

PR5432 Analysing International Politics

View Online



1.

Carr EH, Cox M. *The Twenty Years' Crisis, 1919-1939: An Introduction to the Study of International Relations*. 2nd ed. Palgrave; 2001.

2.

Mearsheimer JJ. E.H. Carr vs. Idealism: The Battle Rages On. *International Relations*. 2005;19(2):139-152. doi:10.1177/0047117805052810

3.

Paul TV. *Recasting Statecraft - International Relations and Strategies of Peaceful Change*. 2016; Presidential Address International Studies Association (ISA), 57th Annual Convention. http://www.tvpaul.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/ISA_Presidential_Address.pdf

4.

Howe P. The Utopian Realism of E. H. Carr. *Review of International Studies*. 1994;20(3):277-297. doi:10.1017/S0260210500118078

5.

Morgenthau H. The Political Science of E. H. Carr. *World Politics*. 1948;1(1):127-134. doi:10.2307/2009162

6.

Wilson, P. The Myth of the 'First Great Debate'. *Review of International Studies*. 1998;24(4):1-15. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097558>

7.

Ikenberry GJ. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2009;7(1):71-87. doi:10.1017/S1537592709090112

8.

Keohane RO, Nye JS. *Power and Interdependence*. 2nd ed. HarperCollins; 1989.

9.

Keohane RO, Nye JS. *Power and Interdependence Revisited*. *International Organization*. 1987;41(04). doi:10.1017/S0020818300027661

10.

Doyle MW. Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 1986;80(04):1151-1169. doi:10.2307/1960861

11.

Deudney D, Ikenberry GJ. The Nature and Sources of Liberal International Order. *Review Of International Studies*. 1999;25(2):179-196. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097589>

12.

Doyle MW. Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 1986;80(04):1151-1169. doi:10.2307/1960861

13.

Fukuyama F. The End of History? *The National Interest*. 1989;(16):3-18. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24027184>

14.

Ikenberry GJ. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*. 2009;7(01):71-87. doi:10.1017/S1537592709090112

15.

Slaughter AM. *A New World Order*. Princeton University Press; 2004.

16.

Moravcsik A. Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics. *International Organization*. 1997;52(1). <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2601333>

17.

Zacher MW, Matthew RA. Liberal International Theory: Common Threads, Divergent Strands. In: *Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neo-Liberal Challenge*. Macmillan; 1995:107-150.

18.

Wilson W. The World Must be Made Safe for Democracy: The Fourteen Points. In: Mingst KA, Snyder (eds.) JL, eds. *Essential Readings in World Politics*. Vol The Norton series in world politics. Fifth Edition. W.W. Norton & Company; 2014:4-6.

19.

Kant I. An Answer to the Question: 'What is Enlightenment?'. In: *Kant's Political Writings*. Vol Cambridge studies in the history and theory of politics. Cambridge University Press; 1970.

20.

Kant I. Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch. In: *Kant's Political Writings*. Vol Cambridge studies in the history and theory of politics. Cambridge University Press; 1970.

21.

Grieco JM. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. In: Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate. Vol New Directions in World Politics. Columbia University Press; 1993:116-142.

22.

Baldwin DA. Neoliberalism, Neorealism, and World Politics. In: Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate. Vol New Directions in World Politics. Columbia University Press; 1993:3-28.

23.

Hoffmann S. Liberalism and International Affairs. In: Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theory and Practice of International Politics. ; 1987:394-417.

24.

Doyle MW. Kant, Liberal Legacies, and Foreign Affairs. Philosophy & Public Affairs. 1983;12(3):205-235. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2265298>

25.

Genest MA. Conflict and Cooperation: Evolving Theories of International Relations. 2nd ed. Thomson/Wadsworth; 2004.

26.

Kegley CW, Blanton SL. World Politics: Trend and Transformation. Wadsworth Cengage Learning; 2011.

27.

Jackson R, Sorensen G. Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches. Rev. and expanded 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2003.

28.

Morgenthau HJ. A Realist Theory of International Politics. In: *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. 7th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education; 2006.

29.

Morgenthau HJ. The Balance of Power. In: *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. 7th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education; 2006.

30.

Morgenthau HJ. Different Methods of the Balance of Power. In: *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. 7th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education; 2006.

31.

Forde S. Varieties of Realism: Thucydides and Machiavelli. *The Journal of Politics*. 1992;54(2):372-393. doi:10.2307/2132031

32.

Waltz KN. *Man, the State, and War: A Theoretical Analysis*. New ed. Columbia University Press; 2001.

33.

Morgenthau HJ. *Scientific Man vs. Power Politics*. Vol Midway reprint. University of Chicago Press; 1974.

34.

Niebuhr R. *Moral Man and Immoral Society: A Study in Ethics and Politics*. Vol Continuum impacts. Continuum; 2005.

35.

Claude IL. *Power and International Relations*. Random House; 2012.

36.

Aron R. *Peace and War: A Theory of International Relations*. Weidenfeld & Nicolson; 1966.

37.

Palan RP, Blair BM. On the Idealist Origins of the Realist Theory of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 1993;19(04). doi:10.1017/S0260210500118273

38.

Viotti PR, Kauppi MV. Realism: The State, Power and the Balance of Power. In: *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond*. 3rd ed. Allyn and Bacon; 1999:35-67.

39.

Carr EH. The Nature of Politics. In: *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond*. 3rd ed. Allyn and Bacon; 1999:562-566.

40.

Dougherty JE, Pfaltzgraff RL. *Contending Theories of International Relations: A Comprehensive Survey*. 5th ed. Longman; 2001.

41.

Vasquez JA. *The Power of Power Politics: From Classical Realism to Neotraditionalism*. Vol Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge University Press; 1998.

42.

Haslam J. *No Virtue Like Necessity: Realist Thought in International Relations Since Machiavelli*. Yale University Press; 2002.

43.

Smith MJ. *Realist Thought From Weber to Kissinger*. London; 1986.

44.

Doyle MW. Thucydidean Realism. *Review of International Studies*. 1990;16(03).
doi:10.1017/S0260210500112483

45.

Garst D. Thucydides and Neorealism. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1989;33(1):3-27.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600491>

46.

Bagby LMJ. The Use and Abuse of Thucydides in International Relations. *International Organization*. 1994;48(01). doi:10.1017/S0020818300000849

47.

Thucydides. The Melian Dialogue. In: *History of the Peloponnesian War*. Vol Penguin classics. Penguin; 1954:400-408.

48.

Thucydides. The Melian Dialogue. In: *Classics of International Relations*. 3rd Edition. Prentice Hall; 1996:84-90.

49.

Thucydides, Crawley R. *History of the Peloponnesian War*.
<http://people.ucalgary.ca/~vandersp/Courses/texts/thucydi1.html>

50.

Macchiavelli N. Excerpts from 'The Prince' in Classics of International Relations. In: Classics of International Relations. 3rd ed. Prentice Hall; 1996:15-20.

51.

Machiavelli N, Marriott WK. The Prince. Published 1505.
<https://web.archive.org/web/20060101054818/http://www.ilt.columbia.edu/publications/machiavelli.html>

52.

Hobbes T. The Natural Conditions of Mankind. In: International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond. 3rd ed. Allyn and Bacon; 1999:94-98.

53.

Gilpin RG. The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. International Organization. 1984;38(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818300026710

54.

Gilpin RG. No One Loves a Political Realist. Security Studies. 1996;5(3):3-26.

55.

Herz JH. Power Politics and World Organization. The American Political Science Review. 1942;36(6). doi:10.2307/1949064

56.

H. Herz J. Rise and Demise of The Territorial State. World Politics. 1957;9(4):473-493.
http://www.jstor.org/stable/2009421?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

57.

Hoffmann S. An American Social Science: International Relations. *Daedalus*. 1977;106(3):41-60. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20024493>

58.

Hoffmann S. An American Social Science: International Relations. In: *Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theory and Practice of International Politics*. Westview; 1987:219-221.

59.

James A. The Realism of Realism: The State and the Study of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 1989;15(03). doi:10.1017/S0260210500112847

60.

Wolfers A. *Discord and Collaboration: Essays on International Politics*. Johns Hopkins University Press; 1962.

61.

Waltz KN. *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill; 1979.

62.

Keohane RO. *Neorealism and Its Critics*. Vol New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press; 1986.

63.

Rosecrance R. Long Cycle Theory and International Relations. *International Organization*. 1987;41(2):283-301. doi:10.1017/S0020818300027478

64.

Dunne T, Kurki M, Smith S, eds. *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*.

Fourth edition. Oxford University Press; 2016.

65.

Powell R. Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate. *International Organization*. 1994;48(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818300028204

66.

Modelski G. The Long Cycle of Global Politics and the Nation-State. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. 1978;20(02). doi:10.1017/S0010417500008914

67.

Krauthammer C. The Unipolar Moment. In: *Rethinking America's Security: Beyond Cold War to New World Order*. 1st ed. Norton; 1992:313-344.

68.

Mastanduno M. Preserving the Unipolar Moment: Realist Theories and U.S. Grand Strategy After the Cold War. *International Security*. 1997;21(4). doi:10.2307/2539283

69.

Keohane RO. *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton University Press; 2005.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=5543821>

70.

Keohane RO. *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton University Press; 1984.

71.

Mearsheimer JJ. The False Promise of International Institutions. *International Security*. 1994;19(3). doi:10.2307/2539078

72.

Legro JW, Moravcsik A. Is Anybody Still a Realist? *International Security*. 1999;24(2):5-55.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539248>

73.

Jervis R. Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security*. 1999;24(1):42-63. doi:10.1162/016228899560040

74.

Gilpin R. The Rise of American Hegemony. In: *Britain 1846-1914 and the United States 1941-2001*. Ashgate Pub Ltd; 2002.

75.

Gilpin R. The Rise of American Hegemony. In: *Two Hegemonies: Britain 1846-1914 and the United States 1941-2001*. Ashgate Publishing Ltd.; 2002:165-182.
<https://web.archive.org/web/20130323092826/https://www.mtholyoke.edu/acad/intrel/ipe/gilpin.htm>

76.

Grunberg I. Exploring the "Myth" of Hegemonic Stability. *International Organization*. 1990;44(04). doi:10.1017/S0020818300035372

77.

Ruggie JG. International Regimes, Transactions, and Change: Embedded Liberalism in the Postwar Economic Order. *International Organization*. 1982;36(02).
doi:10.1017/S0020818300018993

78.

Milner H. International Theories of Cooperation Among Nations: Strengths and Weaknesses. *World Politics*. 1992;44(03):466-496. doi:10.2307/2010546

79.

Simmons BA, Hopkins DJ. The Constraining Power of International Treaties: Theory and Methods. *American Political Science Review*. 2005;99(04).
doi:10.1017/S0003055405051920

80.

Kindleberger CP. Dominance and Leadership in the International Economy: Exploitation, Public Goods, and Free Rides. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1981;25(2).
doi:10.2307/2600355

81.

Snidal D. The Limits of Hegemonic Stability Theory. *International Organization*. 1985;39(04). doi:10.1017/S002081830002703X

82.

Lake DA. Leadership, Hegemony, and the International Economy: Naked Emperor or Tattered Monarch With Potential? *International Studies Quarterly*. 1993;37(4).
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600841>

83.

McKeown TJ. Hegemonic Stability Theory and 19th Century Tariff Levels in Europe. *International Organization*. 1983;37(01). doi:10.1017/S0020818300004203

84.

Bull H. *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics*. 4th ed. Palgrave Macmillan; 2012.

85.

Edkins J, Zehfuss M. Generalising the International. *Review of International Studies*. 2005;31(03). doi:10.1017/S0260210505006583

86.

Dunne T. International Society: Theoretical Promises Fulfilled? Cooperation and Conflict. 1995;30(2):125-154. doi:10.1177/0010836795030002002

87.

Bellamy AJ. International Society and Its Critics. Oxford University Press; 2005.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397529850002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

88.

Bellamy AJ. International Society and Its Critics. Oxford University Press; 2004.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397721050002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

89.

Bull H. Order vs. Justice in International Society. Political Studies. 1971;19(3):269-283.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.1971.tb00674.x

90.

Hurrell A. Order and Justice in International Relations: What is at Stake? In: Order and Justice in International Relations. Oxford University Press; 2003.

91.

Bellamy AJ. International Society and Its Critics. Oxford University Press; 2005.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397720990002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

92.

Bellamy AJ. International Society and Its Critics. Oxford University Press; 2004.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397720990002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

age_service_id=13397655180002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

93.

Brown C. International Theory and International Society: The Viability of the Middle Way? Review of International Studies. 1995;21(02). doi:10.1017/S0260210500117619

94.

Brown C. International Theory and International Society: The Viability of the Middle Way? Review of International Studies. 1995;21(02). doi:10.1017/S0260210500117619

95.

Linklater, A. The Transformation of Political Community: E. H. Carr, Critical Theory and International Relations. Review Of International Studies. 1997;23(3).

96.

Lynch C. E.H. Carr, International Relations Theory, and the Societal Origins of International Legal Norms. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 1994;23(3):589-619. doi:10.1177/03058298940230030801

97.

Vincent RJ. Hedley Bull and Order in International Politics. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 1988;17(2):195-213. doi:10.1177/03058298880170020701

98.

Buzan B. From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation. Vol Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge University Press; 2004.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397655150002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

99.

Buzan B. *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation*. Cambridge University Press; 2004.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397655140002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

100.

Grotius H, Neff SC. *Hugo Grotius on the Law of War and Peace*. Student ed. Cambridge University Press; 2012.

101.

Grotius H, Campbell AC. *On the Laws of War and Peace.*; 1814.
<https://web.archive.org/web/20171020013519/http://www.constitution.org/gro/djbp.htm>

102.

Bull H. *The Importance of Grotius in the Study of International Relations*. In: *Hugo Grotius and International Relations*. Clarendon; 1990:65-94.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13407032680002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

103.

Linklater A. *Marxism*. In: *Theories of International Relations*. 3rd Edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005:110-136. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/Open.aspx?id=85685>

104.

Gilpin R, Gilpin JM. *The Political Economy of International Relations*. Princeton University Press; 1987.

105.

Gills BK. *Historical Materialism and International Relations Theory*. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 1987;16(2):265-270. doi:10.1177/03058298870160020301

106.

Marx K, Engels F, Tucker RC. Economic and Philosophic Manuscript of 1844. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. 2d ed. Norton; 1978:66-125.

107.

Marx K, Engels F, Tucker RC. Wage, Labour and Capital. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. 2d ed. Norton; 1978:203-217.

108.

Linklater A. Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory. *Review of International Studies*. 1986;12(04). doi:10.1017/S0260210500113865

109.

Maclean J. Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 1988;17(2):295-319. doi:10.1177/03058298880170021201

110.

Burnham P. Open Marxism and Vulgar International Political Economy. *Review of International Political Economy*. 1994;1(2):221-231. doi:10.1080/09692299408434277

111.

Marx K, Tucker RC. Economic and Philosophic Manuscript of 1844. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. 2d ed. Norton; 1978:66-125.

112.

Marx K, Engels F, Tucker RC. Wage, Labour and Capital. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. 2d ed. Norton; 1978:203-217.

113.

Marx K, Tucker RC. Theories of Surplus Value. In: The Marx-Engels Reader. 2d ed. Norton; 1978:443-468.

114.

Lenin VI. Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism : A Popular Outline. Foreign Languages Press; 1973.

115.

Rosenberg J. Isaac Deutscher and the Lost History of International Relations. New Left Review. 1996;(215).
<https://newleftreview.org/issues/l215/articles/justin-rosenberg-isaac-deutscher-and-the-lost-history-of-international-relations>

116.

Berki RN. On Marxian Thought and the Problem of International Relations. World Politics. 1971;24(01):80-105. doi:10.2307/2009707

117.

Burnham P. The Communist Manifesto as International Relations Theory. In: The Communist Manifesto: New Interpretations. Edinburgh University Press; 1998.

118.

Halliday F. A Necessary Encounter: Historical Materialism and International Relations. In: Rethinking International Relations. Macmillan; 1994.

119.

Gamble A. Marxism After Communism: Beyond Realism and Historicism. Review Of International Studies. 1999;25(5):27-144.

120.

Cutler C. Locating 'Authority' in the Global Political Economy. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1999;43(1):59-81.

121.

MacLean J. Marxist Epistemology, Explanations of 'Change' and the Study of International Relations. In: *Change and the Study of International Relations: The Evaded Dimension*. St. Martin's Press; 1981:46-67.

122.

Linklater A. Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory. *Review of International Studies*. 1986;12(4):301-312. doi:10.1017/S0260210500113865

123.

Wallerstein I. The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. 1974;16(4):387-415. doi:10.1017/S0010417500007520

124.

Gill S, Law D. Marxism and the World System. In: *The Global Political Economy: Perspectives, Problems, and Policies*. Johns Hopkins University Press; 1988:54-70.

125.

Gill SR, Law D. Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1989;33(4):475-199.

126.

Cox RW. Social Forces, States and World Order. In: *Neorealism and Its Critics*. Vol New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press; 1986:204-254.

127.

Lenin VI. Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism : A Popular Outline. Foreign Languages Press; 1973.

128.

Lenin VI. Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism: A Popular Outline. Published 1963.
<https://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1916/imp-hsc/>

129.

Wallerstein I. The Modern World-System Vol. 1: Capitalist Agriculture and the Origins of the European World-Economy in the Sixteenth Century. Vol Studies in Social Discontinuity. Academic; 1974.

130.

Wallerstein I. The Three Instances of Hegemony in the History of the Capitalist World-Economy. Comparative Sociology. 1983;24(1):100-108.
doi:10.1163/156854283X00071

131.

Chase-Dunn C. Interstate System and Capitalist World-Economy: One Logic or Two? International Studies Quarterly. 1981;25(1):19-42. doi:10.2307/2600209

132.

Santos TD. The Structure of Dependence. American Economic Review. 1970;60(2):231-236.

133.

Merrington J. Theory and Practice in Gramsci's Marxism. In: Western Marxism: A Critical Reader. Verso; 1978:140-175.

134.

Cox RobertW. Gramsci, Hegemony and International Relations : An Essay in Method. Millennium - Journal of International Studies. 1983;12(2):162-175.
doi:10.1177/03058298830120020701

135.

Germain RD, Kenny M. Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. Review Of International Studies. 1998;24(1).

136.

Murphy CN. Understanding IR: Understanding Gramsci. Review Of International Studies. 1998;24(3).

137.

Gale F. Cave 'Cave! Hic Dragones' : A Neo-Gramscian Deconstruction and Reconstruction of International Regime Theory. Review of International Political Economy. 1998;5(2):252-283. doi:10.1080/096922998347561

138.

Gill S. Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations. Vol Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge University Press; 1993.

139.

Gill S. Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations. Vol Cambridge Studies in International Relations. Cambridge University Press; 1993.
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13397720900002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

140.

Rupert M. Producing Hegemony: The Politics of Mass Production and American Global Power. Vol Cambridge studies in international relations. Cambridge University Press; 1995.

141.

Morton, Adam David B Andreas ;, Bieler A, Morton AD. The Gordian Knot of Agency-Structure in International Relations: A Neo-Gramscian Perspective. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2001;7(1).

142.

Reus-Smit C. Constructivism. In: *Theories of International Relations*. 3rd Edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005:188-212. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/Open.aspx?id=85685>

143.

Wendt A. Anarchy Is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics. *International Organization*. 1992;46(2):391-425. doi:10.1017/S0020818300027764

144.

Hopf T. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security*. 1998;23(1):171-200. doi:10.2307/2539267

145.

Katzenstein PJ. *The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics*. Vol New directions in world politics. Columbia University Press; 1996.

146.

Sterling-Folker J. Competing Paradigms or Birds of a Feather? Constructivism and Neoliberal Institutionalism Compared. *International Studies Quarterly*. 2000;44(1):97-119. doi:10.1111/0020-8833.00150

147.

Krebs RR, Jackson PT. Twisting Tongues and Twisting Arms: The Power of Political Rhetoric. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2007;13(1):35-66. doi:10.1177/1354066107074284

148.

Hobson JM. The Enduring Place of Hierarchy in World Politics: Tracing the Social Logics of Hierarchy and Political Change. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2005;11(1):63-98. doi:10.1177/1354066105050137

149.

Ruggie, JG. What Makes the World Hang Together? Neo-Utilitarianism and the Social Constructivist Challenge. *International Organization*. 1998;52(4).

150.

Adler E. The Spread of Security Communities: Communities of Practice, Self-Restraint, and NATO's Post--Cold War Transformation. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2008;14(2):195-230. doi:10.1177/1354066108089241

151.

Autesserre S. Hobbes and the Congo: Frames, Local Violence, and International Intervention. *International Organization*. 2009;63(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818309090080

152.

Price R, Reus-Smit C. Dangerous Liaisons? Critical International Theory and Constructivism. *European Journal of International Relations*. 1998;4(3).

153.

Devetek R. Critical Theory. In: *Theories of International Relations*. 3rd Edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005:137-160. <http://lib.myilibrary.com/Open.aspx?id=85685>

154.

Edkins J. *Poststructuralism & International Relations: Bringing the Political Back In*. Vol Critical perspectives on world politics. Lynne Rienner Publishers; 1999.

155.

Foucault M. What Is An Author? In: The Foucault Reader. Penguin; 1991:101-120.

156.

Epstein C. The Power of Words in International Relations: Birth of an Anti-Whaling Discourse. Vol Politics, science, and the environment. MIT Press; 2008.

157.

Grovogui SN. Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition. European Journal of International Relations. 2002;8(3):315-338.

158.

Said E. The Scope of Orientalism. In: Orientalism. Penguin; 1991.

159.

Gusterson H. Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. In: People of the Bomb: Portraits of America's Nuclear Complex. University of Minnesota Press; 2005:21-47.

160.

Milliken, Jennifer. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: A Critique of Research and Methods. European Journal of International Relations. 1999;5(2).

161.

ÓTuathail G. Theorizing Practical Geopolitical Reasoning: The Case of the United States' Response to the War in Bosnia. Political Geography. 2002;21(5):601-628.
doi:10.1016/S0962-6298(02)00009-4

162.

Campbell D. Political Prosaics, Transversal Politics and the Anarchical World. In: Challenging Boundaries: Global Flows, Territorial Identities. Vol Borderlines. University of Minnesota Press; 1996.

163.

Doty RL. Foreign Policy as Social Construction: A Post-Positivist Analysis of U.S. Counterinsurgency Policy in the Philippines. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1993;37(3). doi:10.2307/2600810

164.

Ashley RK. The Poverty of Neorealism. *International Organization*. 1984;38(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818300026709

165.

Campbell D. Politics Without Principle: Sovereignty, Ethics, and the Narratives of the Gulf War. Vol Critical perspectives on world politics. Lynne Rienner Publishers; 1993.

166.

der Derian J. 'The Boundaries of Knowledge and Power in International Relations' In: *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*. In: *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*. Vol Issues in world politics series. Lexington Books; 1989.

167.

Neumann IB. The Body of the Diplomat. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2008;14(4):671-695. doi:10.1177/1354066108097557

168.

De Goede M. The Politics of Preemption and the War on Terror in Europe. *European Journal of International Relations*. 2008;14(1):161-185. doi:10.1177/1354066107087764

169.

Darby P. Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 2004;33(1).

170.

Krishna S. Race, Amnesia, and the Education of International Relations. *Alternatives*. 2001;26(4).

171.

Fanon F, Philcox R, Sartre JP, Bhabha HK, West C. *The Wretched of the Earth*. 60th anniversary edition. Grove Press; 2021.

172.

Darby P. Reconfiguring 'The International' Knowledge Machines, Boundaries, and Exclusions. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 2003;28(1).

173.

Barkawi T, Laffey M. Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2002;31(1):109-127.
doi:10.1177/03058298020310010601

174.

Callinicos A. The Actuality of Imperialism. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2002;31(2):319-326. doi:10.1177/03058298020310020601

175.

Shaw M. Post-Imperial and Quasi-Imperial: State and Empire in the Global Era. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 2002;31(2):327-336.
doi:10.1177/03058298020310020701

176.

Slater D. Post-Colonial Questions for Global Times. *Review of International Political Economy*. 1998;5(4):647-678. doi:10.1080/096922998347417

177.

Ling LHM. Hegemony and the Internationalizing State: A Post-colonial Analysis of China's Integration Into Asian Corporatism. *Review of International Political Economy*. 1996;3(1):1-26. doi:10.1080/09692299608434343

178.

McClintock A. The Angel of Progress: Pitfalls of the Term 'Post-Colonialism'. *Social Text*. 1992;(31/32). doi:10.2307/466219

179.

Goldgeier JM, McFaul M. A Tale of Two Worlds: Core and Periphery in the Post-Cold War Era. *International Organization*. 1992;46(02). doi:10.1017/S0020818300027788

180.

Aydinli E, Mathews J. Periphery Theorising for a Truly Internationalised Discipline: Spinning IR Theory Out of Anatolia. *Review of International Studies*. 2008;34(04). doi:10.1017/S0260210508008231

181.

True J. Feminism. In: *Theories of International Relations*. 3rd Edition. Palgrave Macmillan; 2005:213-234. <http://lib.mylibrary.com/Open.aspx?id=85685>

182.

Tickner JA. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements Between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly*. 1997;41(4):611-632. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600855?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

183.

Enloe C. Are Un Peacekeepers Real Men? and Other Post-Cold War Puzzles. In: *The Morning After: Sexual Politics at the End of the Cold War*. University of California Press; 1993:10-37.

184.

Enloe C. Bananas Militarized and Demilitarized. In: *The Morning After: Sexual Politics at the End of the Cold War*. University of California Press; 1993:102-141.

185.

Stern M, Zalewski M. Feminist Fatigue(s): Reflections on Feminism and Familiar Fables of Militarisation. *Review of International Studies*. 2009;35(03).
doi:10.1017/S0260210509008675

186.

Skjelsbæk I. Sexual Violence and War: Mapping Out a Complex Relationship. *European Journal of International Relations*. 7(2).
http://findit.royalholloway.ac.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/openurl?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft.jtitle=European+Journal+of+International+Relations&rft.atitle=Sexual+Violence+and+War%3A+Mapping+Out+a+Complex+Relationship&rft.volume=7&rft.t.issn=13540661&rft.issue=2&rft.aufirst=Inger&rft.aulast=Skjelsb%C3%A6k&vid=44ROY_Services_page&institution=44ROY&url_ctx_val=&url_ctx_fmt=null&isServicesPage=true

187.

Enloe CH. *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. University of California Press; 2000.

188.

Jones A. Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 1996;22(04). doi:10.1017/S0260210500118649

189.

Carver T, Cochran M, Squires J. Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review Of International Studies*. 1998;24(2). <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20097523>

190.

Tickner JA. Hans Morgenthau's Principles of Political Realism: A Feminist Reformulation. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 1988;17(3):429-440.
doi:10.1177/03058298880170030801

191.

Brown W. Finding the Man in the State. *Feminist Studies*. 1992;18(1).
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/3178212>

192.

Youngs G. Feminist International Relations: A Contradiction in Terms? Or: Why Women and Gender Are Essential to Understanding the World 'We' Live In*. *International Affairs*. 2004;80(1):75-87. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2004.00367.x

193.

Linklater A. Dominant and Destructive Masculinities. *International Affairs*. 2004;80(1).
http://findit.royalholloway.ac.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/openurl?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft.jtitle=International+Affairs&rft.atitle=Dominant+and+Destructive+Masculinities&rft.volume=80&rft.issn=00205850&rft.issue=1&rft.date=2004&rft.aufirst=Andrew&rft.aulast=Linklater&vid=44ROY_Services_page&institution=44ROY&url_ctx_val=&url_ctx_fmt=null&isServicesPage=true

194.

Carver T. War of the Worlds/Invasion of the Body Snatchers. *International Affairs (Royal Institute Of International Affairs 1944-)*. 2004;80(1).

195.

Enloe C. 'Gender' Is Not Enough: The Need for a Feminist Consciousness. *International Affairs*. 2004;80(1).

http://findit.royalholloway.ac.uk/primo_library/libweb/action/openurl?ctx_ver=Z39.88-2004&rft.jtitle=International+Affairs&rft.atitle=%27Gender%27+Is+Not+Enough%3A+The+Need+for+a+Feminist+Consciousness&rft.volume=80&rft.issn=00205850&rft.issue=1&rft.date=2004&rft.aufirst=Cynthia&rft.aulast=Enloe∓vid=44ROY_Services_page&institution=44ROY&url_ctx_val=&url_ctx_fmt=null&isServicesPage=true