

PR5432 Analysing International Politics

View Online



Adler, E. (2008). The Spread of Security Communities: Communities of Practice, Self-Restraint, and NATO's Post--Cold War Transformation. *European Journal of International Relations*, 14(2), 195–230. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066108089241>

Aron, R. (1966). *Peace and War: A Theory of International Relations*. Weidenfeld & Nicolson.

Ashley, R. K. (1984). The Poverty of Neorealism. *International Organization*, 38(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300026709>

Autesserre, S. (2009). Hobbes and the Congo: Frames, Local Violence, and International Intervention. *International Organization*, 63(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818309090080>

Aydinli, E., & Mathews, J. (2008). Periphery Theorising for a Truly Internationalised Discipline: Spinning IR Theory Out of Anatolia. *Review of International Studies*, 34(04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210508008231>

Bagby, L. M. J. (1994). The Use and Abuse of Thucydides in International Relations. *International Organization*, 48(01). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300000849>

Baldwin, D. A. (1993). Neoliberalism, Neorealism, and World Politics. In *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate: Vol. New Directions in World Politics* (pp. 3–28). Columbia University Press.

Barkawi, T., & Laffey, M. (2002). Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 31(1), 109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310010601>

Bellamy, A. J. (2004a). *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press. http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397721050002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

Bellamy, A. J. (2004b). *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press. http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397655180002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

Bellamy, A. J. (2005a). *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press. http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397529850002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

- Bellamy, A. J. (2005b). *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397720990002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670
- Berki, R. N. (1971). On Marxian Thought and the Problem of International Relations. *World Politics*, 24(01), 80–105. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2009707>
- Brown, C. (1995a). International Theory and International Society: The Viability of the Middle Way? *Review of International Studies*, 21(02).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500117619>
- Brown, C. (1995b). International Theory and International Society: The Viability of the Middle Way? *Review of International Studies*, 21(02).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500117619>
- Brown, W. (1992). Finding the Man in the State. *Feminist Studies*, 18(1).
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3178212>
- Bull, H. (1971). Order vs. Justice in International Society. *Political Studies*, 19(3), 269–283.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1971.tb00674.x>
- Bull, H. (1990). The Importance of Grotius in the Study of International Relations. In *Hugo Grotius and International Relations* (pp. 65–94). Clarendon.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13407032680002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670
- Bull, H. (2012). *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics* (4th ed). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Burnham, P. (1994). Open Marxism and Vulgar International Political Economy. *Review of International Political Economy*, 1(2), 221–231.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692299408434277>
- Burnham, P. (1998). The Communist Manifesto as International Relations Theory. In *The Communist Manifesto: New Interpretations*. Edinburgh University Press.
- Buzan, B. (2004a). *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation*. Cambridge University Press.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397655140002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670
- Buzan, B. (2004b). *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation: Vol. Cambridge studies in international relations*. Cambridge University Press.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397655150002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670
- Callinicos, A. (2002). The Actuality of Imperialism. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 31(2), 319–326. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310020601>
- Campbell, D. (1993). *Politics Without Principle: Sovereignty, Ethics, and the Narratives of*

the Gulf War: Vol. Critical perspectives on world politics. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Campbell, D. (1996). Political Prosaics, Transversal Politics and the Anarchical World. In *Challenging Boundaries: Global Flows, Territorial Identities: Vol. Borderlines*. University of Minnesota Press.

Carr, E. H. (1999). The Nature of Politics. In *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond* (3rd ed, pp. 562–566). Allyn and Bacon.

Carr, E. H., & Cox, M. (2001). *The Twenty Years' Crisis, 1919-1939: An Introduction to the Study of International Relations* (2nd ed). Palgrave.

Carver, T. (2004). War of the Worlds/Invasion of the Body Snatchers. *International Affairs* (Royal Institute Of International Affairs 1944-), 80(1).

Carver, T., Cochran, M., & Squires, J. (1998). Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review Of International Studies*, 24(2). <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097523>

Chase-Dunn, C. (1981). Interstate System and Capitalist World-Economy: One Logic or Two? *International Studies Quarterly*, 25(1), 19–42. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600209>

Claude, I. L. (2012). *Power and International Relations*. Random House.

Cox, R. W. (1986). Social Forces, States and World Order. In *Neorealism and Its Critics: Vol. New directions in world politics* (pp. 204–254). Columbia University Press.

Cox, Robert. W. (1983). Gramsci, Hegemony and International Relations : An Essay in Method. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 12(2), 162–175. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298830120020701>

Cutler, C. (1999). Locating 'Authority' in the Global Political Economy. *International Studies Quarterly*, 43(1), 59–81.

Darby, P. (2003). Reconfiguring 'The International' Knowledge Machines, Boundaries, and Exclusions. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 28(1).

Darby, P. (2004). Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*, 33(1).

De Goede, M. (2008). The Politics of Preemption and the War on Terror in Europe. *European Journal of International Relations*, 14(1), 161–185. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066107087764>

der Derian, J. (1989). 'The Boundaries of Knowledge and Power in International Relations' In: *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*. In *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics: Vol. Issues in world politics series*. Lexington Books.

Deudney, D., & Ikenberry, G. J. (1999). The Nature and Sources of Liberal International Order. *Review Of International Studies*, 25(2), 179–196.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097589>

Devetak, R. (2005). Critical Theory. In *Theories of International Relations* (3rd Edition, pp. 137–160). Palgrave Macmillan. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/Open.aspx?id=85685>

Doty, R. L. (1993). Foreign Policy as Social Construction: A Post-Positivist Analysis of U.S. Counterinsurgency Policy in the Philippines. *International Studies Quarterly*, 37(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2600810>

Dougherty, J. E., & Pfaltzgraff, R. L. (2001). *Contending Theories of International Relations: A Comprehensive Survey* (5th ed). Longman.

Doyle, M. W. (1983). Kant, Liberal Legacies, and Foreign Affairs. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*, 12(3), 205–235. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265298>

Doyle, M. W. (1986a). Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*, 80(04), 1151–1169. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1960861>

Doyle, M. W. (1986b). Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*, 80(04), 1151–1169. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1960861>

Doyle, M. W. (1990). Thucydidean Realism. *Review of International Studies*, 16(03). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500112483>

Dunne, T. (1995). International Society: Theoretical Promises Fulfilled? Cooperation and Conflict, 30(2), 125–154. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836795030002002>

Dunne, T., Kurki, M., & Smith, S. (Eds.). (2016). *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity* (Fourth edition). Oxford University Press.

Edkins, J. (1999). *Poststructuralism & International Relations: Bringing the Political Back In: Vol. Critical perspectives on world politics*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Edkins, J., & Zehfuss, M. (2005). Generalising the International. *Review of International Studies*, 31(03). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210505006583>

Enloe, C. (1993a). Are Un Peacekeepers Real Men? and Other Post-Cold War Puzzles. In *The Morning After: Sexual Politics at the End of the Cold War* (pp. 10–37). University of California Press.

Enloe, C. (1993b). Bananas Militarized and Demilitarized. In *The Morning After: Sexual Politics at the End of the Cold War* (pp. 102–141). University of California Press.

Enloe, C. (2004). 'Gender' Is Not Enough: The Need for a Feminist Consciousness. *International Affairs*, 80(1).

http://findit.royalholloway.ac.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/openurl?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft.jtitle=International+Affairs&rft.atitle=%27Gender%27+Is+Not+Enough%3A+The+Need+for+a+Feminist+Consciousness&rft.volume=80&rft.issn=00205850&rft.issue=1&rft.date=2004&rft.aufirst=Cynthia&rft.aulast=Enloe&vid=44ROY_Services_page&institution=44ROY&url_ctx_val=&url_ctx_fmt=null&isServicesPage=true

- Enloe, C. H. (2000). *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. University of California Press.
- Epstein, C. (2008). *The Power of Words in International Relations: Birth of an Anti-Whaling Discourse: Vol. Politics, science, and the environment*. MIT Press.
- Fanon, F., Philcox, R., Sartre, J.-P., Bhabha, H. K., & West, C. (2021). *The Wretched of the Earth* (60th anniversary edition). Grove Press.
- Forde, S. (1992). Varieties of Realism: Thucydides and Machiavelli. *The Journal of Politics*, 54(2), 372–393. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2132031>
- Foucault, M. (1991). What Is An Author? In *The Foucault Reader* (pp. 101–120). Penguin.
- Fukuyama, F. (1989). The End of History? *The National Interest*, 16, 3–18. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24027184>
- Gale, F. (1998). Cave 'Cave! Hic Dragones' : A Neo-Gramscian Deconstruction and Reconstruction of International Regime Theory. *Review of International Political Economy*, 5(2), 252–283. <https://doi.org/10.1080/096922998347561>
- Gamble, A. (1999). Marxism After Communism: Beyond Realism and Historicism. *Review Of International Studies*, 25(5), 27–144.
- Garst, D. (1989). Thucydides and Neorealism. *International Studies Quarterly*, 33(1), 3–27. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600491>
- Genest, M. A. (2004). *Conflict and Cooperation: Evolving Theories of International Relations* (2nd ed). Thomson/Wadsworth.
- Germain, R. D., & Kenny, M. (1998). Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review Of International Studies*, 24(1).
- Gill, S. (1993a). *Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations: Vol. Cambridge studies in international relations*. Cambridge University Press.
- Gill, S. (1993b). *Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations: Vol. Cambridge Studies in International Relations*. Cambridge University Press. http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397720900002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670
- Gill, S., & Law, D. (1988). Marxism and the World System. In *The Global Political Economy: Perspectives, Problems, and Policies* (pp. 54–70). Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Gill, S. R., & Law, D. (1989). Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*, 33(4), 475–199.
- Gills, B. K. (1987). Historical Materialism and International Relations Theory. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 16(2), 265–270. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298870160020301>

- Gilpin, R. (2002a). *The Rise of American Hegemony. In Britain 1846-1914 and the United States 1941-2001*. Ashgate Pub Ltd.
- Gilpin, R. (2002b). *The Rise of American Hegemony. In Two Hegemonies: Britain 1846-1914 and the United States 1941-2001* (pp. 165–182). Ashgate Publishing Ltd.
<https://web.archive.org/web/20130323092826/https://www.mtholyoke.edu/acad/intrel/ipe/gilpin.htm>
- Gilpin, R. G. (1984). The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. *International Organization*, 38(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300026710>
- Gilpin, R. G. (1996). No One Loves a Political Realist. *Security Studies*, 5(3), 3–26.
- Gilpin, R., & Gilpin, J. M. (1987). *The Political Economy of International Relations*. Princeton University Press.
- Goldgeier, J. M., & McFaul, M. (1992). A Tale of Two Worlds: Core and Periphery in the Post-Cold War Era. *International Organization*, 46(02).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027788>
- Grieco, J. M. (1993). Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. In *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate: Vol. New Directions in World Politics* (pp. 116–142). Columbia University Press.
- Grotius, H., & Campbell, A. C. (1814). *On the Laws of War and Peace*.
<https://web.archive.org/web/20171020013519/http://www.constitution.org/gro/djbp.htm>
- Grotius, H., & Neff, S. C. (2012). *Hugo Grotius on the Law of War and Peace* (Student ed). Cambridge University Press.
- Grovogui, S. N. (2002). Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition. *European Journal of International Relations*, 8(3), 315–338.
- Grunberg, I. (1990). Exploring the "Myth" of Hegemonic Stability. *International Organization*, 44(04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300035372>
- Gusterson, H. (2005). Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. In *People of the Bomb: Portraits of America's Nuclear Complex* (pp. 21–47). University of Minnesota Press.
- H. Herz, J. (1957). Rise and Demise of The Territorial State. *World Politics*, 9(4), 473–493.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009421?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents
- Halliday, F. (1994). A Necessary Encounter: Historical Materialism and International Relations. In *Rethinking International Relations*. Macmillan.
- Haslam, J. (2002). *No Virtue Like Necessity: Realist Thought in International Relations Since Machiavelli*. Yale University Press.
- Herz, J. H. (1942). *Power Politics and World Organization*. The American Political Science

Review, 36(6). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1949064>

Hobbes, T. (1999). The Natural Conditions of Mankind. In *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond* (3rd ed, pp. 94–98). Allyn and Bacon.

Hobson, J. M. (2005). The Enduring Place of Hierarchy in World Politics: Tracing the Social Logics of Hierarchy and Political Change. *European Journal of International Relations*, 11(1), 63–98. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066105050137>

Hoffmann, S. (1977). An American Social Science: International Relations. *Daedalus*, 106(3), 41–60. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20024493>

Hoffmann, S. (1987a). An American Social Science: International Relations. In *Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theory and Practice of International Politics* (pp. 219–221). Westview.

Hoffmann, S. (1987b). Liberalism and International Affairs. In *Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theory and Practice of International Politics* (pp. 394–417).

Hopf, T. (1998). The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security*, 23(1), 171–200. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539267>

Howe, P. (1994). The Utopian Realism of E. H. Carr. *Review of International Studies*, 20(3), 277–297. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118078>

Hurrell, A. (2003). *Order and Justice in International Relations: What is at Stake? In Order and Justice in International Relations*. Oxford University Press.

Ikenberry, G. J. (2009a). Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*, 7(1), 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592709090112>

Ikenberry, G. J. (2009b). Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*, 7(01), 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592709090112>

Jackson, R., & Sorensen, G. (2003). *Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches* (Rev. and expanded 2nd ed). Oxford University Press.

James, A. (1989). The Realism of Realism: The State and the Study of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*, 15(03). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500112847>

Jervis, R. (1999). Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security*, 24(1), 42–63. <https://doi.org/10.1162/016228899560040>

Jones, A. (1996). Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*, 22(04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118649>

Kant, I. (1970a). An Answer to the Question: 'What is Enlightenment?'. In *Kant's Political*

- Writings: Vol. Cambridge studies in the history and theory of politics. Cambridge University Press.
- Kant, I. (1970b). Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch. In Kant's Political Writings: Vol. Cambridge studies in the history and theory of politics. Cambridge University Press.
- Katzenstein, P. J. (1996). The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics: Vol. New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press.
- Kegley, C. W., & Blanton, S. L. (2011). World Politics: Trend and Transformation. Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Keohane, R. O. (1984). After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy. Princeton University Press.
- Keohane, R. O. (1986). Neorealism and Its Critics: Vol. New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press.
- Keohane, R. O. (2005). After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy. Princeton University Press.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=5543821>
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (1987). Power and Interdependence Revisited. International Organization, 41(04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027661>
- Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (1989). Power and Interdependence (2nd ed). HarperCollins.
- Kindleberger, C. P. (1981). Dominance and Leadership in the International Economy: Exploitation, Public Goods, and Free Rides. International Studies Quarterly, 25(2).
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2600355>
- Krauthammer, C. (1992). The Unipolar Moment. In Rethinking America's Security: Beyond Cold War to New World Order (1st ed, pp. 313–344). Norton.
- Krebs, R. R., & Jackson, P. T. (2007). Twisting Tongues and Twisting Arms: The Power of Political Rhetoric. European Journal of International Relations, 13(1), 35–66.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066107074284>
- Krishna, S. (2001). Race, Amnesia, and the Education of International Relations. Alternatives, 26(4).
- Lake, D. A. (1993). Leadership, Hegemony, and the International Economy: Naked Emperor or Tattered Monarch With Potential? International Studies Quarterly, 37(4).
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600841>
- Legro, J. W., & Moravcsik, A. (1999). Is Anybody Still a Realist? International Security, 24(2), 5–55. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539248>
- Lenin, V. I. (1963). Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism: A Popular Outline. Progress Publishers. <https://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1916/imp-hsc/>

Lenin, V. I. (1973a). *Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism : A Popular Outline*. Foreign Languages Press.

Lenin, V. I. (1973b). *Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism : A Popular Outline*. Foreign Languages Press.

Ling, L. H. M. (1996). Hegemony and the Internationalizing State: A Post-colonial Analysis of China's Integration Into Asian Corporatism. *Review of International Political Economy*, 3(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09692299608434343>

Linklater, A. (1986a). Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory. *Review of International Studies*, 12(04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500113865>

Linklater, A. (1986b). Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory. *Review of International Studies*, 12(4), 301–312. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500113865>

Linklater, A. (1997). The Transformation of Political Community: E. H. Carr, Critical Theory and International Relations. *Review Of International Studies*, 23(3).

Linklater, A. (2004). Dominant and Destructive Masculinities. *International Affairs*, 80(1). http://findit.royalholloway.ac.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/openurl?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft.jtitle=International+Affairs&rft.atitle=Dominant+and+Destructive+Masculinities&rft.volume=80&rft.issn=00205850&rft.issue=1&rft.date=2004&rft.aufirst=Andrew&rft.aulast=Linklater&vid=44ROY_Services_page&institution=44ROY&url_ctx_val=&url_ctx_fmt=null&isServicesPage=true

Linklater, A. (2005). Marxism. In *Theories of International Relations* (3rd Edition, pp. 110–136). Palgrave Macmillan. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/Open.aspx?id=85685>

Lynch, C. (1994). E.H. Carr, International Relations Theory, and the Societal Origins of International Legal Norms. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 23(3), 589–619. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298940230030801>

Macchiavelli, N. (1996). Excerpts from 'The Prince' in *Classics of International Relations*. In *Classics of International Relations* (3rd ed, pp. 15–20). Prentice Hall.

Machiavelli, N., & Marriott, W. K. (1505). *The Prince*. <https://web.archive.org/web/20060101054818/http://www.ilt.columbia.edu/publications/machiavelli.html>

MacLean, J. (1981). Marxist Epistemology, Explanations of 'Change' and the Study of International Relations. In *Change and the Study of International Relations: The Evaded Dimension* (pp. 46–67). St. Martin's Press.

Maclean, J. (1988). Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 17(2), 295–319. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170021201>

Marx, K., Engels, F., & Tucker, R. C. (1978a). Economic and Philosophic Manuscript of 1844. In *The Marx-Engels Reader* (2d ed, pp. 66–125). Norton.

- Marx, K., Engels, F., & Tucker, R. C. (1978b). Wage, Labour and Capital. In *The Marx-Engels Reader* (2d ed, pp. 203–217). Norton.
- Marx, K., Engels, F., & Tucker, R. C. (1978c). Wage, Labour and Capital. In *The Marx-Engels Reader* (2d ed, pp. 203–217). Norton.
- Marx, K., & Tucker, R. C. (1978a). Economic and Philosophic Manuscript of 1844. In *The Marx-Engels Reader* (2d ed, pp. 66–125). Norton.
- Marx, K., & Tucker, R. C. (1978b). Theories of Surplus Value. In *The Marx-Engels Reader* (2d ed, pp. 443–468). Norton.
- Mastanduno, M. (1997). Preserving the Unipolar Moment: Realist Theories and U.S. Grand Strategy After the Cold War. *International Security*, 21(4). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539283>
- McClintock, A. (1992). The Angel of Progress: Pitfalls of the Term 'Post-Colonialism'. *Social Text*, 31/32. <https://doi.org/10.2307/466219>
- McKeown, T. J. (1983). Hegemonic Stability Theory and 19th Century Tariff Levels in Europe. *International Organization*, 37(01). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300004203>
- Mearsheimer, J. J. (1994). The False Promise of International Institutions. *International Security*, 19(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2539078>
- Mearsheimer, J. J. (2005). E.H. Carr vs. Idealism: The Battle Rages On. *International Relations*, 19(2), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117805052810>
- Merrington, J. (1978). Theory and Practice in Gramsci's Marxism. In *Western Marxism: A Critical Reader* (pp. 140–175). Verso.
- Milliken, Jennifer. (1999). The Study of Discourse in International Relations: A Critique of Research and Methods. *European Journal of International Relations*, 5(2).
- Milner, H. (1992). International Theories of Cooperation Among Nations: Strengths and Weaknesses. *World Politics*, 44(03), 466–496. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2010546>
- Modelski, G. (1978). The Long Cycle of Global Politics and the Nation-State. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 20(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417500008914>
- Moravcsik, A. (1997). Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics. *International Organization*, 52(1). <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601333>
- Morgenthau, H. (1948). The Political Science of E. H. Carr. *World Politics*, 1(1), 127–134. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2009162>
- Morgenthau, H. J. (1974). *Scientific Man vs. Power Politics: Vol. Midway reprint*. University of Chicago Press.
- Morgenthau, H. J. (2006a). A Realist Theory of International Politics. In *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace* (7th ed). McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

- Morgenthau, H. J. (2006b). *Different Methods of the Balance of Power*. In *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace* (7th ed). McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Morgenthau, H. J. (2006c). *The Balance of Power*. In *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace* (7th ed). McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Morton, Adam David, B., Andreas ;, Bieler, A., & Morton, A. D. (2001). The Gordian Knot of Agency-Structure in International Relations: A Neo-Gramscian Perspective. *European Journal of International Relations*, 7(1).
- Murphy, C. N. (1998). Understanding IR: Understanding Gramsci. *Review Of International Studies*, 24(3).
- Neumann, I. B. (2008). The Body of the Diplomat. *European Journal of International Relations*, 14(4), 671–695. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066108097557>
- Niebuhr, R. (2005). *Moral Man and Immoral Society: A Study in Ethics and Politics: Vol. Continuum impacts*. Continuum.
- ÓTuathail, G. (2002). Theorizing Practical Geopolitical Reasoning: The Case of the United States' Response to the War in Bosnia. *Political Geography*, 21(5), 601–628. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-6298\(02\)00009-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-6298(02)00009-4)
- Palan, R. P., & Blair, B. M. (1993). On the Idealist Origins of the Realist Theory of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*, 19(04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118273>
- Paul, T. V. (2016). *Recasting Statecraft - International Relations and Strategies of Peaceful Change: Vol. Presidential Address International Studies Association (ISA), 57th Annual Convention*. http://www.tvpaul.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/ISA_Presidential_Address.pdf
- Powell, R. (1994). Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate. *International Organization*, 48(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300028204>
- Price, R., & Reus-Smit, C. (1998). Dangerous Liaisons? Critical International Theory and Constructivism. *European Journal of International Relations*, 4(3).
- Reus-Smit, C. (2005). Constructivism. In *Theories of International Relations* (3rd Edition, pp. 188–212). Palgrave Macmillan. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/Open.aspx?id=85685>
- Rosecrance, R. (1987). Long Cycle Theory and International Relations. *International Organization*, 41(2), 283–301. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027478>
- Rosenberg, J. (1996). Isaac Deutscher and the Lost History of International Relations. *New Left Review*, 215. <https://newleftreview.org/issues/I215/articles/justin-rosenberg-isaac-deutscher-and-the-lost-history-of-international-relations>
- Ruggie, J. G. (1982). International Regimes, Transactions, and Change: Embedded Liberalism in the Postwar Economic Order. *International Organization*, 36(02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300018993>

Ruggie, J. G. (1998). What Makes the World Hang Together? Neo-Utilitarianism and the Social Constructivist Challenge. *International Organization*, 52(4).

Rupert, M. (1995). *Producing Hegemony: The Politics of Mass Production and American Global Power: Vol. Cambridge studies in international relations*. Cambridge University Press.

Said, E. (1991). *The Scope of Orientalism*. In *Orientalism*. Penguin.

Santos, T. D. (1970). The Structure of Dependence. *American Economic Review*, 60(2), 231-236.

Shaw, M. (2002). Post-Imperial and Quasi-Imperial: State and Empire in the Global Era. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 31(2), 327-336.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310020701>

Simmons, B. A., & Hopkins, D. J. (2005). The Constraining Power of International Treaties: Theory and Methods. *American Political Science Review*, 99(04).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055405051920>

Skjelsbæk, I. (n.d.). Sexual Violence and War: Mapping Out a Complex Relationship. *European Journal of International Relations*, 7(2).
http://findit.royalholloway.ac.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/openurl?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft.jtitle=European+Journal+of+International+Relations&rft.atitle=Sexual+Violence+and+War%3A+Mapping+Out+a+Complex+Relationship&rft.volume=7&rft.issn=13540661&rft.issue=2&rft.aufirst=Inger&rft.aulast=Skjelsb%C3%A6k&vid=44ROY_Services_page&institution=44ROY&url_ctx_val=&url_ctx_fmt=null&isServicesPage=true

Slater, D. (1998). Post-Colonial Questions for Global Times. *Review of International Political Economy*, 5(4), 647-678. <https://doi.org/10.1080/096922998347417>

Slaughter, A.-M. (2004). *A New World Order*. Princeton University Press.

Smith, M. J. (1986). *Realist Thought From Weber to Kissinger*. London.

Snidal, D. (1985). The Limits of Hegemonic Stability Theory. *International Organization*, 39(04). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002081830002703X>

Sterling-Folker, J. (2000). Competing Paradigms or Birds of a Feather? Constructivism and Neoliberal Institutionalism Compared. *International Studies Quarterly*, 44(1), 97-119.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/0020-8833.00150>

Stern, M., & Zalewski, M. (2009). Feminist Fatigue(s): Reflections on Feminism and Familiar Fables of Militarisation. *Review of International Studies*, 35(03).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210509008675>

Thucydides. (1954). The Melian Dialogue. In *History of the Peloponnesian war: Vol. Penguin classics* (pp. 400-408). Penguin.

Thucydides. (1996). The Melian Dialogue. In *Classics of International Relations* (3rd Edition,

pp. 84–90). Prentice Hall.

Thucydides, & Crawley, R. (n.d.). History of the Peloponnesian War.
<http://people.ucalgary.ca/~vandersp/Courses/texts/thucydi1.html>

Tickner, J. A. (1988). Hans Morgenthau's Principles of Political Realism: A Feminist Reformulation. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 17(3), 429–440.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170030801>

Tickner, J. A. (1997). You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements Between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly*, 41(4), 611–632.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

True, J. (2005). Feminism. In *Theories of International Relations* (3rd Edition, pp. 213–234). Palgrave Macmillan. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/Open.aspx?id=85685>

Vasquez, J. A. (1998). *The Power of Power Politics: From Classical Realism to Neotraditionalism: Vol. Cambridge studies in international relations*. Cambridge University Press.

Vincent, R. J. (1988). Hedley Bull and Order in International Politics. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*, 17(2), 195–213. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170020701>

Viotti, P. R., & Kauppi, M. V. (1999). Realism: The State, Power and the Balance of Power. In *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond* (3rd ed, pp. 35–67). Allyn and Bacon.

Wallerstein, I. (1974a). *The Modern World-System Vol. 1: Capitalist Agriculture and the Origins of the European World-Economy in the Sixteenth Century: Vol. Studies in Social Discontinuity*. Academic.

Wallerstein, I. (1974b). The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 16(4), 387–415. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417500007520>

Wallerstein, I. (1983). The Three Instances of Hegemony in the History of the Capitalist World-Economy. *Comparative Sociology*, 24(1), 100–108.
<https://doi.org/10.1163/156854283X00071>

Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill.

Waltz, K. N. (2001). *Man, the State, and War: A Theoretical Analysis* (New ed). Columbia University Press.

Wendt, A. (1992). Anarchy Is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization*, 46(2), 391–425.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027764>

Wilson, P. (1998). The Myth of the 'First Great Debate'. *Review of International Studies*, 24(4), 1–15. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097558>

Wilson, W. (2014). The World Must be Made Safe for Democracy: The Fourteen Points. In K. A. Mingst & J. L. Snyder (eds.) (Eds.), *Essential Readings in World Politics: Vol. The Norton series in world politics* (Fifth Edition, pp. 4–6). W.W. Norton & Company.

Wolfers, A. (1962). *Discord and Collaboration: Essays on International Politics*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Youngs, G. (2004). Feminist International Relations: A Contradiction in Terms? Or: Why Women and Gender Are Essential to Understanding the World 'We' Live In*. *International Affairs*, 80(1), 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2004.00367.x>

Zacher, M. W., & Matthew, R. A. (1995). Liberal International Theory: Common Threads, Divergent Strands. In *Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neo-Liberal Challenge* (pp. 107–150). Macmillan.