

LL3002: Law of Equity and Trusts

View Online



-
1.
Hudson A. Equity and Trusts. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.
 2.
Hudson A. Equity and Trusts [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>
 3.
Thomas M, editor. Blackstone's Statutes on Property Law, 2019-2020. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.
 4.
Virgo G, Maudsley RH, Burn EH. Maudsley and Burn's Trusts and Trustees: Cases and Materials. 7th Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008.
 5.
Clements R, Abass A. Equity and Trusts: Text, Cases, and Materials. Fifth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.
 6.
Duddington J. Essentials of Equity and Trusts Law. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2006.

7.

Duddington J. Essentials of Equity and Trusts Law [Internet]. Harlow, England: Pearson Education; 2006. Available from:
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9781405872461>

8.

Edwards R, Stockwell N. Trusts and Equity [Internet]. Twelfth edition. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2015. Available from:
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13404623940002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

9.

Moffat G, Garton J, Bean GMD, Probert R. Moffat's Trusts Law: Text and Materials. Sixth edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2015.

10.

Hayton DJ, Mitchell C, Marshall OR. Hayton and Marshall Commentary and Cases on the Law of Trusts and Equitable Remedies. 13th Edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2015.

11.

Haley MA, McMurtry L. Equity & Trusts [Internet]. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2014. Available from:
http://eu.alma.exlibrisgroup.com/view/action/uresolver.do?operation=resolveService&package_service_id=13404454730002671&institutionId=2671&customerId=2670

12.

Haley MA, McMurtry L. Equity & Trusts [Internet]. Fourth edition. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2014. Available from:
<http://ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780414031555>

13.

Hudson A. Understanding Equity & Trusts. 6th Edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

14.

Pearce R. Law of Trusts and Equitable Obligations. Vol. Vol 7th Ed. Oxford University Press; 2018.

15.

Penner JE. The Law of Trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

16.

Pettit PH. Equity and the Law of Trusts. 12th ed. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford University Press; 2012.

17.

Virgo G. The Principles of Equity & Trusts. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

18.

Watt G. Equity & Trusts Law. 6th edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

19.

Watt G. Cases & Materials on Equity & Trusts. Tenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.

20.

Watt G. Trusts and Equity. Sixth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

21.

Wilson S, Todd P, Wilson S. Todd & Wilson's Textbook on Trusts & Equity. Twelfth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015.

22.

Denning AT. Landmarks in the Law. New York: Oxford University Press; 1984.

23.

Chambers R, Mitchell C, Penner JE. Philosophical Foundations of the Law of Unjust Enrichment. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.

24.

Chambers R. Philosophical Foundations of the Law of Unjust Enrichment [Internet]. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199567751.001.1>

25.

Gardner S. An Introduction to the Law of Trusts. 3rd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.

26.

Hayton DJ, Underhill A, Matthews P, Mitchell C. Underhill and Hayton Law Relating to Trusts and Trustees. 18th ed. London: LexisNexis; 2010.

27.

Holdsworth W, Pottton E. A History of English Law. London: Methuen; 1932.

28.

Hudson A. Great Debates in Equity and Trusts. London: Palgrave, an imprint of Macmillan Publishers; 2014.

29.

Snell EHT. Snell's Equity. 33rd Edition. McGhee J, editor. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2016.

30.

Maitland FW. Equity: A Course of Lectures. 2nd Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2011.

31.

Mitchell C, Mitchell P, editors. Landmark Cases in Equity. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2014.

32.

Mitchell C. Constructive and Resulting Trusts. Oxford: Hart; 2010.

33.

Oakley AJ. Parker and Mellows: The Modern Law of Trusts. 9th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2008.

34.

Pollock F. Jurisprudence and Legal Essays. Westport, Conn: Greenwood Press; 1978.

35.

Spry ICF. Equitable Remedies. Sweet & Maxwell; 1997.

36.

Swadling W. The Quistclose Trust: Critical Essays. Oxford: Hart; 2004.

37.

Thomas GW. Thomas on Powers. 2nd Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

38.

Thomas GW, Hudson A. The Law of Trusts. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.

39.

Worthington S. Equity. 2nd ed. Vol. Clarendon law series. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.

40.

The Cambridge Law Journal. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/journal/camblawj>

41.

The Modern Law Review. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/journal/modernlawreview>

42.

Edinburgh Law Review. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fedinlr&collection=journals>

43.

Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/journal/oxfojlegastud>

44.

Oxford University Commonwealth Law Journal. Available from:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rouc20>

45.

The University of Toronto Law Journal. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/journal/univtorolawj>

46.

Stanford Law Review. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/journal/stanlawrevi>

47.

Lloyd's Maritime and Commercial Law Quarterly. Available from:
https://www.i-law.com/ilaw/browse_journals.htm?name=Lloyd%27s%20Maritime%20and%20Commercial%20Law%20Quarterly

48.

The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer. Available from:
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/16u3s8/alma996996922902671

49.

Law Quarterly Review. Available from:
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/16u3s8/alma997001898402671

50.

The Yale Law Journal. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/journal/yalelawj>

51.

California Law Review. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/journal/calilawrevi>

52.

Virginia Law Review. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/journal/virglawrevi>

53.

Hastings Law Journal. Available from:

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fhastlj&collection=journals>

54.

Current Legal Problems. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/clp>

55.

Harvard Law Review. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/journal/harvardlawreview>

56.

Singapore Journal of Legal Studies. Available from:

<http://www.jstor.org/journal/singjlegastud>

57.

Legislation.gov.uk [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/>

58.

UK Parliament [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.parliament.uk/>

59.

British and Irish Legal Information Institute [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.bailii.org/>

60.

European Court of Human Rights [Internet]. Available from:

<http://www.echr.coe.int/Pages/home.aspx?p=home>

61.

BBC - Home [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.bbc.co.uk/>

62.

The Times & The Sunday Times [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/>

63.

The Guardian [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/uk>

64.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

65.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

66.

Duggan AJ. Is Equity Efficient? Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1997;113(4):601–36. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCD5A50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

67.

Hayton D. The Development of Equity and the 'Good Person' Philosophy in Common Law Systems. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2012; Available from:
https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk:443/44ROY_VU2:LSCOP_44ROY_ARTICLES:TN_gale_legal314272561

68.

Macnair M. Equity and Conscience. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies [Internet]. 2007;27(4):659–81. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20185349>

69.

COWCHER v. COWCHER [Internet]. Vol. 1, Weekly Law Reports (ICLR). 1972. Available from: <http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5FJH-TCT1-F00Y-N48H&csi=417192&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

70.

Anderson S. The Proper Narrow Scope of Equitable Conversion in Land Law. Law Quarterly Review. 1984;100:86–102.

71.

Buckley RA. Social Security Fraud as Illegality. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1994; Available from: https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk:443/44ROY_VU2:LSCOP_44ROY_ARTICLES:TN_gale_legal14929374

72.

Delany VTH. Equity and the Law Reform Committee. The Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1961;24(1):116–22. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1093042?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

73.

Gardner S. Two Maxims of Equity. The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. 1995;54(1):60–8. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4508035>

74.

Pettit PH. He Who Comes Into Equity Must Come With Clean Hands. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 1990;(Nov/Dec):416–26. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA7933370E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText>

.html

75.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

76.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

77.

Critchley P. Instruments of Fraud, Testamentary Dispositions, and the Doctrine of Secret Trusts. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1999;115(October):631–54. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I78ED62E0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A/View/FullText.html>

78.

Meager R. Secret Trusts - Do They Have a Future? Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2003;(May/June):203–14. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA73A6510E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

79.

Hodge D. Secret Trusts: The Fraud Theory Revisited. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer. 1980;44(Sept/Oct):341–50.

80.

Matthews P. The True Basis of the Half – Secret Trust. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer. 1979;360–70.

81.

Perrins B. Can You Keep Half a Secret? *Law Quarterly Review*. 1972;88:225–38.

82.

Kincaid D. The Tangled Web: The Relationship Between a Secret Trust and the Will. *Conveyancer and Property Lawyer* [Internet]. 2000;(Sept/Oct):420–43. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA74C8D80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

83.

Watkin TG. Cloaking a Contravention. *Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*. 1981;335–42.

84.

Hudson A. *Equity and Trusts*. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

85.

Hudson A. *Equity and Trusts* [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

86.

Hayton D. Uncertainty of Subject-Matter of Trusts. *Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 1994;110(July):335–40. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICD03DB50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

87.

Matthews P. A Heresy and a Half in Certainty of Objects. *Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*. 1984;202:22–31.

88.

Emery C. The Most Hallowed - Certainty of Beneficiaries of Trusts and Powers of Appointment. *Law Quarterly Review*. 1982;98:551-86.

89.

Grubb A. Powers, Trusts and Classes of Objects. *Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*. 1982;46(1):432-41.

90.

Hopkins J. Certain Uncertainties of Trusts and Powers. *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. 1971;29(1):68-102. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4505439>

91.

McKay L. Re Baden and the Third Class of Uncertainty. *Conveyance and Property Lawyer*. 1974;38(3):269-84.

92.

Hudson A. *Equity and Trusts*. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

93.

Hudson A. *Equity and Trusts* [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

94.

Battersby G. Formalities for the Disposition of Equitable Interests Under a Trust. *Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*. 1979;43:17-38.

95.

Conaglen M. Sham Trusts. *The Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. 2008;67(1):176-207. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25166381>

96.

Rochefoucauld v. Boustead. [1894 R. 1864.] [Internet]. Vol. 1, ICLR: Chancery Division. 1897. Available from:
<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JWD.4410.TXD6.YORB.00000.00>

97.

Green B. Grey, Oughtred and Vandervell. a Contextual Reappraisal. The Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1984;47(4):385-421. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/1095817>

98.

Hodge D. Secret Trusts: The Fraud Theory Revisited. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer. 1980;44(Sept/Oct):341-50.

99.

Matthews P. The True Basis of the Half – Secret Trust. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer. 1979;360-70.

100.

Perrins B. Secret Trusts: The Key to the Dehors. CPL. 1985;

101.

Sheridan L. English and Irish Secret Trusts. Law Quarterly Review. 1951;67.

102.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

103.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

104.

Garton J. The Role of the Trust Mechanism in the Rule in Re Rose. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2003;(Sep/Oct):364–79. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA73B9D90E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

105.

Halliwell M. Perfecting Imperfect Gifts and Trusts: Have We Reached the End of the Chancellor's Foot? Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2003;(May/Jun):192–202. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA73953A0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

106.

JONES v. LOCK. [Internet]. Vol. 1. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JKG-Y3R0-TXD7-31FY&csi=296987&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

107.

RICHARDS v. DELBRIDGE. [Internet]. Vol. 18. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4JKH-VWW0-TXD6-J0YF&csi=296978&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

108.

Hornby J. Covenants in Favour of Volunteers. Law Quarterly Review. 1962;

109.

Luxton P. In Search of Perfection: The Re Rose Rule Rationale. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2012;(1):70–5. Available from:

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ID134E9B050A411E18A8AA01CC7CE21D9/View/FullText.html>

110.

Macnair MRT. Equity and Volunteers. Legal Studies. 1988;8(2):172–200.

111.

Rickett C. Completely Constituting an Inter Vivos Trust: Property Rules? Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2001;(Nov/Dec):515–21. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA74317A1E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

112.

Roberts N. Donationes Mortis Causa in a Dematerialised World. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2013;(2):113–28. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IE22491D0A31E11E28E17C4EDF535ADDD/View/FullText.html>

113.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

114.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

115.

Baughen S. Performing Animals and the Dissolution of Unincorporated Associations: The 'Contract-Holding Theory' Vindicated. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2010;(3):216–33. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I949C90B07A8211DF8580D187BA0B814B/View/FullText.html>

116.

Brown J. What Are We to Do With Testamentary Trusts of Imperfect Obligation? Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2007;(Mar/Apr):148–60. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I25ABF9C0E30911DB9628FD37664FF158/View/FullText.html>

117.

In Re Denley's Trust Deed Holman and Others v. H. H. Martyn & Co. Ltd. and Others [1967 D. No. 146] [Internet]. Vol. 1, ICLR: Chancery Division. 1969. Available from: <https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4JT8.6D20.TXD6.Y07S.00000.00?context=1001073&identityprofileid=3W6DSS57683>

118.

Gravells NP. Public Purpose Trusts. The Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1977;40(4):397–419. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1095656?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

119.

Luxton P. Gifts to Clubs: Contract-Holding Is Trumps. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2007;(May/June):274–81. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IDBF32580109C11DCA383E352BC1B5B22/View/FullText.html>

120.

Matthews P. From Obligation to Property, and Back Again? In: Extending the boundaries of trusts and similar ring-fenced funds. The Hague: Kluwer Law International; 2002.

121.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

122.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

123.

Garton J. Trustee Act 2000. Trust Law International. 2001;15:34-40.

124.

Thornton R. Ethical Investments: A Case of Disjointed Thinking. The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. 2008;67(2):396-422. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25166411>

125.

Nestle v National Westminster Bank plc [Internet]. Vol. 1, All England Law Reports. 1994. Available from:
<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP.4J80.TWP1.60W1.00000.00>

126.

Langbein JH. Questioning the Trust Law Duty of Loyalty: Sole Interest or Best Interest? The Yale Law Journal [Internet]. 2005;114(5):929-90. Available from:
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/4135698>

127.

Luxton P. Ethical Investment in Hard Times. The Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1992;55(4):587-93. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1096660>

128.

Nobles RL. Charities and Ethical Investment. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 1992;(Mar/Apr):115-8. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA781CE50E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

129.

Parry N. Remuneration of Trustees. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer. 1984;

130.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

131.

Hudson A. Equity and Trusts [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

132.

Birks P. The Content of Fiduciary Obligations. Trusts Law International. 2002;16:34–52.

133.

Conaglen M. The Nature and Function of Fiduciary Loyalty. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2005;121(July):452–80. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICC90A720E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

134.

Boardman and Another Appellants and Phipps Respondent [On Appeal From Phipps v Boardman] [Internet]. Vol. 2, ICLR: Appeal Cases. 1967. Available from:
<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4K4W-PD40-TXD8-60HJ&csi=296986&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

135.

Ho L. Attributing Losses to a Breach of Fiduciary Duty. Trusts Law International. 1998;12.

136.

Jones G. Unjust Enrichment and the Fiduciary's Duty of Loyalty. *Law Quarterly Review*. 1968;84:472–502.

137.

Miller P. Justifying Fiduciary Duties. *McGill Law Journal* [Internet]. 2013;58(4):969–1023. Available from: <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=90619994&site=ehost-live>

138.

Smith L. Fiduciary Relationships: Ensuring the Loyal Exercise of Judgement on Behalf of Another. *The Law Quarterly Review* [Internet]. 2014; Available from: https://librarysearch.royalholloway.ac.uk/permalink/44ROY_INST/93uiap/cdi_proquest_miscellaneous_1692000416

139.

Hudson A. *Equity and Trusts*. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017.

140.

Hudson A. *Equity and Trusts* [Internet]. Ninth edition. London: Routledge; 2017. Available from: <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.ezproxy01.rhul.ac.uk/lib/rhul/detail.action?docID=4595301>

141.

Evans S. Variation Clarification. *Conveyancer and Property Lawyer* [Internet]. 2011;(2):151–6. Available from: <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IFE869F005E6811E090EA89A3987631E1/View/FullText.html>

142.

Luxton P. Variation of Trusts: Settlor's Intentions and the Consent Principle in *Saunders v Vautier*. *The Modern Law Review* [Internet]. 1997;60(5):719–26. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/1096961?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents

143.

Saunders v Vautier (1841) 4 Beav 115 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=4FXV-BWY0-TWW4-211S&csi=279841&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

144.

Harris J. Ten Years of Variation of Trusts. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer. 1969;33:113-34.

145.

Hayes M. Breaking and Varying Trusts Painlessly. Trusts & Trustees. 1998;4(4):17-20.

146.

Mowbray M. Varying Trusts. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer. 1958;

147.

Riddall JG. Does It or Doesn't It? - Contingent Interests and the Variation of Trusts Act 1958. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 1987;(March-April):144-7. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA7BC3F40E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>