.

'Mark Elliot: Reflections on the HS2 Case: A Hierarchy of Domestic Constitutional Norms and the Qualified Primacy of EU Law | UK Constitutional Law Association'. n.d. https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2014/01/23/mark-elliot-reflections-on-the-hs2-case-a-hierar chy-of-domestic-constitutional-norms-and-the-qualified-primacy-of-eu-law/.

Masterman, Roger, and Colin Murray. 2003. 'Law, Politics, and the United Kingdom Constitution'. In Exploring Constitutional and Administrative Law, 88–108. http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&amp ;package\_service\_id=13409289760002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=26 70.

———. 2013. 'Law, Politics, and the United Kingdom Constitution'. In Exploring Constitutional and Administrative Law, 88–108. Harlow, England: Pearson.

'McLoughlin Supreme Court Press Summary'. n.d. https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2017-0035-press-summary.pdf.

Miller & Anor, R (on the application of) v Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union (Rev 3) [2017] UKSC 5. n.d.

Murkens, Jo Eric Khushal. 2018. 'Democracy as the Legitimating Condition in the UK Constitution'. Legal Studies 38 (01): 42–58. https://doi.org/10.1017/lst.2017.10.

Nicol, Danny. 2006. 'Law and Politics After the Human Rights Act'. Public Law, 722–51. http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument% 2FI13579C41540511DB85F29853495F842F%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous %3Dtrue.

Norris, Martin. 1996. 'Ex Parte Smith: Irrationality and Human Rights'. Public Law, 590–600.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID37F6710E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true. Oliver, Dawn. 1987. 'Is the Ultra Vires Rule the Basis of Judicial Review?' Public Law, 543–69.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID3D7E750E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

Olowofoyeku, Abimbola A. 2000. 'The Nemo Judex Rule: The Case Against Automatic Disqualification'. Public Law, 456–75.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID12EE760E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

-----. 2009. 'Bias and the Informed Observer: A Call for a Return to Gough'. The Cambridge Law Journal 68 (2): 388-409. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197309000373.

'Oxford Journal of Legal Studies'. n.d. https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY\_INST/70508m/alma997001809 702671.

Perry, Adam, and Adam Tucker. 2018. 'Top-Down Constitutional Conventions'. The Modern Law Review 81 (5): 765–89. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2230.12364.

Phillipson, Gavin. n.d. '"Historic" Commons' Syria Vote: The Constitutional Significance. Part I | UK Constitutional Law Association'.

https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/09/19/gavin-phillipson-historic-commons-syria-vote-th e-constitutional-significance-part-i/.

———. n.d. ""Historic" Commons' Syria Vote: The Constitutional Significance. Part II | the Way Forward – UK Constitutional Law Association'. https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/2013/11/29/gavin-phillipson-historic-commons-syria-vote-th e-constitutional-significance-part-ii-the-way-forward/.

'Political and Constitutional Reform Committee | UK Parliament'. n.d. http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/political-a nd-constitutional-reform-committee/.

Poole, Thomas. 2005. 'Of Headscarves and Heresies: The Denbigh High School Case and Public Authority Decision-Making Under the Human Rights Act'. Public Law, 685–95. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I848A1A80E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

-----. 2009. 'The Reformation of English Administrative Law'. The Cambridge Law Journal 68 (1): 142-68. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197309000063.

ı

Principles of Good Administration | Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman (PHSO)'. n.d.

https://www.ombudsman.org.uk/about-us/our-principles/principles-good-administration.

'Public Administration and Constitutional Affairs Committee | UK Parliament'. n.d. http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/public-ad ministration-and-constitutional-affairs-committee/. 'Public Law'. n.d. https://uk.westlaw.com/WestlawUk/Journals/Publications/Public-Law.

R (Chester) v Secretary of State for Justice [2013] UKSC 63. n.d.

R (Evans) v Attorney General [2015] UKSC 21. n.d.

R (Miller) v Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union [2017] UKSC 5, [40]-[49]. n.d.

R (on the application of Nicklinson and another) (Appellants) v Ministry of Justice (Respondent) [2014] UKSC 38. n.d.

Rainey, Bernadette, Elizabeth Wicks, and Clare Ovey. 2014. 'Context, Background, and Institutions'. In Jacobs, White and Ovey: The European Convention on Human Rights, 6th Edition, 3–20. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ringhand, Lori. 2005. 'Fig Leaves, Fairy Tales, and Constitutional Foundations: Debating Judicial Review in Britain'. Columbia Journal of Transnational Law 43 (3). http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/cjtl43&id=1.

Rivers, Julian. 2006. 'Proportionality and Variable Intensity of Review'. Cambridge Law Journal 65: 174–207.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IFB69CD50E72A11DC88EB9BE684C867E2/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

Sales, Philip. 2013. 'Rationality, Proportionality and the Development of the Law'. Law Quarterly Review, 223–41.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I69812151881411E2BACCBED531DFD77E/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

Stanton, John, and Craig Prescott. 2018a. 'Judicial Review: Access to Review and Remedies'. In Public Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018b. 'Judicial Review: Access to Review and Remedies'. In Public Law. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001.

-----. 2018c. 'Judicial Review: Illegality'. In Public Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018d. 'Judicial Review: Illegality'. In Public Law. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001.

———. 2018e. 'Judicial Review: Irrationality and Proportionality'. In Public Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018f. 'Judicial Review: Irrationality and Proportionality'. In Public Law. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001.

———. 2018g. 'Judicial Review: Procedural Impropriety'. In Public Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

——. 2018h. 'Judicial Review: Procedural Impropriety'. In Public Law. [Oxford]: Oxford

University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001.

———. 2018i. 'Judicial Review: Procedural Impropriety'. In Public Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018j. 'Judicial Review: Procedural Impropriety'. In Public Law. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001.

-----. 2018k. Public Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018I. 'The Royal Prerogative and Constitutional Conventions'. In Public Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018m. 'The Royal Prerogative and Constitutional Conventions'. In Public Law. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001.

Stanton, John, Craig Prescott, and David Mead. 2018. Public Law. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198722939.001.0001.

Stark, Shona Wilson. 2017. 'Facing Facts: Judicial Approaches to Section 4 of the Human Rights Act 1998'. Law Quarterly Review.

http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument% 2FI553CB880985E11E7BEB8FD7157D7F73E%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous %3Dtrue.

Supperstone, Michael, and Jason Coppel. 1999. 'Judicial Review After the Human Rights Act'. European Human Rights Law Review, 301–29.

https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I84ACE4C0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

'Taming the Prerogative: Strengthening Ministerial Accountability to Parliament'. 2003. House of Commons. Public Administration Select Committee. 2003. https://parlipapers.proquest.com/parlipapers/result/pqpdocumentview?accountid=11455& amp;groupid=103502&pgId=c5aea05f-b8ed-42b0-b2e6-8c68938d85ec.

Taylor, Robert Brett. 2015a. 'Foundational and Regulatory Conventions: Exploring the Constitutional Significance of Britain's Dependency Upon Conventions.' Public Law, 614–32.

http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocum ent%2FI3A36A2305C5611E58916B963212E7CCD%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnony mous%3Dtrue.

———. 2015b. 'Foundational and Regulatory Conventions: Exploring the Constitutional Significance of Britain's Dependency Upon Conventions'. Public Law, 614–32. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I3A36A2305C5611E58916B963212E7CCD/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

'The Cambridge Law Journal'. n.d. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-law-journal. 'The Constitution Unit Blog'. n.d. https://constitution-unit.com/.

'The Law Quarterly Review'. n.d.

'The Modern Law Review'. n.d. https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY\_INST/16u3s8/alma9970030199 02671.

Tomkins, A. 2002. 'In Defence of the Political Constitution'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 22 (1): 157–75. https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/22.1.157.

'Tomlinson and Others v Birmingham City Council [2010] UKSC 8'. n.d. https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2009-0050-judgment.pdf.

'----'. n.d. https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2009-0050-judgment.pdf.

Tomlinson, Joe. 2017. 'The Narrow Approach to Substantive Legitimate Expectations and the Trend of Modern Authority'. Oxford University Commonwealth Law Journal 17 (1): 75–84. https://doi.org/10.1080/14729342.2017.1311514.

Turner, Ian. 2009. 'Irrationality, the Human Rights Act and the Limits of Merits-Review'. Nottingham Law Journal 18: 18–36. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IC5A4DCE02B5711E08221F700C27502AE/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

'UK Constitutional Law Association Blog | International Association of Constitutional Law'. n.d. https://ukconstitutionallaw.org/blog/.

'UK Human Rights Blog'. n.d. https://ukhumanrightsblog.com/.

'UK Parliament'. n.d. http://www.parliament.uk/.

'Unmarried Mother Siobhan McLaughlin Wins Supreme Court Benefit Case | BBC'. 2018. 2018. https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-northern-ireland-45355028.

'Unmarried Mother Wins Supreme Court Fight for Widowed Parents' Allowance | Good Morning Britain | YouTube'. n.d. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Cs4viID3KWI.

Varuhas, Jason N.E. 2013a. 'The Reformation of English Administrative Law? "Rights", Rhetoric and Reality'. The Cambridge Law Journal 72 (2): 369–413. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197313000500.

———. 2013b. 'The Reformation of English Administrative Law? "Rights", Rhetoric and Reality'. The Cambridge Law Journal 72 (2): 369–413. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197313000500.

Waldron, Jeremy. 2006. 'The Core of the Case Against Judicial Review'. The Yale Law Journal 115 (6). https://doi.org/10.2307/20455656.

Walker, Paul. 1995. 'What's Wrong With Irrationality?' Public Law, 556–76. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID3967180E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true. Watson, Jack. 2010. 'Clarity and Ambiguity: A New Approach to the Test of Legitimacy in the Law of Legitimate Expectations'. Legal Studies 30 (4): 633–52. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.2010.00177.x.

Webley, Lisa, and Harriet Samuels. 2015a. 'Human Rights'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete:255–90. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2015b. 'Human Rights'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete:255–90. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2015c. 'Parliamentary Supremacy: The Theory'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete:185–226. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2015d. 'The Crown Royal Perogative'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete:145–82. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2015e. 'The Role of Constitutional Conventions'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete:365–93. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2015f. 'The Rule of Law'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete:77–113. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018a. "Constitutional Organisations, Institutions and Roles" and "The Nature of the British Constitution". In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete:13–15. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018b. "Constitutional Organisations, Institutions and Roles" and "The Nature of the British Constitution". In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018c. 'Human Rights'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018d. 'Human Rights'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018e. 'Illegality'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

-----. 2018f. 'Illegality'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018g. 'Irrationality and Proportionality'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018h. 'Irrationality and Proportionality'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018i. 'Parliamentary Supremacy: The Theory'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018j. 'Procedural Impropriety'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018k. 'Procedural Impropriety'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials , 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018I. 'Procedural Impropriety'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018m. 'Procedural Impropriety'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018n. 'The Crown Royal Perogative'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018o. 'The Role of Constitutional Conventions'. Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018p. 'The Role of Constitutional Conventions'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018q. 'The Role of Constitutional Conventions'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018r. 'The Role of the Courts, Judicial Review, and Human Rights'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018s. 'The Role of the Courts, Judicial Review, and Human Rights'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018t. 'The Rule of Law'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

———. 2018u. "What Is Public Law" and "Constitutional Organisations, Institutions, and Roles", and "The Nature of the British Constitution". In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 3rd Edition, Complete:3–76. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018v. 'What Is Public Law' and "Constitutional Organisations, Institutions, and Roles", and "The Nature of the British Constitution"'. In Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials, 4th edn. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/he/9780198798064.001.0001.

.html?skipAnonymous=true.

———. 2021a. Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials. Fifth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2021b. Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials. 5th Edition. [Oxford]: Oxford University Press. https://doi-org.ezproxv01.rhul.ac.uk/10.1093/he/9780198853183.001.0001.

'Where Next for the Wednesbury Principle? A Brief Response to Lord Carnwath | Public Law for Everyone'. n.d.

https://publiclawforeveryone.com/2013/11/19/where-next-for-the-wednesbury-principle-a-b rief-response-to-lord-carnwath/.

Williams, David. 2000. 'Bias; the Judges and the Separation of Powers'. Public Law, 45–60. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID1246010E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText .html?skipAnonymous=true.

Williams, Rebecca. 2017. 'Structuring Substantive Review'. Public Law, 99–123. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IF32A9D40BAFC11E695A4FB481AEC10EF/View/FullText. html?skipAnonymous=true.

Wong, Garreth. 2000. 'Towards the Nutcracker Principle: Reconsidering the Objections to Proportionality'. Public Law, 92–109. https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID1301FE1E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText

Young, A. L. 2014. 'Will You, Won't You, Will You Join the Deference Dance?' Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 34 (2): 375–94. https://doi.org/10.1093/oils/ggu004.

Young, Alison L. 2011. 'Is Dialogue Working Under the Human Rights Act 1998?' Public Law , 773–800.

http://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=http%3A%2F%2Fidp.rhul.ac.uk %2Foala&returnto=https%3A%2F%2Fuk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com%2FDocument% 2FID7EAF690E23C11E080A9C399189E1576%2FView%2FFullText.html%3FskipAnonymous %3Dtrue.