

PR5432 Analysing International Politics

View Online



1.

Carr, E.H., Cox, M.: *The Twenty Years' Crisis, 1919-1939: An Introduction to the Study of International Relations*. Palgrave, Basingstoke (2001).

2.

Mearsheimer, J.J.: E.H. Carr vs. Idealism: The Battle Rages On. *International Relations*. 19, 139-152 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117805052810>.

3.

Paul, T.V.: *Recasting Statecraft - International Relations and Strategies of Peaceful Change*, http://www.tvpaul.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/ISA_Presidential_Address.pdf, (2016).

4.

Howe, P.: The Utopian Realism of E. H. Carr. *Review of International Studies*. 20, 277-297 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118078>.

5.

Morgenthau, H.: The Political Science of E. H. Carr. *World Politics*. 1, 127-134 (1948). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2009162>.

6.

Wilson, P.: The Myth of the 'First Great Debate'. *Review of International Studies*. 24, 1–15 (1998).

7.

Ikenberry, G.J.: Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*. 7, 71–87 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592709090112>.

8.

Keohane, R.O., Nye, J.S.: *Power and Interdependence*. HarperCollins, [S.I.] (1989).

9.

Keohane, R.O., Nye, J.S.: *Power and Interdependence Revisited*. *International Organization*. 41, (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027661>.

10.

Doyle, M.W.: Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 80, 1151–1169 (1986). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1960861>.

11.

Deudney, D., Ikenberry, G.J.: The Nature and Sources of Liberal International Order. *Review Of International Studies*. 25, 179–196 (1999).

12.

Doyle, M.W.: Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 80, 1151–1169 (1986). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1960861>.

13.

Fukuyama, F.: The End of History? *The National Interest*. 3–18 (1989).

14.

Ikenberry, G.J.: Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*. 7, 71–87 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592709090112>.

15.

Slaughter, A.-M.: *A New World Order*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (2004).

16.

Moravcsik, A.: Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics. *International Organization*. 52, (1997).

17.

Zacher, M.W., Matthew, R.A.: Liberal International Theory: Common Threads, Divergent Strands. In: *Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neo-Liberal Challenge*. pp. 107–150. Macmillan, Basingstoke (1995).

18.

Wilson, W.: The World Must be Made Safe for Democracy: The Fourteen Points. In: Mingst, K.A. and Snyder (eds.), J.L. (eds.) *Essential Readings in World Politics*. pp. 4–6. W.W. Norton & Company, New York (2014).

19.

Kant, I.: An Answer to the Question: 'What is Enlightenment?'. In: *Kant's Political Writings*. Cambridge University Press, London (1970).

20.

Kant, I.: Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch. In: *Kant's Political Writings*. Cambridge University Press, London (1970).

21.

Grieco, J.M.: Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. In: Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate. pp. 116-142. Columbia University Press, New York (1993).

22.

Baldwin, D.A.: Neoliberalism, Neorealism, and World Politics. In: Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate. pp. 3-28. Columbia University Press, New York (1993).

23.

Hoffmann, S.: Liberalism and International Affairs. In: Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theory and Practice of International Politics. pp. 394-417. , Boulder (1987).

24.

Doyle, M.W.: Kant, Liberal Legacies, and Foreign Affairs. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 12, 205-235 (1983).

25.

Genest, M.A.: Conflict and Cooperation: Evolving Theories of International Relations. Thomson/Wadsworth, Belmont, CA (2004).

26.

Kegley, C.W., Blanton, S.L.: World Politics: Trend and Transformation. Wadsworth Cengage Learning, Boston, Mass (2011).

27.

Jackson, R., Sorensen, G.: Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

28.

Morgenthau, H.J.: A Realist Theory of International Politics. In: Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, Boston (2006).

29.

Morgenthau, H.J.: The Balance of Power. In: Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, Boston (2006).

30.

Morgenthau, H.J.: Different Methods of the Balance of Power. In: Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, Boston (2006).

31.

Forde, S.: Varieties of Realism: Thucydides and Machiavelli. *The Journal of Politics*. 54, 372–393 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2132031>.

32.

Waltz, K.N.: *Man, the State, and War: A Theoretical Analysis*. Columbia University Press, New York (2001).

33.

Morgenthau, H.J.: *Scientific Man vs. Power Politics*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago (1974).

34.

Niebuhr, R.: *Moral Man and Immoral Society: A Study in Ethics and Politics*. Continuum, London (2005).

35.

Claude, I.L.: *Power and International Relations*. Random House, New York (2012).

36.

Aron, R.: *Peace and War: A Theory of International Relations*. Weidenfeld & Nicolson, London (1966).

37.

Palan, R.P., Blair, B.M.: On the Idealist Origins of the Realist Theory of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 19, (1993).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118273>.

38.

Viotti, P.R., Kauppi, M.V.: Realism: The State, Power and the Balance of Power. In: *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond*. pp. 35–67. Allyn and Bacon, Boston (1999).

39.

Carr, E.H.: The Nature of Politics. In: *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond*. pp. 562–566. Allyn and Bacon, Boston (1999).

40.

Dougherty, J.E., Pfaltzgraff, R.L.: *Contending Theories of International Relations: A Comprehensive Survey*. Longman, New York (2001).

41.

Vasquez, J.A.: *The Power of Power Politics: From Classical Realism to Neotraditionalism*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1998).

42.

Haslam, J.: *No Virtue Like Necessity: Realist Thought in International Relations Since Machiavelli*. Yale University Press, New Haven (2002).

43.

Smith, M.J.: *Realist Thought From Weber to Kissinger*. London (1986).

44.

Doyle, M.W.: Thucydidean Realism. *Review of International Studies*. 16, (1990).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500112483>.

45.

Garst, D.: Thucydides and Neorealism. *International Studies Quarterly*. 33, 3-27 (1989).

46.

Bagby, L.M.J.: The Use and Abuse of Thucydides in International Relations. *International Organization*. 48, (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300000849>.

47.

Thucydides: The Melian Dialogue. In: *History of the Peloponnesian war*. pp. 400-408. Penguin, Harmondsworth (1954).

48.

Thucydides: The Melian Dialogue. In: *Classics of International Relations*. pp. 84-90. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J (1996).

49.

Thucydides, Crawley, R.: *History of the Peloponnesian War*,
<http://people.ucalgary.ca/~vandersp/Courses/texts/thucydi1.html>.

50.

Macchiavelli, N.: Excerpts from 'The Prince' in Classics of International Relations. In: Classics of International Relations. pp. 15–20. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J (1996).

51.

Machiavelli, N., Marriott, W.K.: The Prince, <https://web.archive.org/web/20060101054818/http://www.ilt.columbia.edu/publications/machiavelli.html>.

52.

Hobbes, T.: The Natural Conditions of Mankind. In: International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond. pp. 94–98. Allyn and Bacon, Boston (1999).

53.

Gilpin, R.G.: The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. International Organization. 38, (1984). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300026710>.

54.

Gilpin, R.G.: No One Loves a Political Realist. Security Studies. 5, 3–26 (1996).

55.

Herz, J.H.: Power Politics and World Organization. The American Political Science Review. 36, (1942). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1949064>.

56.

H. Herz, J.: Rise and Demise of The Territorial State. World Politics. 9, 473–493 (1957).

57.

Hoffmann, S.: An American Social Science: International Relations. *Daedalus*. 106, 41–60 (1977).

58.

Hoffmann, S.: An American Social Science: International Relations. In: *Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theory and Practice of International Politics*. pp. 219–221. Westview, Boulder (1987).

59.

James, A.: The Realism of Realism: The State and the Study of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 15, (1989). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500112847>.

60.

Wolfers, A.: *Discord and Collaboration: Essays on International Politics*. Johns Hopkins University Press, London (1962).

61.

Waltz, K.N.: *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill, London (1979).

62.

Keohane, R.O.: *Neorealism and Its Critics*. Columbia University Press, New York (1986).

63.

Rosecrance, R.: Long Cycle Theory and International Relations. *International Organization*. 41, 283–301 (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027478>.

64.

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., Smith, S. eds: *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

65.

Powell, R.: *Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate*. *International Organization*. 48, (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300028204>.

66.

Modelski, G.: *The Long Cycle of Global Politics and the Nation-State*. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. 20, (1978). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417500008914>.

67.

Krauthammer, C.: *The Unipolar Moment*. In: *Rethinking America's Security: Beyond Cold War to New World Order*. pp. 313–344. Norton, New York (1992).

68.

Mastanduno, M.: *Preserving the Unipolar Moment: Realist Theories and U.S. Grand Strategy After the Cold War*. *International Security*. 21, (1997). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539283>.

69.

Keohane, R.O.: *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (2005).

70.

Keohane, R.O.: *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1984).

71.

Mearsheimer, J.J.: *The False Promise of International Institutions*. *International Security*. 19,

(1994). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539078>.

72.

Legro, J.W., Moravcsik, A.: Is Anybody Still a Realist? *International Security*. 24, 5–55 (1999).

73.

Jervis, R.: Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security*. 24, 42–63 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1162/016228899560040>.

74.

Gilpin, R.: The Rise of American Hegemony. In: *Britain 1846-1914 and the United States 1941-2001*. Ashgate Pub Ltd (2002).

75.

Gilpin, R.: The Rise of American Hegemony. In: *Two Hegemonies: Britain 1846-1914 and the United States 1941-2001*. pp. 165–182. Ashgate Publishing Ltd., Aldershot (2002).

76.

Grunberg, I.: Exploring the "Myth" of Hegemonic Stability. *International Organization*. 44, (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300035372>.

77.

Ruggie, J.G.: International Regimes, Transactions, and Change: Embedded Liberalism in the Postwar Economic Order. *International Organization*. 36, (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300018993>.

78.

Milner, H.: International Theories of Cooperation Among Nations: Strengths and Weaknesses. *World Politics*. 44, 466–496 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2010546>.

79.

Simmons, B.A., Hopkins, D.J.: The Constraining Power of International Treaties: Theory and Methods. *American Political Science Review*. 99, (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055405051920>.

80.

Kindleberger, C.P.: Dominance and Leadership in the International Economy: Exploitation, Public Goods, and Free Rides. *International Studies Quarterly*. 25, (1981).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2600355>.

81.

Snidal, D.: The Limits of Hegemonic Stability Theory. *International Organization*. 39, (1985). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002081830002703X>.

82.

Lake, D.A.: Leadership, Hegemony, and the International Economy: Naked Emperor or Tattered Monarch With Potential? *International Studies Quarterly*. 37, (1993).

83.

McKeown, T.J.: Hegemonic Stability Theory and 19th Century Tariff Levels in Europe. *International Organization*. 37, (1983). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300004203>.

84.

Bull, H.: *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics*. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke (2012).

85.

Edkins, J., Zehfuss, M.: Generalising the International. *Review of International Studies*. 31, (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210505006583>.

86.

Dunne, T.: *International Society: Theoretical Promises Fulfilled? Cooperation and Conflict*. 30, 125–154 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836795030002002>.

87.

Bellamy, A.J.: *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).

88.

Bellamy, A.J.: *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).

89.

Bull, H.: *Order vs. Justice in International Society*. *Political Studies*. 19, 269–283 (1971). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1971.tb00674.x>.

90.

Hurrell, A.: *Order and Justice in International Relations: What is at Stake?* In: *Order and Justice in International Relations*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003).

91.

Bellamy, A.J.: *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).

92.

Bellamy, A.J.: *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2004).

93.

Brown, C.: International Theory and International Society: The Viability of the Middle Way? Review of International Studies. 21, (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500117619>.

94.

Brown, C.: International Theory and International Society: The Viability of the Middle Way? Review of International Studies. 21, (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500117619>.

95.

Linklater, A.: The Transformation of Political Community: E. H. Carr, Critical Theory and International Relations. Review Of International Studies. 23, (1997).

96.

Lynch, C.: E.H. Carr, International Relations Theory, and the Societal Origins of International Legal Norms. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 23, 589-619 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298940230030801>.

97.

Vincent, R.J.: Hedley Bull and Order in International Politics. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 17, 195-213 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170020701>.

98.

Buzan, B.: From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

99.

Buzan, B.: From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).

100.

Grotius, H., Neff, S.C.: *Hugo Grotius on the Law of War and Peace*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

101.

Grotius, H., Campbell, A.C.: *On the Laws of War and Peace*. , London (1814).

102.

Bull, H.: The Importance of Grotius in the Study of International Relations. In: *Hugo Grotius and International Relations*. pp. 65–94. Clarendon, Oxford (1990).

103.

Linklater, A.: Marxism. In: *Theories of International Relations*. pp. 110–136. Palgrave Macmillan (2005).

104.

Gilpin, R., Gilpin, J.M.: *The Political Economy of International Relations*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1987).

105.

Gills, B.K.: Historical Materialism and International Relations Theory. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 16, 265–270 (1987).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298870160020301>.

106.

Marx, K., Engels, F., Tucker, R.C.: Economic and Philosophic Manuscript of 1844. In: *The Marx-Engels Reader*. pp. 66–125. Norton, New York (1978).

107.

Marx, K., Engels, F., Tucker, R.C.: Wage, Labour and Capital. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. pp. 203–217. Norton, New York (1978).

108.

Linklater, A.: Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory. *Review of International Studies*. 12, (1986). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500113865>.

109.

Maclean, J.: Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 17, 295–319 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170021201>.

110.

Burnham, P.: Open Marxism and Vulgar International Political Economy. *Review of International Political Economy*. 1, 221–231 (1994). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692299408434277>.

111.

Marx, K., Tucker, R.C.: Economic and Philosophic Manuscript of 1844. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. pp. 66–125. Norton, New York (1978).

112.

Marx, K., Engels, F., Tucker, R.C.: Wage, Labour and Capital. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. pp. 203–217. Norton, New York (1978).

113.

Marx, K., Tucker, R.C.: Theories of Surplus Value. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. pp. 443–468. Norton, New York (1978).

114.

Lenin, V.I.: *Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism : A Popular Outline*. Foreign Languages Press, Peking (1973).

115.

Rosenberg, J.: *Isaac Deutscher and the Lost History of International Relations*. New Left Review. (1996).

116.

Berki, R.N.: *On Marxian Thought and the Problem of International Relations*. *World Politics*. 24, 80–105 (1971). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2009707>.

117.

Burnham, P.: *The Communist Manifesto as International Relations Theory*. In: *The Communist Manifesto: New Interpretations*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh (1998).

118.

Halliday, F.: *A Necessary Encounter: Historical Materialism and International Relations*. In: *Rethinking International Relations*. Macmillan, Basingstoke (1994).

119.

Gamble, A.: *Marxism After Communism: Beyond Realism and Historicism*. *Review Of International Studies*. 25, 27–144 (1999).

120.

Cutler, C.: *Locating 'Authority' in the Global Political Economy*. *International Studies Quarterly*. 43, 59–81 (1999).

121.

MacLean, J.: Marxist Epistemology, Explanations of 'Change' and the Study of International Relations. In: Change and the Study of International Relations: The Evaded Dimension. pp. 46–67. St. Martin's Press, New York (1981).

122.

Linklater, A.: Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory. *Review of International Studies*. 12, 301–312 (1986). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500113865>.

123.

Wallerstein, I.: The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. 16, 387–415 (1974). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417500007520>.

124.

Gill, S., Law, D.: Marxism and the World System. In: *The Global Political Economy: Perspectives, Problems, and Policies*. pp. 54–70. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (1988).

125.

Gill, S.R., Law, D.: Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*. 33, 475–199 (1989).

126.

Cox, R.W.: Social Forces, States and World Order. In: *Neorealism and Its Critics*. pp. 204–254. Columbia University Press, New York (1986).

127.

Lenin, V.I.: *Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism: A Popular Outline*. Foreign Languages Press, Peking (1973).

128.

Lenin, V.I.: *Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism: A Popular Outline*,
<https://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1916/imp-hsc/>.

129.

Wallerstein, I.: *The Modern World-System Vol. 1: Capitalist Agriculture and the Origins of the European World-Economy in the Sixteenth Century*. Academic, New York (1974).

130.

Wallerstein, I.: *The Three Instances of Hegemony in the History of the Capitalist World-Economy*. *Comparative Sociology*. 24, 100–108 (1983).
<https://doi.org/10.1163/156854283X00071>.

131.

Chase-Dunn, C.: *Interstate System and Capitalist World-Economy: One Logic or Two?* *International Studies Quarterly*. 25, 19–42 (1981). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600209>.

132.

Santos, T.D.: *The Structure of Dependence*. *American Economic Review*. 60, 231–236 (1970).

133.

Merrington, J.: *Theory and Practice in Gramsci's Marxism*. In: *Western Marxism: A Critical Reader*. pp. 140–175. Verso, London (1978).

134.

Cox, Robert.W.: *Gramsci, Hegemony and International Relations : An Essay in Method*. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 12, 162–175 (1983).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298830120020701>.

135.

Germain, R.D., Kenny, M.: Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review Of International Studies*. 24, (1998).

136.

Murphy, C.N.: Understanding IR: Understanding Gramsci. *Review Of International Studies*. 24, (1998).

137.

Gale, F.: Cave 'Cave! Hic Dragones' : A Neo-Gramscian Deconstruction and Reconstruction of International Regime Theory. *Review of International Political Economy*. 5, 252–283 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1080/096922998347561>.

138.

Gill, S.: *Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993).

139.

Gill, S.: *Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge [England] (1993).

140.

Rupert, M.: *Producing Hegemony: The Politics of Mass Production and American Global Power*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

141.

Morton, Adam David, B., Andreas ;, Bieler, A., Morton, A.D.: The Gordian Knot of Agency-Structure in International Relations: A Neo-Gramscian Perspective. *European Journal of International Relations*. 7, (2001).

142.

Reus-Smit, C.: Constructivism. In: *Theories of International Relations*. pp. 188–212. Palgrave Macmillan (2005).

143.

Wendt, A.: *Anarchy Is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics*. *International Organization*. 46, 391–425 (1992).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027764>.

144.

Hopf, T.: *The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory*. *International Security*. 23, 171–200 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539267>.

145.

Katzenstein, P.J.: *The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics*. Columbia University Press, New York (1996).

146.

Sterling-Folker, J.: *Competing Paradigms or Birds of a Feather? Constructivism and Neoliberal Institutionalism Compared*. *International Studies Quarterly*. 44, 97–119 (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/0020-8833.00150>.

147.

Krebs, R.R., Jackson, P.T.: *Twisting Tongues and Twisting Arms: The Power of Political Rhetoric*. *European Journal of International Relations*. 13, 35–66 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066107074284>.

148.

Hobson, J.M.: *The Enduring Place of Hierarchy in World Politics: Tracing the Social Logics of Hierarchy and Political Change*. *European Journal of International Relations*. 11, 63–98 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066105050137>.

149.

Ruggie, J.G.: What Makes the World Hang Together? Neo-Utilitarianism and the Social Constructivist Challenge. *International Organization*. 52, (1998).

150.

Adler, E.: The Spread of Security Communities: Communities of Practice, Self-Restraint, and NATO's Post--Cold War Transformation. *European Journal of International Relations*. 14, 195–230 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066108089241>.

151.

Autesserre, S.: Hobbes and the Congo: Frames, Local Violence, and International Intervention. *International Organization*. 63, (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818309090080>.

152.

Price, R., Reus-Smit, C.: Dangerous Liaisons? Critical International Theory and Constructivism. *European Journal of International Relations*. 4, (1998).

153.

Devetek, R.: Critical Theory. In: *Theories of International Relations*. pp. 137–160. Palgrave Macmillan (2005).

154.

Edkins, J.: *Poststructuralism & International Relations: Bringing the Political Back In*. Lynne Rienner Publishers, Boulder, Colo (1999).

155.

Foucault, M.: What Is An Author? In: *The Foucault Reader*. pp. 101–120. Penguin, Harmondsworth (1991).

156.

Epstein, C.: *The Power of Words in International Relations: Birth of an Anti-Whaling Discourse*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass (2008).

157.

Grovogui, S.N.: *Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition*. *European Journal of International Relations*. 8, 315–338 (2002).

158.

Said, E.: *The Scope of Orientalism*. In: *Orientalism*. Penguin, Harmondsworth (1991).

159.

Gusterson, H.: *Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination*. In: *People of the Bomb: Portraits of America's Nuclear Complex*. pp. 21–47. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, Minn (2005).

160.

Milliken, Jennifer: *The Study of Discourse in International Relations: A Critique of Research and Methods*. *European Journal of International Relations*. 5, (1999).

161.

ÓTuathail, G.: *Theorizing Practical Geopolitical Reasoning: The Case of the United States' Response to the War in Bosnia*. *Political Geography*. 21, 601–628 (2002).
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-6298\(02\)00009-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-6298(02)00009-4).

162.

Campbell, D.: *Political Prosaics, Transversal Politics and the Anarchical World*. In: *Challenging Boundaries: Global Flows, Territorial Identities*. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, Minn (1996).

163.

Doty, R.L.: Foreign Policy as Social Construction: A Post-Positivist Analysis of U.S. Counterinsurgency Policy in the Philippines. *International Studies Quarterly*. 37, (1993). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600810>.

164.

Ashley, R.K.: The Poverty of Neorealism. *International Organization*. 38, (1984). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300026709>.

165.

Campbell, D.: *Politics Without Principle: Sovereignty, Ethics, and the Narratives of the Gulf War*. Lynne Rienner Publishers, Boulder, Colo (1993).

166.

der Derian, J.: 'The Boundaries of Knowledge and Power in International Relations' In: *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*. In: *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*. Lexington Books, Lexington, Mass (1989).

167.

Neumann, I.B.: The Body of the Diplomat. *European Journal of International Relations*. 14, 671-695 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066108097557>.

168.

De Goede, M.: The Politics of Preemption and the War on Terror in Europe. *European Journal of International Relations*. 14, 161-185 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066107087764>.

169.

Darby, P.: Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 33, (2004).

170.

Krishna, S.: Race, Amnesia, and the Education of International Relations. *Alternatives*. 26, (2001).

171.

Fanon, F., Philcox, R., Sartre, J.-P., Bhabha, H.K., West, C.: *The Wretched of the Earth*. Grove Press, New York (2021).

172.

Darby, P.: Reconfiguring 'The International' Knowledge Machines, Boundaries, and Exclusions. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 28, (2003).

173.

Barkawi, T., Laffey, M.: Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 31, 109-127 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310010601>.

174.

Callinicos, A.: The Actuality of Imperialism. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 31, 319-326 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310020601>.

175.

Shaw, M.: Post-Imperial and Quasi-Imperial: State and Empire in the Global Era. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 31, 327-336 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310020701>.

176.

Slater, D.: Post-Colonial Questions for Global Times. *Review of International Political Economy*. 5, 647-678 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1080/096922998347417>.

177.

Ling, L.H.M.: Hegemony and the Internationalizing State: A Post-colonial Analysis of China's Integration Into Asian Corporatism. *Review of International Political Economy*. 3, 1–26 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692299608434343>.

178.

McClintock, A.: The Angel of Progress: Pitfalls of the Term 'Post-Colonialism'. *Social Text*. (1992). <https://doi.org/10.2307/466219>.

179.

Goldgeier, J.M., McFaul, M.: A Tale of Two Worlds: Core and Periphery in the Post-Cold War Era. *International Organization*. 46, (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027788>.

180.

Aydinli, E., Mathews, J.: Periphery Theorising for a Truly Internationalised Discipline: Spinning IR Theory Out of Anatolia. *Review of International Studies*. 34, (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210508008231>.

181.

True, J.: Feminism. In: *Theories of International Relations*. pp. 213–234. Palgrave Macmillan (2005).

182.

Tickner, J.A.: You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements Between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly*. 41, 611–632 (1997).

183.

Enloe, C.: Are UN Peacekeepers Real Men? and Other Post-Cold War Puzzles. In: *The Morning After: Sexual Politics at the End of the Cold War*. pp. 10–37. University of

California Press, Berkeley (1993).

184.

Enloe, C.: Bananas Militarized and Demilitarized. In: *The Morning After: Sexual Politics at the End of the Cold War*. pp. 102–141. University of California Press, Berkeley (1993).

185.

Stern, M., Zalewski, M.: Feminist Fatigue(s): Reflections on Feminism and Familiar Fables of Militarisation. *Review of International Studies*. 35, (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210509008675>.

186.

Skjelsbæk, I.: Sexual Violence and War: Mapping Out a Complex Relationship. *European Journal of International Relations*. 7,.

187.

Enloe, C.H.: *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif (2000).

188.

Jones, A.: Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 22, (1996).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118649>.

189.

Carver, T., Cochran, M., Squires, J.: Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review Of International Studies*. 24, (1998).

190.

Tickner, J.A.: *Hans Morgenthau's Principles of Political Realism: A Feminist Reformulation*.

Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 17, 429-440 (1988).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170030801>.

191.

Brown, W.: Finding the Man in the State. Feminist Studies. 18, (1992).

192.

Youngs, G.: Feminist International Relations: A Contradiction in Terms? Or: Why Women and Gender Are Essential to Understanding the World 'We' Live In*. International Affairs. 80, 75-87 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2004.00367.x>.

193.

Linklater, A.: Dominant and Destructive Masculinities. International Affairs. 80, (2004).

194.

Carver, T.: War of the Worlds/Invasion of the Body Snatchers. International Affairs (Royal Institute Of International Affairs 1944-). 80, (2004).

195.

Enloe, C.: 'Gender' Is Not Enough: The Need for a Feminist Consciousness. International Affairs. 80, (2004).