

PR5432 Analysing International Politics

View Online



[1]

Adler, E. 2008. The Spread of Security Communities: Communities of Practice, Self-Restraint, and NATO's Post--Cold War Transformation. *European Journal of International Relations*. 14, 2 (2008), 195–230.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066108089241>.

[2]

Aron, R. 1966. *Peace and War: A Theory of International Relations*. Weidenfeld & Nicolson.

[3]

Ashley, R.K. 1984. The Poverty of Neorealism. *International Organization*. 38, 02 (1984).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300026709>.

[4]

Autesserre, S. 2009. Hobbes and the Congo: Frames, Local Violence, and International Intervention. *International Organization*. 63, 02 (2009).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818309090080>.

[5]

Aydinli, E. and Mathews, J. 2008. Periphery Theorising for a Truly Internationalised Discipline: Spinning IR Theory Out of Anatolia. *Review of International Studies*. 34, 04 (2008). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210508008231>.

[6]

Bagby, L.M.J. 1994. The Use and Abuse of Thucydides in International Relations. *International Organization*. 48, 01 (1994).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300000849>.

[7]

Baldwin, D.A. 1993. Neoliberalism, Neorealism, and World Politics. *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate*. Columbia University Press. 3–28.

[8]

Barkawi, T. and Laffey, M. 2002. Retrieving the Imperial: Empire and International Relations. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 31, 1 (2002), 109–127.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310010601>.

[9]

Bellamy, A.J. 2005. *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press.

[10]

Bellamy, A.J. 2004. *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press.

[11]

Bellamy, A.J. 2005. *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press.

[12]

Bellamy, A.J. 2004. *International Society and Its Critics*. Oxford University Press.

[13]

Berki, R.N. 1971. On Marxian Thought and the Problem of International Relations. *World Politics*. 24, 01 (1971), 80–105. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2009707>.

[14]

Brown, C. 1995. International Theory and International Society: The Viability of the Middle Way? *Review of International Studies*. 21, 02 (1995).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500117619>.

[15]

Brown, C. 1995. International Theory and International Society: The Viability of the Middle Way? *Review of International Studies*. 21, 02 (1995).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500117619>.

[16]

Brown, W. 1992. Finding the Man in the State. *Feminist Studies*. 18, 1 (1992).

[17]

Bull, H. 1971. Order vs. Justice in International Society. *Political Studies*. 19, 3 (1971), 269–283. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1971.tb00674.x>.

[18]

Bull, H. 2012. *The Anarchical Society: A Study of Order in World Politics*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[19]

Bull, H. 1990. The Importance of Grotius in the Study of International Relations. *Hugo Grotius and International Relations*. Clarendon. 65–94.

[20]

Burnham, P. 1994. Open Marxism and Vulgar International Political Economy. *Review of International Political Economy*. 1, 2 (1994), 221–231.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692299408434277>.

[21]

Burnham, P. 1998. *The Communist Manifesto as International Relations Theory. The Communist Manifesto: New Interpretations*. Edinburgh University Press.

[22]

Buzan, B. 2004. *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation*. Cambridge University Press.

[23]

Buzan, B. 2004. *From International to World Society?: English School Theory and the Social Structure of Globalisation*. Cambridge University Press.

[24]

Callinicos, A. 2002. The Actuality of Imperialism. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 31, 2 (2002), 319–326. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310020601>.

[25]

Campbell, D. 1996. *Political Prosaics, Transversal Politics and the Anarchical World. Challenging Boundaries: Global Flows, Territorial Identities*. University of Minnesota Press.

[26]

Campbell, D. 1993. *Politics Without Principle: Sovereignty, Ethics, and the Narratives of the Gulf War*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[27]

Carr, E.H. 1999. The Nature of Politics. *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond*. Allyn and Bacon. 562–566.

[28]

Carr, E.H. and Cox, M. 2001. *The Twenty Years' Crisis, 1919-1939: An Introduction to the Study of International Relations*. Palgrave.

[29]

Carver, T. et al. 1998. Gendering Jones: Feminisms, IRs, Masculinities. *Review Of International Studies*. 24, 2 (1998).

[30]

Carver, T. 2004. War of the Worlds/Invasion of the Body Snatchers. *International Affairs (Royal Institute Of International Affairs 1944-)*. 80, 1 (2004).

[31]

Chase-Dunn, C. 1981. Interstate System and Capitalist World-Economy: One Logic or Two? *International Studies Quarterly*. 25, 1 (1981), 19-42. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2600209>.

[32]

Claude, I.L. 2012. *Power and International Relations*. Random House.

[33]

Cox, Robert.W. 1983. Gramsci, Hegemony and International Relations : An Essay in Method. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 12, 2 (1983), 162-175. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298830120020701>.

[34]

Cox, R.W. 1986. Social Forces, States and World Order. *Neorealism and Its Critics*. Columbia University Press. 204-254.

[35]

Cutler, C. 1999. Locating 'Authority' in the Global Political Economy. *International Studies Quarterly*. 43, 1 (1999), 59-81.

[36]

Darby, P. 2004. Pursuing the Political: A Postcolonial Rethinking of Relations International. *Millennium: Journal of International Studies*. 33, 1 (2004).

[37]

Darby, P. 2003. Reconfiguring 'The International' Knowledge Machines, Boundaries, and Exclusions. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*. 28, 1 (2003).

[38]

De Goede, M. 2008. The Politics of Preemption and the War on Terror in Europe. *European Journal of International Relations*. 14, 1 (2008), 161-185.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066107087764>.

[39]

der Derian, J. 1989. 'The Boundaries of Knowledge and Power in International Relations' In: *International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics*. International/Intertextual Relations: Postmodern Readings of World Politics. Lexington Books.

[40]

Deudney, D. and Ikenberry, G.J. 1999. The Nature and Sources of Liberal International Order. *Review Of International Studies*. 25, 2 (1999), 179-196.

[41]

Devetek, R. 2005. Critical Theory. *Theories of International Relations*. Palgrave Macmillan. 137-160.

[42]

Doty, R.L. 1993. Foreign Policy as Social Construction: A Post-Positivist Analysis of U.S. Counterinsurgency Policy in the Philippines. *International Studies Quarterly*. 37, 3 (1993). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2600810>.

[43]

Dougherty, J.E. and Pfaltzgraff, R.L. 2001. *Contending Theories of International Relations: A Comprehensive Survey*. Longman.

[44]

Doyle, M.W. 1983. Kant, Liberal Legacies, and Foreign Affairs. *Philosophy & Public Affairs*. 12, 3 (1983), 205–235.

[45]

Doyle, M.W. 1986. Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 80, 04 (1986), 1151–1169. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1960861>.

[46]

Doyle, M.W. 1986. Liberalism and World Politics. *American Political Science Review*. 80, 04 (1986), 1151–1169. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1960861>.

[47]

Doyle, M.W. 1990. Thucydidean Realism. *Review of International Studies*. 16, 03 (1990). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500112483>.

[48]

Dunne, T. et al. eds. 2016. *International Relations Theories: Discipline and Diversity*. Oxford University Press.

[49]

Dunne, T. 1995. *International Society: Theoretical Promises Fulfilled? Cooperation and*

Conflict. 30, 2 (1995), 125–154. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0010836795030002002>.

[50]

Edkins, J. 1999. *Poststructuralism & International Relations: Bringing the Political Back In*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

[51]

Edkins, J. and Zehfuss, M. 2005. Generalising the International. *Review of International Studies*. 31, 03 (2005). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210505006583>.

[52]

Enloe, C. 1993. *Are Un Peacekeepers Real Men? and Other Post-Cold War Puzzles. The Morning After: Sexual Politics at the End of the Cold War*. University of California Press. 10–37.

[53]

Enloe, C. 1993. *Bananas Militarized and Demilitarized. The Morning After: Sexual Politics at the End of the Cold War*. University of California Press. 102–141.

[54]

Enloe, C. 2004. 'Gender' Is Not Enough: The Need for a Feminist Consciousness. *International Affairs*. 80, 1 (2004).

[55]

Enloe, C.H. 2000. *Bananas, Beaches and Bases: Making Feminist Sense of International Politics*. University of California Press.

[56]

Epstein, C. 2008. *The Power of Words in International Relations: Birth of an Anti-Whaling Discourse*. MIT Press.

[57]

Fanon, F. et al. 2021. *The Wretched of the Earth*. Grove Press.

[58]

Forde, S. 1992. Varieties of Realism: Thucydides and Machiavelli. *The Journal of Politics*. 54, 2 (1992), 372–393. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2132031>.

[59]

Foucault, M. 1991. What Is An Author? *The Foucault Reader*. Penguin. 101–120.

[60]

Fukuyama, F. 1989. The End of History? *The National Interest*. 16 (1989), 3–18.

[61]

Gale, F. 1998. Cave 'Cave! Hic Dragones' : A Neo-Gramscian Deconstruction and Reconstruction of International Regime Theory. *Review of International Political Economy*. 5, 2 (1998), 252–283. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/096922998347561>.

[62]

Gamble, A. 1999. Marxism After Communism: Beyond Realism and Historicism. *Review Of International Studies*. 25, 5 (1999), 27–144.

[63]

Garst, D. 1989. Thucydides and Neorealism. *International Studies Quarterly*. 33, 1 (1989), 3–27.

[64]

Genest, M.A. 2004. *Conflict and Cooperation: Evolving Theories of International Relations*. Thomson/Wadsworth.

[65]

Germain, R.D. and Kenny, M. 1998. Engaging Gramsci: International Relations Theory and the New Gramscians. *Review Of International Studies*. 24, 1 (1998).

[66]

Gill, S. 1993. *Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations*. Cambridge University Press.

[67]

Gill, S. 1993. *Gramsci, Historical Materialism and International Relations*. Cambridge University Press.

[68]

Gill, S. and Law, D. 1988. Marxism and the World System. *The Global Political Economy: Perspectives, Problems, and Policies*. Johns Hopkins University Press. 54–70.

[69]

Gill, S.R. and Law, D. 1989. Global Hegemony and the Structural Power of Capital. *International Studies Quarterly*. 33, 4 (1989), 475–199.

[70]

Gills, B.K. 1987. Historical Materialism and International Relations Theory. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 16, 2 (1987), 265–270.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298870160020301>.

[71]

Gilpin, R. 2002. *The Rise of American Hegemony. Britain 1846-1914 and the United States*

1941-2001. Ashgate Pub Ltd.

[72]

Gilpin, R. 2002. *The Rise of American Hegemony. Two Hegemonies: Britain 1846-1914 and the United States 1941-2001.* Ashgate Publishing Ltd. 165-182.

[73]

Gilpin, R. and Gilpin, J.M. 1987. *The Political Economy of International Relations.* Princeton University Press.

[74]

Gilpin, R.G. 1996. No One Loves a Political Realist. *Security Studies*. 5, 3 (1996), 3-26.

[75]

Gilpin, R.G. 1984. The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism. *International Organization*. 38, 02 (1984). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300026710>.

[76]

Goldgeier, J.M. and McFaul, M. 1992. A Tale of Two Worlds: Core and Periphery in the Post-Cold War Era. *International Organization*. 46, 02 (1992). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027788>.

[77]

Grieco, J.M. 1993. Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism. *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate.* Columbia University Press. 116-142.

[78]

Grotius, H. and Campbell, A.C. 1814. *On the Laws of War and Peace.*

[79]

Grotius, H. and Neff, S.C. 2012. *Hugo Grotius on the Law of War and Peace*. Cambridge University Press.

[80]

Grovogui, S.N. 2002. Regimes of Sovereignty: International Morality and the African Condition. *European Journal of International Relations*. 8, 3 (2002), 315–338.

[81]

Grunberg, I. 1990. Exploring the "Myth" of Hegemonic Stability. *International Organization*. 44, 04 (1990). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300035372>.

[82]

Gusterson, H. 2005. *Nuclear Weapons and the Other in the Western Imagination. People of the Bomb: Portraits of America's Nuclear Complex*. University of Minnesota Press. 21–47.

[83]

H. Herz, J. 1957. Rise and Demise of The Territorial State. *World Politics*. 9, 4 (1957), 473–493.

[84]

Halliday, F. 1994. *A Necessary Encounter: Historical Materialism and International Relations*. Rethinking International Relations. Macmillan.

[85]

Haslam, J. 2002. *No Virtue Like Necessity: Realist Thought in International Relations Since Machiavelli*. Yale University Press.

[86]

Herz, J.H. 1942. Power Politics and World Organization. *The American Political Science Review*. 36, 6 (1942). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/1949064>.

[87]

History of the Peloponnesian War:
<http://people.ucalgary.ca/~vandersp/Courses/texts/thucydi1.html>.

[88]

Hobbes, T. 1999. The Natural Conditions of Mankind. *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond*. Allyn and Bacon. 94–98.

[89]

Hobson, J.M. 2005. The Enduring Place of Hierarchy in World Politics: Tracing the Social Logics of Hierarchy and Political Change. *European Journal of International Relations*. 11, 1 (2005), 63–98. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066105050137>.

[90]

Hoffmann, S. 1977. An American Social Science: International Relations. *Daedalus*. 106, 3 (1977), 41–60.

[91]

Hoffmann, S. 1987. An American Social Science: International Relations. *Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theory and Practice of International Politics*. Westview. 219–221.

[92]

Hoffmann, S. 1987. Liberalism and International Affairs. *Janus and Minerva: Essays in the Theory and Practice of International Politics*. 394–417.

[93]

Hopf, T. 1998. The Promise of Constructivism in International Relations Theory. *International Security*. 23, 1 (1998), 171–200. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2539267>.

[94]

Howe, P. 1994. The Utopian Realism of E. H. Carr. *Review of International Studies*. 20, 3 (1994), 277–297. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118078>.

[95]

Hurrell, A. 2003. *Order and Justice in International Relations: What is at Stake? Order and Justice in International Relations*. Oxford University Press.

[96]

Ikenberry, G.J. 2009. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*. 7, 1 (2009), 71–87. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592709090112>.

[97]

Ikenberry, G.J. 2009. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order. *Perspectives on Politics*. 7, 01 (2009), 71–87. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592709090112>.

[98]

Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism: A Popular Outline: 1963.
<https://www.marxists.org/archive/lenin/works/1916/imp-hsc/>.

[99]

Jackson, R. and Sorensen, G. 2003. *Introduction to International Relations: Theories and Approaches*. Oxford University Press.

[100]

James, A. 1989. The Realism of Realism: The State and the Study of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 15, 03 (1989). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500112847>.

[101]

Jervis, R. 1999. Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate. *International Security*. 24, 1 (1999), 42–63. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1162/016228899560040>.

[102]

Jones, A. 1996. Does 'Gender' Make the World Go Round? Feminist Critiques of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 22, 04 (1996). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118649>.

[103]

Kant, I. 1970. An Answer to the Question: 'What is Enlightenment?'. *Kant's Political Writings*. Cambridge University Press.

[104]

Kant, I. 1970. *Perpetual Peace: A Philosophical Sketch*. *Kant's Political Writings*. Cambridge University Press.

[105]

Katzenstein, P.J. 1996. *The Culture of National Security: Norms and Identity in World Politics*. Columbia University Press.

[106]

Kegley, C.W. and Blanton, S.L. 2011. *World Politics: Trend and Transformation*. Wadsworth Cengage Learning.

[107]

Keohane, R.O. 2005. *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton University Press.

[108]

Keohane, R.O. 1984. *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton University Press.

[109]

Keohane, R.O. 1986. *Neorealism and Its Critics*. Columbia University Press.

[110]

Keohane, R.O. and Nye, J.S. 1989. *Power and Interdependence*. HarperCollins.

[111]

Keohane, R.O. and Nye, J.S. 1987. Power and Interdependence Revisited. *International Organization*. 41, 04 (1987). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027661>.

[112]

Kindleberger, C.P. 1981. Dominance and Leadership in the International Economy: Exploitation, Public Goods, and Free Rides. *International Studies Quarterly*. 25, 2 (1981). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2600355>.

[113]

Krauthammer, C. 1992. The Unipolar Moment. *Rethinking America's Security: Beyond Cold War to New World Order*. Norton. 313-344.

[114]

Krebs, R.R. and Jackson, P.T. 2007. *Twisting Tongues and Twisting Arms: The Power of*

Political Rhetoric. *European Journal of International Relations*. 13, 1 (2007), 35–66.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066107074284>.

[115]

Krishna, S. 2001. Race, Amnesia, and the Education of International Relations. *Alternatives* . 26, 4 (2001).

[116]

Lake, D.A. 1993. Leadership, Hegemony, and the International Economy: Naked Emperor or Tattered Monarch With Potential? *International Studies Quarterly*. 37, 4 (1993).

[117]

Legro, J.W. and Moravcsik, A. 1999. Is Anybody Still a Realist? *International Security*. 24, 2 (1999), 5–55.

[118]

Lenin, V.I. 1973. *Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism : A Popular Outline*. Foreign Languages Press.

[119]

Lenin, V.I. 1973. *Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism : A Popular Outline*. Foreign Languages Press.

[120]

Ling, L.H.M. 1996. Hegemony and the Internationalizing State: A Post-colonial Analysis of China's Integration Into Asian Corporatism. *Review of International Political Economy*. 3, 1 (1996), 1–26. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09692299608434343>.

[121]

Linklater, A. 2004. Dominant and Destructive Masculinities. *International Affairs*. 80, 1

(2004).

[122]

Linklater, A. 2005. *Marxism. Theories of International Relations*. Palgrave Macmillan. 110-136.

[123]

Linklater, A. 1986. Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory. *Review of International Studies*. 12, 04 (1986). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500113865>.

[124]

Linklater, A. 1986. Realism, Marxism and Critical International Theory. *Review of International Studies*. 12, 4 (1986), 301-312.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500113865>.

[125]

Linklater, A. 1997. The Transformation of Political Community: E. H. Carr, Critical Theory and International Relations. *Review Of International Studies*. 23, 3 (1997).

[126]

Lynch, C. 1994. E.H. Carr, International Relations Theory, and the Societal Origins of International Legal Norms. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 23, 3 (1994), 589-619. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298940230030801>.

[127]

Macchiavelli, N. 1996. Excerpts from 'The Prince' in *Classics of International Relations*. *Classics of International Relations*. Prentice Hall. 15-20.

[128]

Maclean, J. 1988. Marxism and International Relations: A Strange Case of Mutual Neglect. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 17, 2 (1988), 295-319.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170021201>.

[129]

MacLean, J. 1981. Marxist Epistemology, Explanations of 'Change' and the Study of International Relations. *Change and the Study of International Relations: The Evaded Dimension*. St. Martin's Press. 46–67.

[130]

Marx, K. et al. 1978. Economic and Philosophic Manuscript of 1844. *The Marx-Engels Reader*. Norton. 66–125.

[131]

Marx, K. et al. 1978. Wage, Labour and Capital. *The Marx-Engels Reader*. Norton. 203–217.

[132]

Marx, K. et al. 1978. Wage, Labour and Capital. *The Marx-Engels Reader*. Norton. 203–217.

[133]

Marx, K. and Tucker, R.C. 1978. Economic and Philosophic Manuscript of 1844. *The Marx-Engels Reader*. Norton. 66–125.

[134]

Marx, K. and Tucker, R.C. 1978. Theories of Surplus Value. *The Marx-Engels Reader*. Norton. 443–468.

[135]

Mastanduno, M. 1997. Preserving the Unipolar Moment: Realist Theories and U.S. Grand Strategy After the Cold War. *International Security*. 21, 4 (1997).

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2539283>.

[136]

McClintock, A. 1992. The Angel of Progress: Pitfalls of the Term 'Post-Colonialism'. *Social Text*. 31/32 (1992). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/466219>.

[137]

McKeown, T.J. 1983. Hegemonic Stability Theory and 19th Century Tariff Levels in Europe. *International Organization*. 37, 01 (1983). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300004203>.

[138]

Mearsheimer, J.J. 2005. E.H. Carr vs. Idealism: The Battle Rages On. *International Relations*. 19, 2 (2005), 139–152. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0047117805052810>.

[139]

Mearsheimer, J.J. 1994. The False Promise of International Institutions. *International Security*. 19, 3 (1994). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2539078>.

[140]

Merrington, J. 1978. Theory and Practice in Gramsci's Marxism. *Western Marxism: A Critical Reader*. Verso. 140–175.

[141]

Milliken, Jennifer 1999. The Study of Discourse in International Relations: A Critique of Research and Methods. *European Journal of International Relations*. 5, 2 (1999).

[142]

Milner, H. 1992. International Theories of Cooperation Among Nations: Strengths and Weaknesses. *World Politics*. 44, 03 (1992), 466–496. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2010546>.

[143]

Modelski, G. 1978. The Long Cycle of Global Politics and the Nation-State. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. 20, 02 (1978).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417500008914>.

[144]

Moravcsik, A. 1997. Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics. *International Organization*. 52, 1 (1997).

[145]

Morgenthau, H. 1948. The Political Science of E. H. Carr. *World Politics*. 1, 1 (1948), 127–134. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2307/2009162>.

[146]

Morgenthau, H.J. 2006. A Realist Theory of International Politics. *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

[147]

Morgenthau, H.J. 2006. Different Methods of the Balance of Power. *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

[148]

Morgenthau, H.J. 1974. *Scientific Man vs. Power Politics*. University of Chicago Press.

[149]

Morgenthau, H.J. 2006. The Balance of Power. *Politics Among Nations: The Struggle for Power and Peace*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

[150]

Morton, Adam David, B., Andreas ; et al. 2001. The Gordian Knot of Agency-Structure in International Relations: A Neo-Gramscian Perspective. *European Journal of International Relations*. 7, 1 (2001).

[151]

Murphy, C.N. 1998. Understanding IR: Understanding Gramsci. *Review Of International Studies*. 24, 3 (1998).

[152]

Neumann, I.B. 2008. The Body of the Diplomat. *European Journal of International Relations*. 14, 4 (2008), 671-695. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066108097557>.

[153]

Niebuhr, R. 2005. *Moral Man and Immoral Society: A Study in Ethics and Politics*. Continuum.

[154]

ÓTuathail, G. 2002. Theorizing Practical Geopolitical Reasoning: The Case of the United States' Response to the War in Bosnia. *Political Geography*. 21, 5 (2002), 601-628. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-6298\(02\)00009-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0962-6298(02)00009-4).

[155]

Palan, R.P. and Blair, B.M. 1993. On the Idealist Origins of the Realist Theory of International Relations. *Review of International Studies*. 19, 04 (1993). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210500118273>.

[156]

Paul, T.V. 2016. *Recasting Statecraft - International Relations and Strategies of Peaceful Change*.

[157]

Powell, R. 1994. Anarchy in International Relations Theory: The Neorealist-Neoliberal Debate. *International Organization*. 48, 02 (1994).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300028204>.

[158]

Price, R. and Reus-Smit, C. 1998. Dangerous Liaisons? Critical International Theory and Constructivism. *European Journal of International Relations*. 4, 3 (1998).

[159]

Reus-Smit, C. 2005. Constructivism. *Theories of International Relations*. Palgrave Macmillan. 188–212.

[160]

Rosecrance, R. 1987. Long Cycle Theory and International Relations. *International Organization*. 41, 2 (1987), 283–301. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027478>.

[161]

Rosenberg, J. 1996. Isaac Deutscher and the Lost History of International Relations. *New Left Review*. 215 (1996).

[162]

Ruggie, J.G. 1982. International Regimes, Transactions, and Change: Embedded Liberalism in the Postwar Economic Order. *International Organization*. 36, 02 (1982).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300018993>.

[163]

Ruggie, J.G. 1998. What Makes the World Hang Together? Neo-Utilitarianism and the Social Constructivist Challenge. *International Organization*. 52, 4 (1998).

[164]

Rupert, M. 1995. *Producing Hegemony: The Politics of Mass Production and American Global Power*. Cambridge University Press.

[165]

Said, E. 1991. *The Scope of Orientalism*. Orientalism. Penguin.

[166]

Santos, T.D. 1970. The Structure of Dependence. *American Economic Review*. 60, 2 (1970), 231–236.

[167]

Shaw, M. 2002. Post-Imperial and Quasi-Imperial: State and Empire in the Global Era. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 31, 2 (2002), 327–336.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298020310020701>.

[168]

Simmons, B.A. and Hopkins, D.J. 2005. The Constraining Power of International Treaties: Theory and Methods. *American Political Science Review*. 99, 04 (2005).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055405051920>.

[169]

Skjelsbæk, I. Sexual Violence and War: Mapping Out a Complex Relationship. *European Journal of International Relations*. 7, 2.

[170]

Slater, D. 1998. Post-Colonial Questions for Global Times. *Review of International Political Economy*. 5, 4 (1998), 647–678. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/096922998347417>.

[171]

Slaughter, A.-M. 2004. *A New World Order*. Princeton University Press.

[172]

Smith, M.J. 1986. *Realist Thought From Weber to Kissinger*. London.

[173]

Snidal, D. 1985. The Limits of Hegemonic Stability Theory. *International Organization*. 39, 04 (1985). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S002081830002703X>.

[174]

Sterling-Folker, J. 2000. Competing Paradigms or Birds of a Feather? Constructivism and Neoliberal Institutionalism Compared. *International Studies Quarterly*. 44, 1 (2000), 97-119. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/0020-8833.00150>.

[175]

Stern, M. and Zalewski, M. 2009. Feminist Fatigue(s): Reflections on Feminism and Familiar Fables of Militarisation. *Review of International Studies*. 35, 03 (2009). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260210509008675>.

[176]

The Prince: 1505.
<https://web.archive.org/web/20060101054818/http://www.ilt.columbia.edu/publications/machiavelli.html>.

[177]

Thucydides 1954. *The Melian Dialogue*. *History of the Peloponnesian war*. Penguin. 400-408.

[178]

Thucydides 1996. The Melian Dialogue. *Classics of International Relations*. Prentice Hall. 84–90.

[179]

Tickner, J.A. 1988. Hans Morgenthau's Principles of Political Realism: A Feminist Reformulation. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 17, 3 (1988), 429–440. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170030801>.

[180]

Tickner, J.A. 1997. You Just Don't Understand: Troubled Engagements Between Feminists and IR Theorists. *International Studies Quarterly*. 41, 4 (1997), 611–632.

[181]

True, J. 2005. Feminism. *Theories of International Relations*. Palgrave Macmillan. 213–234.

[182]

Vasquez, J.A. 1998. *The Power of Power Politics: From Classical Realism to Neotraditionalism*. Cambridge University Press.

[183]

Vincent, R.J. 1988. Hedley Bull and Order in International Politics. *Millennium - Journal of International Studies*. 17, 2 (1988), 195–213. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/03058298880170020701>.

[184]

Viotti, P.R. and Kauppi, M.V. 1999. Realism: The State, Power and the Balance of Power. *International Relations Theory: Realism, Pluralism, Globalism, and Beyond*. Allyn and Bacon. 35–67.

[185]

Wallerstein, I. 1974. *The Modern World-System Vol. 1: Capitalist Agriculture and the Origins of the European World-Economy in the Sixteenth Century*. Academic.

[186]

Wallerstein, I. 1974. *The Rise and Future Demise of the World Capitalist System: Concepts for Comparative Analysis*. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*. 16, 4 (1974), 387-415. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417500007520>.

[187]

Wallerstein, I. 1983. *The Three Instances of Hegemony in the History of the Capitalist World-Economy*. *Comparative Sociology*. 24, 1 (1983), 100-108. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1163/156854283X00071>.

[188]

Waltz, K.N. 2001. *Man, the State, and War: A Theoretical Analysis*. Columbia University Press.

[189]

Waltz, K.N. 1979. *Theory of International Politics*. McGraw-Hill.

[190]

Wendt, A. 1992. *Anarchy Is What States Make of It: The Social Construction of Power Politics*. *International Organization*. 46, 2 (1992), 391-425. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300027764>.

[191]

Wilson, P. 1998. *The Myth of the 'First Great Debate'*. *Review of International Studies*. 24, 4 (1998), 1-15.

[192]

Wilson, W. 2014. The World Must be Made Safe for Democracy: The Fourteen Points. Essential Readings in World Politics. K.A. Mingst and J.L. Snyder (eds.), eds. W.W. Norton & Company. 4-6.

[193]

Wolfers, A. 1962. Discord and Collaboration: Essays on International Politics. Johns Hopkins University Press.

[194]

Youngs, G. 2004. Feminist International Relations: A Contradiction in Terms? Or: Why Women and Gender Are Essential to Understanding the World 'We' Live In*. International Affairs. 80, 1 (2004), 75-87. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2004.00367.x>.

[195]

Zacher, M.W. and Matthew, R.A. 1995. Liberal International Theory: Common Threads, Divergent Strands. Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neo-Liberal Challenge. Macmillan. 107-150.